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## 1. Executive Summary

## National applications, offers and acceptances data collection, 2011

Over the past four years, the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations (DEEWR) has been working closely with the higher education sector to improve the quality, comprehensiveness and detail of the data available on demand for higher education. In 2009, DEEWR published a detailed report based on the first unit record data collection on applications and offers processed through the state Tertiary Admissions Centres (TACs). The 2011 report updates this analysis with the latest year of data from TACs, and data on applications submitted directly to universities.

## Total applications

Demand for domestic, undergraduate university places as indicated by applications received through TACs has shown a modest increase.
When the 2010-11 end of year admissions cycle was complete (18 May 2011) there were a total of 271117 applications. This is an increase of $1.5 \%$ (or 4121 applications) following on from a 6.9\% increase between 2009 and 2010.

In 2011, there were 211654 offers. This is an increase of $3.3 \%$ (or 6860) on 2010. Though the number of offers was modest, the offer rate increased to $78.1 \%$ - an increase of 1.4 percentage points on the offer rate recorded in 2010. The offer rate provides an indicator of the way which universities choose to respond to student demand. This represents a key indicator as universities transition to the introduction of the demand driven system from 2012.

Across Australia, just over half of all applicants (50.7\%) received an offer for their highest preference course and 151008 applicants accepted an offer (note data here refers to applications, but for sake of convenience these are described as applicants). This was a marginal increase of $1.2 \%$ in acceptances on the level reported in 2010.

Of all applicants receiving offers 22063 or $10.4 \%$ deferred their offer. The number of deferrals in 2011 was 0.4 percentage points lower than recorded in 2010.

Combining direct and TAC applicants gives a total of 316504 applicants. Direct applicants made up $21.8 \%$ of total applicants. Note, data presented here refers to applicants rather than applications. Since very few direct applicants make multiple applications, this appears the more relevant concept.

## Unmet demand

The raw number of applications without a corresponding offer does not provide a meaningful estimate of unmet demand for higher education. Raw figures are therefore discounted to take account of double counting of interstate applicants, applications with only one or two preferences and rejection of offers. The methodology for estimating unmet demand was developed by Universities Australia (UA) in 2005.

In 2011 unmet demand was estimated to be $7.8 \%$ of eligible applicants, a drop of 0.4 percentage points from 2010. This equates to around 19400 applicants after discounting. This small decrease in unmet demand was largely a result of the modest growth in the number of applications (1.5\%) and relatively higher growth (3.3\%) in offers.

## Field of education

The most popular broad field of education was Health which attracted 67040 applications. The Health field includes Medical Studies, Dental Studies, Veterinary Studies and Nursing as well as a range of other courses such as Pharmacy, Physiotherapy and Optometry. The field of Society and Culture was second with 55859 applications. This field includes Political Studies, Sociology, Law, Language Studies and Economics.

Demand for Education courses decreased by $3.6 \%$ in 2011 following strong growth in 2010 (8.0\%). This followed four straight years of decline from 2006 to 2009. Demand for Nursing courses decreased by $2.2 \%$ in 2011, following strong growth in 2010 (20.0\%). Despite the relatively small decreases in 2011, applications for Nursing and Education courses are higher than 2009 levels. The net growth in applications follows measures introduced in the 2009-10 Budget, including increased student contributions for Nursing and Education in order to support expanded course provision and lower Higher Education Loan Program (HELP) debt repayments for those working in related professions.
Data on the number of applications for Early Childhood courses are not available prior to 2009. In 2011, applications for Early Childhood Education decreased by 6.1\% compared with 2010. Nevertheless, applications for Early Childhood courses were higher in 2011 than in 2009. The 3725 applications for Early Childhood Education in 2011, represented $15.7 \%$ of all applications for Education courses.

Demand for Medical Studies increased by $11.5 \%$ in 2011 following a strong increase in 2010 (13.3\%). There was a decline in applications for Medical Studies during the 2007 to 2009 period. Growth in Natural and Physical Sciences applications slowed to $8.7 \%$ in 2011 following growth of $12.6 \%$ in 2010 and $17.1 \%$ in 2009. Following strong growth in mining and construction industries in recent years, Engineering recorded an increase in demand (3.7\%). The 2011 year was the sixth year in succession in which applications for Engineering grew.

## 2010 Year 12 students

Of total applications, 143907 or $53.1 \%$ were from Year 12 students. Applications from current Year 12 students increased by $4.6 \%$ compared with the previous year. Increases were concentrated at the higher end of the Australian Tertiary Admission Rank (ATAR) distribution. The offer rate for current Year 12 applicants was $80.4 \%$. The probability of receiving an offer of a place declined as the ATAR declined.

## Under-represented groups - Regional students

Metropolitan students were over-represented in the pool of applications. Around three quarters of applications ( $76.6 \%$ ) came from metropolitan areas, slightly higher than the metropolitan population share of $71.4 \%$. Just over one fifth of applications (20.8\%) were from regional areas, less than their population share of $26.3 \%$. Only $1.1 \%$ of applications were from remote areas compared to their population share of $2.1 \%$.

Offers and acceptances varied by region. Regional and remote applicants (applications) were somewhat more likely to receive an offer than metropolitan applicants: $82.0 \%$ of remote applicants and $81.6 \%$ of regional applicants received offers, in comparison with $77.4 \%$ of metropolitan applicants. Metropolitan applicants were, however, more likely to accept an offer (82.4\%) than regional (80.6\%) or remote applicants (81.7\%).

Applications from regional and remote applicants remain under-represented. Their numbers remained flat in 2011 with $0.1 \%$ growth. Applications from metropolitan areas grew by $0.8 \%$. Offers for metropolitan applicants grew at a faster rate (3.7\%) than for non-metropolitan applicants (1.9\%).

Applications by field of education show metropolitan and non-metropolitan students exhibited different preferences. Non-metropolitan students are more likely to apply for courses in national priority areas such as Education and Nursing. They are also more likely to apply for Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies courses.

## Under-represented groups - Low SES students

Socioeconomic status (SES) of applicants is defined by postcode of permanent home residence. Postcodes are divided into quartiles. High SES applicants (applications) were over-represented in the pool of applicants. By definition, persons from high SES backgrounds represent $25.0 \%$ of the general population; however they represent $30.6 \%$ of the total pool of applicants. Persons from low SES backgrounds were, on the other hand, under-represented. In 2011, 18.6\% of all applicants were from low SES backgrounds, which was marginally higher than the 2010 figure of 18.5\%.

Offer rates vary by SES in a similar fashion to applications although the differences are not as marked. High SES applicants were the most successful with $80.2 \%$ of these applicants receiving an offer. Medium SES applications were slightly less successful ( $78.0 \%$ received an offer) and low SES applicants were the least successful ( $76.2 \%$ of low SES applicants received an offer). There was little difference in acceptance rates by SES.
The under-representation of persons from low SES backgrounds at university, like regional and remote students, is more related to their lower likelihood of applying for university than their likelihood of receiving an offer.

Although low SES people remain under-represented among applicants, their numbers grew faster in 2011 than applicants in other SES categories. Low SES applicants increased by $2.4 \%$ compared with $1.6 \%$ for medium SES applicants and $0.6 \%$ for high SES applicants. Similarly, offers to low SES applicants increased by 4.5\%, compared to $3.6 \%$ for medium and 1.9\% for high SES applicants.

Preferences by field of education vary by SES. Low SES students are more likely to apply for courses in the national priority areas of Nursing and Education and less likely to apply for courses with high cut-off scores, such as Medical Studies and Law.

## Under-represented groups - Indigenous students

Indigenous people are under-represented in the pool of applications. Indigenous people represent around $2.5 \%$ of the Australian population whereas they constitute only $1.1 \%$ of all applications to university.

The offer rate for Indigenous applicants was $73.8 \%-4.3$ percentage points lower than the offer rate for persons who did not identify as Indigenous. Acceptance rates, on the other hand, were very similar.
Though Indigenous people remain under-represented at university and growth in applications marginally dropped in 2011, the increase in offers is encouraging. Compared with 2010, the number of applications by Indigenous applicants decreased by 51 applicants. However, offers to Indigenous applicants increased by more than 100.
Preferences by field of education vary between Indigenous and non-Indigenous applicants. Indigenous applicants are more likely to apply for courses in the national priority areas of Education and Health and less likely to apply for Management and Commerce courses.

## Direct applicants

This report also includes data on applications made directly to universities (in addition to those processed through TACs). There were 68880 direct applicants over the main admissions round for first semester 2011 (direct applicants are less likely to make multiple applications and hence the focus at this points on applicants rather than applications). Of these direct applicants 55 196 were offered a place. The offer rate for direct applicants was $80.1 \%$. Of a total of 55196 offers made, 41772 were accepted ( $75.7 \%$ ). Only 1873 (3.7\%) were deferred.

Compared to TAC applicants, direct applicants were much less likely to be current Year 12 students and were correspondingly more likely to be older. Female and Indigenous applicants made up a larger share of direct applicants than TAC applicants. There was not much difference between the TAC and Direct applicants by SES or region.

Of the 316,504 persons that made applications to TACs and direct to universities, 14,032 of these applied through both TACs and directly to universities meaning that there were 302, 472 unique applicants. The number of unique applicants increased by 2.9 per cent in 2011. Low SES applicants increased by 3.6 per cent in comparison with 2.9 per cent for medium SES applicants and 1.8 per cent for high SES applicants. Females represent 58.7 per cent and non-Year 12 represent 57.5 per cent of all applicants. Fields of education showing strong increases in applications in 2011 included medical studies and engineering, up 7.5 per cent and 7.0 per cent respectively.

## Factors affecting future demand

Demand for higher education is affected by a number of factors. These include demographic changes, post-compulsory schooling pathways, labour market conditions and policy settings.

## Policy changes in higher education

The Australian Government announced its response to the Bradley Review of Higher Education in March 2009. The Government adopted ambitious targets and a range of measures to support increased participation. In particular, targets for increased higher education attainment and increased participation by under-represented groups, together with the introduction of a demand driven funding system from 2012, are likely to have an impact on the demand for and supply of university places. In the transition to a demand driven funding system, the cap on over enrolments has been lifted from 5\% in 2009 to 10\% in 2010 and 2011.

The demand-driven system will enable a closer match between demand and supply and a more flexible and responsive allocation of university places. Data from 2011 give a preliminary indication of growth in both demand for and supply of higher education and the manner in which universities are managing the transition to the demand driven funding system. Increases in applications in 2011 were modest following a historically large increase in 2010. Strong growth in offers in 2010 has been followed by moderate growth in 2011.

## Transitions from school and VET

Policy changes at the school level could have a significant impact on demand for university. The Australian Government and state and territory governments have committed through the Council of Australian Governments (COAG) to increasing the Year 12 retention rate to $90 \%$ by 2015. Increasing the Year 12 retention rate will increase the size of the pool of potential applicants to university.

The number of Year 12 students who choose to go on to university will reflect the options available to young people after leaving school. Some may prefer to attend vocational education and training (VET).

Post-school education and training also provides a further pathway into higher education. In 2011, 17.1\% of applicants had undertaken prior VET study and $8.8 \%$ of offers were made on the basis of completion of a VET award course (other than a secondary education course undertaken at a VET institution). Both of these figures were slight increases from 2010.

## 2. Introduction

## Purpose of the report

This report looks at the number of applications for undergraduate university places in the first main intake (first semester) of the 2011 academic year, the number of applicants who received offers and the number who accepted offers. These items are key indicators of the level of demand for university education. This report analyses applications and offers data by state and territory, basis of application, field of education, applicants' prior educational participation and demographic characteristics such as SES, regionality and Indigenous status.

The 2011 Undergraduate Applications, Offers and Acceptances report includes a detailed analysis of TAC applications data, updating the figures presented in the 2010 report. The 2011 publication also includes analysis of direct applications, and a comparison of both sets of data (TAC and direct applications). Combining TAC and direct applications data in this way enables a more comprehensive estimate of the total demand for undergraduate university places to be made.

## Overview of the data

Data is derived from the University Applications and Offers Data Collection. The data covers the main annual university admissions process (for first semester admissions) that runs from August to June each year. In 2011, the data collection included, information on direct applications to universities. The data collection is for domestic applications only.

TACs processed around $78 \%$ of applications made during the August 2010 to May 2011 admissions process, while about $22 \%$ of all applicants applied directly to universities. TACs process the overwhelming majority of applications from school leavers, with only $3.5 \%$ of direct applications being made by current Year 12 students. Overall, nearly half of TAC applications are from non-Year 12 applicants and most direct applications were from applicants aged over 20.

A small proportion of applicants make applications to more than one TAC resulting in some double counting of applications across state boundaries. About $14.1 \%$ of TAC applications are duplicates of this kind. A relatively small number of direct applicants (less than $6.4 \%$ of the total) apply to more than one university.

## Acknowledgements
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## 3. Applications to Tertiary Admission Centres (TACs)

## Total number of applications

The number of domestic applications made through TACs for undergraduate university places during the main annual admissions process is a key indicator of the demand for higher education.

When the admissions cycle for the 2011 year was completed (18 May) there were a total of 271117 applications made through TACs. This is a $1.5 \%$ increase compared to 2010 and follows a 6.9\% increase in 2010.

Application numbers grew across the admissions cycle. Table 1 shows the number of applications recorded at preliminary and final stages of the 2010-11 admissions cycle in each state and territory.

Table 1: Applications throughout the 2010-11 admissions cycle, by state and territory

| State | October <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | January <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | February <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | May <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 70,876 | 83,405 | 83,405 | 84,462 |
| Vic. | 61,326 | 73,438 | 73,438 | 74,521 |
| QId | 41,168 | 54,921 | 54,982 | 56,077 |
| WA | 17,486 | 20,425 | 20,425 | 20,558 |
| SA/NT | 19,401 | 24,303 | 24,633 | 24,940 |
| Tas. | 6,675 | 8,624 | 8,624 | 10,559 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 1 6 , 9 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5 , 1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5 , 5 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1 , 1 1 7}$ |

Between October 2010 and May 2011 the number of applications increased by 25.0\%. Growth after February was limited, with the exception of Tasmania, where applications numbers continued to grow strongly in February and March. This pattern is similar to the pattern observed in 2010.

## Applications by state and territory

Applications grew in all states and territories, except for Queensland and Western Australia. Table 2 shows the year-on-year percentage changes. Tasmania experienced the highest growth of 9.6\%.

Table 2: Annual change in total applications by state and territory, 2010 and 2011

| State | 2010 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 83,108 | 84,462 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Vic. | 71,984 | 74,521 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Qld | 57,205 | 56,077 | $-2.0 \%$ |
| WA | 20,834 | 20,558 | $-1.3 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 24,235 | 24,940 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Tas. | 9,630 | 10,559 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 6 6 , 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1 , 1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 \%}$ |

Victoria, South Australia, Tasmania and the Northern Territory recorded growth well above the national average. Growth in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory was marginally
higher than the national average. In Western Australia, applications through the Tertiary Institution Service Centre (TISC) for university places fell by 1.3 per cent. However, direct applications to Western Australian universities increased by 1.8 per cent in 2011. This is mainly due to some Western Australian Universities opening up a range of alternative entry pathways in response to recent changes to the WA secondary curriculum framework for Years 11 and 12's and the expansion of early offers to students. In addition, the University of Notre Dame is a non-participant in TISC and all its applications are made directly. The University of Notre Dame increased its share of all Western Australia applications from 5.4 per cent in 2010 to 7.4 per cent in 2011. The impact of this and other factors outlined above have led to a decline in the proportion of applications being made through TISC.

## Eligible applications

Total application numbers are not available prior to 2008; only eligible application data are available. Hence, time series data are based on eligible applications statistics.

An eligible application is a concept developed as part of a methodology developed by UA for estimating unmet demand for university places. Eligible applications exclude applications by those applicants who apply on the basis of a Year 12 qualification obtained in the current or previous year with an ATAR below an agreed benchmark. The benchmark is intended to represent a score below which an applicant would be unlikely to be offered a place in any bachelor degree course at a public university. It is set at the ATAR score corresponding to the bottom end of a Queensland Overall Position (OP) of 18. This ATAR score fluctuates slightly from year to year and in 2011 it was 54.95 . Applications by all applicants who apply on a basis other than recent Year 12 qualifications are included as eligible applications, since there is no obvious benchmark that can be applied consistently to exclude applicants applying on a basis other than recent Year 12 qualifications.

It is important to note that eligibility, according to the above definition, is not directly relevant to the admissions process, and that ineligible applicants may receive offers.
Table 3 shows that there were 246987 eligible applications in 2011, $91.1 \%$ of all applications, a similar proportion as in 2010. Eligible applications are up by $1.5 \%$ on 2010 figures. This follows a sharp increase of $7.0 \%$ in eligible applications in 2010.

In New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory, Victoria, South Australia/ Northern Territory and Tasmania, eligible applications in 2011 were at the highest level in the series. In Queensland and Western Australia, eligible applications decreased slightly this year but remain marginally below peak levels observed in early years.
Table 3: Eligible applications by state and territory, 2002-2011

| State | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 69,336 | 71,467 | 71,467 | 67,778 | 67,781 | 68,769 | 69,073 | 73,299 | 75,218 | 76,935 |
| Vic. | 59,785 | 61,649 | 60,312 | 58,907 | 51,778 | 54,957 | 52,476 | 59,358 | 62,825 | 64,292 |
| Qld | 54,645 | 55,350 | 54,155 | 49,759 | 52,039 | 46,880 | 46,822 | 48,696 | 54,199 | 53,299 |
| WA | 17,139 | 18,746 | 20,232 | 19,706 | 18,172 | 17,658 | 17,208 | 17,403 | 19,177 | 19,153 |
| SA/NT | 15,359 | 15,577 | 15,442 | 19,704 | 22,810 | 23,165 | 22,915 | 19,978 | 22,800 | 23,275 |
| Tas. | 6,464 | 6,638 | 6,806 | 5,734 | 5,949 | 7,108 | 7,640 | 8,674 | 9,030 | 10,033 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 2 2 , 7 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9 , 4 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 8 , 4 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 , 5 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 , 5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 , 5 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6} \mathbf{1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7 , 4 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 3 , 2 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 6 , 9 8 7}$ |
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## Prior educational participation

Of the total applications in 2011, 143907 applications or $53.1 \%$ were from current Year 12 applicants. Applications by non-Year 12 applicants represent $46.9 \%$ of total applications. As can be seen in Table 4, applications by current Year 12 applicants represent the larger proportion of applications in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory, Victoria and Western Australia but the reverse is true in Queensland, South Australia/ Northern Territory and Tasmania. This pattern is similar to the pattern observed in 2010. In Tasmania, applications by Non-Year 12 applicants represent more than two thirds of total applications.

Table 4: Current Year 12 status by state and territory, 2011

| State | Current Year 12 | Non- <br> Year 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 46,890 | 37,572 |
| Vic. | 43,138 | 31,383 |
| Qld | 26,660 | 29,417 |
| WA | 12,369 | 8,189 |
| SA/NT | 11,416 | 13,524 |
| Tas. | 3,434 | 7,125 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{1 4 3 , 9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 , 2 1 0}$ |

Table 5 shows that 46358 (17.1\%) applications were from applicants with prior VET participation. Further, 64999 ( $24.0 \%$ ) applications were from applicants with prior university participation. It should be noted that these categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table 5: Prior VET and university participation by state and territory, 2011

| State | Prior VET | Prior University |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 12,600 | 19,193 |
| Vic. | 13,884 | 15,547 |
| Qld | 9,751 | 17,526 |
| WA | 3,390 | 3,916 |
| SA/NT | 4,297 | 5,396 |
| Tas. | 2,436 | 3,421 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{4 6 , 3 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 9 9 9}$ |

Among non-Year 12 applications (Table 6), $38 \%$ were from applicants who had previously attempted higher education without obtaining a qualification whereas $10.6 \%$ were from applicants who had completed a bachelor or postgraduate degree and $12.8 \%$ had completed a VET qualification. More than a quarter of non-Year 12 applications (26.6\%) were from applicants who gave completed secondary education as their highest prior educational participation.

Table 6: Highest prior educational participation, non-Year 12 applicants 2011

| Highest prior educational <br> participation | Frequency | Per cent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Complete postgraduate | 2,448 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Complete bachelor | 11,075 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Complete sub-degree | 3,261 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Incomplete higher education | 48,358 | $38.0 \%$ |
| Complete VET | 16,333 | $12.8 \%$ |
| Incomplete VET | 3,705 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Complete secondary education | 4,802 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6 \%}$ |
| Other qual - complete or incomplete | 4,081 | $3.3 \%$ |
| No prior education attainment | $\mathbf{1 2 7 , 2 1 0}$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |  |

## Gender

Applications by females represented more than half (58.3\%) of total applications. This is consistent with university enrolments data for 2010 which shows that females accounted for $58.9 \%$ of commencing domestic students ${ }^{1}$.

## Age

The median age of applicants submitting applications was 18 years. This was also the modal age, accounting for just over one third of the applicants. Overall, $27.4 \%$ of applications were made by applicants aged 21 or over, and $9.9 \%$ were from applicants aged 29 or over.

Two thirds of applications were made by 17-19 year olds and $17.9 \%$ by $20-24$ year olds (Table 7). Fewer applications (15.3\%) were made by those aged 25 or more. There were 1017 applications ( $0.4 \%$ of the total) submitted by persons who were aged 16 or younger. In contrast to other states and territories (Table 7), Tasmania had a large number of applications made by those in the 25 and over age group ( $29.7 \%$ ) and the $20-24$ age group (20.1\%). Western Australia had the highest number of applications (74.6\%) by those in 17-19 age group, well above the national average of $66.5 \%$. Applications by those aged 25 and over also made up a relatively large share of applications in South Australia/Northern Territory (21.8\%) and Queensland (18.9\%).

Table 7: Applications by state and territory and age group, 2011

| State | $\mathbf{1 6}$ and <br> under | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ and <br> over | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 136 | 58,750 | 14,984 | 10,592 | 84,462 |
| Vic. | 127 | 50,716 | 14,481 | 9,197 | 74,521 |
| Qld | 283 | 35,370 | 9,841 | 10,583 | 56,077 |
| WA | 108 | 15,343 | 2,637 | 2,470 | 20,558 |
| SA/NT | 90 | 15,049 | 4,368 | 5,433 | 24,940 |
| Tas. | 273 | 5,034 | 2,120 | 3,132 | 10,559 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 , 2 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 4 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1 , 1 1 7}$ |
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## Interstate applications

The bulk of applicants apply to study in their home state (Table 8). In 2011, some 233901 applicants ( $86.3 \%$ ) applied to courses in their home state. Interstate applicants are identified by Year 12 qualification or permanent home address. For current Year 12 applicants, those defined as an interstate applicant obtained their Year 12 qualification from a state or territory outside the jurisdiction of the TAC to which they applied. For non-Year 12 applicants, those defined as an interstate applicant have a permanent home address that is not within a state or territory in the jurisdiction of the TAC to which they applied.

In 2011, 37216 interstate applications were recorded nationally, many of these applicants also applied in their home state.

Applications from interstate ranged from a low of $9.2 \%$ in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory to a high of $35.6 \%$ in Tasmania. Interstate applications to Tasmania have been very high for several years.

Table 8: Home state and interstate applications, by state and territory, 2011

| State | Home state | Interstate | \% Interstate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 76,724 | 7,738 | $9.2 \%$ |
| Vic. | 65,821 | 8,700 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Qld | 46,773 | 9,304 | $16.6 \%$ |
| WA | 17,931 | 2,627 | $12.8 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 19,857 | 5,083 | $20.4 \%$ |
| Tas. | 6,795 | 3,764 | $35.6 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 3 3 , 9 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7 \%}$ |

Non-metropolitan applicants (that is, regional and remote applicants) were more likely to apply interstate ( $18.4 \%$ of applications compared with $10.7 \%$ of metropolitan applications) consistent with their greater overall mobility and need to move to attend university. There was much less difference in interstate application rates according to socioeconomic or Indigenous status.

Current Year 12 students were slightly less likely than other applicants to apply interstate (12.7\% compared to 14.9\%).

Propensity to apply interstate appears to be positively related to Year 12 achievement. Only a small proportion of applications by current Year 12 applicants with an ATAR of 80 or less applied interstate ( $6.5 \%$ ), rising to $10.7 \%$ for applications for those applicants with an ATAR between 80.05 and 90.00 and jumping to $26.5 \%$ for applications for applicants in the highest ATAR band ( 90.05 or more).

These figures are consistent with interstate applicants' focus on a limited number of high demand courses. Examining interstate applications by field of education shows that Medical Studies, Dental Studies and Veterinary Studies were strongly over-represented. Just over one eighth (12.6\%) of all interstate applications have a highest ranking preference for a Medical Studies course. By contrast, highest ranking preferences for Medical Studies account for only $0.8 \%$ of home state applications.

Table 9 shows the proportions of home state and interstate applications by field of education. Interstate applications constitute more than two thirds of all applications for Medical Studies. Of 12752 highest ranking preferences for Medical Studies, 8275 (64.9\%) were interstate applications. Similarly, Dental Studies and Veterinary Studies also attracted a high proportion of interstate applications ( $48.2 \%$ and $40.1 \%$, respectively), though the absolute numbers of interstate applications are considerably smaller than for Medical Studies. Interstate applications constitute less than $10.0 \%$ of applications in all other fields of education. Interestingly, only $11.3 \%$ of applications for law courses were from interstate even though law is a high demand course.

Table 9: Proportion of home state/interstate applications for each field of education, 2011

| Field of education | Home state | Interstate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | $84.8 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ |
| Information Technology | $94.4 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | $86.6 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| Architecture and Building | $91.4 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | $87.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| Health | $74.2 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| Medical studies | $35.1 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ |
| Dental Studies | $51.8 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ |
| Veterinary Studies | $59.9 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ |
| Nursing | $87.3 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ |
| Health other | $87.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| Education | $93.9 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Teacher Education | $93.8 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |
| Management and Commerce | $92.1 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| Society and Culture | $90.2 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| Society and Culture excl Law | $90.5 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Law | $88.7 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| Creative Arts | $89.9 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| Mixed Field Programs | $97.3 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 6 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7 \%}$ |

Using only state of permanent home residency to define home state, Table 10 shows that for the large majority of applicants, their highest preference application was at a university in their home state. The proportion of applicants with residency in Queensland had the highest ranking preference for a place in their state ( $88.6 \%$ ), followed by Western Australia ( $87.7 \%$ ) and South Australia (86.7\%). In New South Wales and Tasmania the highest ranking preference for a place in their state was around $80 \%$. Persons residing in the Northern Territory and Australian Capital Territory are more likely to apply interstate.

Table 10: State and territory of application by state and territory of permanent home residence, 2011

|  | State of permanent home residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NSW | Vic. | Qld | WA | SA | Tas. | ACT | NT |
| State of university of highest preference |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NSW | 80.8\% | 3.3\% | 3.9\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 3.8\% | 16.4\% | 7.4\% |
| Vic. | 3.8\% | 83.5\% | 2.5\% | 4.1\% | 3.8\% | 9.3\% | 11.4\% | 11.5\% |
| Qld | 5.8\% | 2.5\% | 88.6\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 3.9\% | 7.3\% | 16.5\% |
| WA | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 87.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 4.9\% |
| SA | 1.3\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 86.7\% | 1.9\% | 2.6\% | 15.4\% |
| Tas. | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 78.9\% | 1.7\% | 1.2\% |
| ACT | 2.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 55.6\% | 2.2\% |
| NT | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 40.8\% |
| Multi-State | 3.2\% | 4.4\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 3.2\% | 0.2\% |

## Applicants with few preferences

In 2011, some 41873 applications ( $15.4 \%$ of the total) were from applicants who expressed only one preference on their application and 71307 ( $26.3 \%$ of the total) of applications included fewer than three preferences. Applicants (applications) with few preferences were more likely to be aged 25 and over and not current Year 12 students.

Table 11: Proportion of number of preferences by age group, 2011

| Number of Preferences | $\mathbf{1 6}$ and <br> under | $\mathbf{1 7}$ to 19 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ to 24 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ and <br> over | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 or more Preferences | $67.7 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ |
| Less than 3 Preferences | $32.3 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 , 2 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 4 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1 , 1 1 7}$ |

A clear majority of applications from applicants aged 25 and over (57.1\%) had only one or two preferences, compared to only $15.5 \%$ in the 17-19 year old age group (Table 11). Among 20-24 year old applicants, $39.8 \%$ had only one or two preferences.
Table 12: Proportion of number of preferences by Current year 12 status, 2011

| Number of Preferences | Non Year 12 | Year 12 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 or more Preferences | $54.4 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ |
| Less than 3 Preferences | $45.6 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 12 shows that a small minority ( $9.3 \%$ ) of applications from current Year 12 applicants had fewer than three preferences, compared to $45.6 \%$ of Non Year 12 applications.

This suggests applicants with few preferences were more likely to be older applicants seeking to gain a particular qualification or wishing to study a particular course of interest. These applicants may have had more limited options for mobility to take up an offer. On the other hand, the propensity of current Year 12 applicants to have more than three preferences reflects a greater willingness to apply for a range of courses and/or universities, perhaps as a means of entering the university education system. Applicants' number and mix of preferences also reflects the fact that, for many applicants, university education is only one option among several.

## 4. Offers

## Total number of offers

There were 211654 offers made in 2011. This was a $3.3 \%$ increase on the number of offers in 2010. More than three quarters of applicants (applications) ( $78.1 \%$ ) received an offer, which is 1.4 percentage points higher than the offer rate in 2010.

## Offers by state and territory

The number of applicants receiving offers in 2011 rose in all states and territories except Western Australia (down 1.4\%, Table 13). The biggest increases were in Tasmania (10.2\%) and Victoria (6.7\%).

Offer rates varied from $73.4 \%$ in Victoria to $81.9 \%$ in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory. While the Victorian offer rate was the lowest out of all states and territories, it has increased compared with recent years. Offer rates fell by 0.9 percentage points in South Australia/Northern Territory.
Table 13: Offers and offer rates by state and territory, 2010 and 2011

| State | Receiving offer |  |  | Offer rate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | \% Change | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Change <br> (p.p.) |
| NSW/ACT | 67,232 | 69,152 | $2.9 \%$ | $80.9 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ | 1.0 |
| Vic. | 51,258 | 54,715 | $6.7 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | 2.2 |
| Qld | 42,738 | 43,392 | $1.5 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | 2.7 |
| WA | 17,045 | 16,812 | $-1.4 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | 0.0 |
| SA/NT | 19,323 | 19,654 | $1.7 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ | -0.9 |
| Tas. | 7,198 | 7,929 | $10.2 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ | $75.1 \%$ | 0.4 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 0 4 , 7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 1 , 6 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |

In 2010, the Victorian TAC (VTAC) made supplementary offers for a large number of applications (3893). These offers are included in the above table. A supplementary offer is an offer of a place in a course for which the there was no expressed preference in the application. Other TACs do not make supplementary offers.

## Offers to eligible applicants

The number of eligible applicants (applications) that received offers in 2011 was 203 966. This was an increase of $3.4 \%$ on 2010. Following the decreases in the proportion of eligible applicants who received an offer in the last four years (from $85.1 \%$ in 2007 to $81.1 \%$ in 2010), it increased to $82.6 \%$ in 2011. The 2011 offer rate was also well above levels observed in 20022004 (in the low to mid 70s) and slightly higher than 2001 (the first year of the series). Table 14 shows times series data on offers to eligible applicants by state and territory.

Table 14: Offers to eligible applicants by state and territory, 2002-2011

| State | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 54,180 | 53,797 | 51,603 | 56,522 | 58,213 | 60,082 | 60,462 | 62,525 | 64,350 | 66,563 |
| Vic. | 38,153 | 38,118 | 37,961 | 41,457 | 41,310 | 43,140 | 41,804 | 45,307 | 49,394 | 52,473 |
| QId | 42,689 | 40,588 | 40,993 | 42,775 | 44,947 | 41,561 | 40,927 | 39,008 | 41,486 | 41,926 |
| WA | 14,703 | 15,380 | 16,093 | 16,534 | 15,823 | 15,639 | 15,142 | 14,938 | 16,523 | 16,413 |
| SA/NT | 13,429 | 12,759 | 12,577 | 16,479 | 19,222 | 19,551 | 19,238 | 16,935 | 18,694 | 19,038 |
| Tas. | 5,649 | 5,667 | 5,858 | 5,087 | 5,354 | 5,925 | 5,588 | 6,601 | 6,721 | 7,553 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{1 6 8 , 8 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6 , 3 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5 , 0 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8 , 8 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 , 8 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 , 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 , 1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 , 3 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 , 1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 , 9 6 6}$ |

## Prior educational participation

Current Year 12 applicants (applications) were more likely to receive an offer than non-Year 12 applicants with 115770 current Year 12 applicants receiving an offer, an offer rate of $80.4 \%$ (Table 15). For applications made by non-Year 12 applicants, the offer rate was $75.4 \%$. Current Year 12 offer rates were higher than the non-Year 12 offer rates in all states and territories except Tasmania.
Table 15: Offers and offer rates by current Year 12 status and state and territory, 2011

| State | Receiving offer |  | Offer rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Current <br> Year 12 | Non- <br> Year 12 | Current <br> Year 12 | Non- <br> Year 12 |
|  | 39,828 | 29,324 | $84.9 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ |
| Vic. | 32,028 | 22,687 | $74.2 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ |
| Qld | 21,670 | 21,722 | $81.3 \%$ | $73.8 \%$ |
| WA | 10,452 | 6,360 | $84.5 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 9,294 | 10,360 | $81.4 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ |
| Tas. | 2,498 | 5,431 | $72.7 \%$ | $76.2 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{1 1 5 , 7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 , 8 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 4 \%}$ |

As can be seen in Table 16, applicants who had previously participated in VET or university were slightly less likely to receive an offer than the average offer rate of $78.1 \%$. Just over threequarters ( $76.8 \%$ ) of applicants with prior VET were offered a place. This was marginally lower than the figure for applicants with prior university education (77.7\%). It should be noted that categories of applicant by prior VET or university participation are not mutually exclusive.
Table 16: Offers and offer rates by prior VET or university participation and state and territory, 2011

| State | Receiving Offer |  | Offer Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Prior VET | Prior <br> university | Prior VET | Prior <br> university |
|  | 10,376 | 14,630 | $82.3 \%$ | $76.2 \%$ |
| Vic. | 9,805 | 12,859 | $70.6 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| Qld | 7,505 | 13,600 | $77.0 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ |
| WA | 2,679 | 2,950 | $79.0 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 3,452 | 3,987 | $80.3 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ |
| Tas. | 1,782 | 2,500 | $73.2 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{3 5 , 5 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 5 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 7 \%}$ |

## Gender

Female applicants (applications) were more likely to receive an offer than male applicants (Table 17), though the difference was only slight with $78.7 \%$ of female applicants being offered a place compared to $77.1 \%$ of male applicants. The gap was wider in Queensland at 2.6 percentage points and New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory at 2.1 percentage points. In Tasmania, on the other hand, a greater proportion of male applicants were offered a place than female applicants and the difference was 1.8 percentage points.

Table 17: Offers and offer rates by gender and state and territory, 2011

| State | Receiving offer |  | Offer rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male <br> applicants | Female <br> applicants | Male <br> applicants | Female <br> applicants |
|  | 29,436 | 39,716 | $80.7 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ |
| Vic. | 22,718 | 31,997 | $72.7 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ |
| Qld | 17,131 | 26,261 | $75.8 \%$ | $78.4 \%$ |
| WA | 7,115 | 9,697 | $81.2 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 7,560 | 12,094 | $78.0 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |
| Tas. | 3,239 | 4,690 | $76.2 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{8 7 , 1 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 , 4 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 7 \%}$ |

## Age

Not surprisingly, offer rates to applicants (applications) in the 17-19 year-old age cohort were very similar to offers to current Year 12 applicants. Of the applicants aged 17-19, 144091 (or $79.9 \%$ ) received an offer. For current Year 12 students, the offer rate was $80.4 \%$. Offer rates were lower for applicants in the 20-24 age group (73.1\%) and 25 and over age group ( $75.5 \%$ ).

Of the small number of applications (1017) from applicants aged 16 or less, 896 received an offer, leading to a high offer rate of $88.1 \%$. This high offer rate is not surprising as most of this group of young applicants are likely to be high academic achievers.

Offer rates in most states and territories followed the national pattern by age group. Table 18 shows that in general the highest offer rates were recorded for 16 and under, followed by 1719 year olds and then 25 and over, with the 20-24 group recording the lowest offer rates. In Victoria, however, applicants aged 20-24 were more likely (72.7\%) to receive an offer than applicants from the 25 and over age group (68.1\%).

Table 18: Offers and offer rates by age group and state and territory, 2011

| State | Receiving offer |  |  |  | Offer rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 16 and <br> under | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ and <br> over | $\mathbf{1 6}$ and <br> under | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ and <br> over |
|  | 112 | 49,502 | 11,377 | 8,161 | $82.4 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 0 \%}$ |
| Vic. | 104 | 37,825 | 10,526 | 6,260 | $81.9 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ |
| Qld | 249 | 28,045 | 6869 | 8,229 | $88.0 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ |
| WA | 97 | 12,768 | 1,966 | 1,981 | $89.8 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 78 | 12,204 | 3,108 | 4,264 | $86.7 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ |
| Tas. | 256 | 3,747 | 1,576 | $\mathbf{2 , 3 5 0}$ | $93.8 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{8 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 , 0 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 4 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 2 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 5 \%}$ |

## Interstate applicants

Home state applicants (applications) were more likely to receive an offer than interstate applicants. This was consistent with the profile of interstate applications which were mostly for high demand courses with high admissions standards, such as Medical Studies, Dental Studies and Veterinary Studies (as discussed above on page 11).

Just over four out of five ( $80.2 \%$ ), or 187550 home state applicants were offered a place compared with 24104 interstate applicants (64.7\%), a difference of 15.5 percentage points (Table 19).

This pattern is broadly consistent across states and territories, although the size of the gap between home state and interstate offer rates differs. The difference was 39.9 percentage points in Tasmania and 37.9 percentage points in Western Australia. Victoria is the only state with a higher offer rate for interstate applicants (74.4\%) than home state applicants (73.3\%).
Table 19: Offers and offer rates by home state/interstate and state and territory, 2011

| State | Receiving offer |  | Offer rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home <br> state | Interstate | Home <br> state | Interstate |
|  | 63,975 | 5,177 | $83.4 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ |
| Vic. | 48,236 | 6,479 | $73.3 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ |
| Qld | 37,294 | 6,098 | $79.7 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ |
| WA | 15,531 | 1,281 | $86.6 \%$ | $48.8 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 16,444 | 3,210 | $82.8 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ |
| Tas. | 6,070 | 1,859 | $89.3 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 5 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 7 \%}$ |

## Offers by preference order

Across Australia, a total of 137579 applicants received an offer for their highest preference application. Note that highest preference refers to the highest ranking preference for a Commonwealth Supported Place (CSP) in a university undergraduate award course in a set of preferences expressed by the applicant. This does not necessarily represent the first preference on an application. Depending on how individual TACs operate, this may be a postgraduate, nonaward, or VET course, in which case it is not included in the analysis presented here.

Across Australia, most applicants were offered a place in their preferred course. Applicants with an offer for their highest preference course comprised nearly two thirds (65.0\%) of all successful applicants.

Overall offer rates and highest preference offer rates in 2011 were not very different from 2010, except for a significant increase in Victoria, where the highest preference offer rate rose by 5.2 percentage points.

Figure 1 compares highest preference offer rates and overall offer rates by state and territory. Highest preference offer rates generally varied in proportion to overall offer rates. In Tasmania, however, the highest preference offer rate was unusually high at $65.4 \%$, only 9.7 percentage points behind the overall Tasmanian offer rate.

Figure 1: Proportion of applicants that received an offer for their highest preference and proportion receiving any offer, by state and territory, 2011


Tasmania recorded the highest first preference offer rate of $65.4 \%$, with Western Australia a close second ( $64.0 \%$ ). Highest preference offer rates were below $60 \%$ in other states. The figure was just above half in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory (50.8\%) and Queensland (51.8\%) and $57.3 \%$ in South Australia/Northern Territory. Victoria recorded the lowest offer rate for highest preferences: only $42.0 \%$ of Victorian applicants received an offer in their first preference course. This was in line with Victoria's overall offer rate, which at $73.4 \%$ was the lowest of any state. The gap between the overall offer rate and the highest preference offer rate (31.4 percentage points) was also largest in Victoria. New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory had the next biggest gap (31.1 percentage points).

Current Year 12 applicants (applications) were less likely to receive an offer for their highest preference course (60.4\%) compared with $70.5 \%$ for other applicants. However, overall (not accounting for preferences) current Year 12 applicants had a higher offer rate than other applicants. These figures support the theory that many Year 12 students nominate an aspirational first preference, while other applicants are more focused in their preferences.

## Offers to applicants with few preferences

Applicants (applications) with few preferences were markedly less likely to receive an offer than were applicants who expressed three or more preferences. Of applicants with three or more preferences, $81.8 \%$ received an offer. This compares with just $67.6 \%$ for applicants with fewer than three preferences. For those with only two preferences the offer rate was only $68.3 \%$ and for those with only one preference the offer rate was lower still at 67.1\%.

Differences in offer rates are related to the characteristics and prior educational participation of applicants who express few preferences. As reported in the Chapter 3, applicants with fewer than three preferences tend to be older, non-Year 12 applicants.

## 5. Acceptances

## Total number of acceptances

According to 2011 data, 151008 applicants accepted an offer. This was a marginal increase of $1.2 \%$ on the 149230 acceptances reported in 2010. This is associated, in part, with the increase in offers and applications.

It is important to note that the definition of acceptances used in this report includes only those applicants who formally notify the TAC that they accepted an offer. Deferrals are excluded from the total. The rate at which applicants accepted offers dropped slightly in 2011 (from $72.9 \%$ in 2010 to $71.3 \%$ ).

## Acceptances by state and territory

In terms of accepting an offer (Table 20), Tasmania experienced the highest percentage increase of $10.3 \%$ followed by New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory (4.0\%) and Victoria (2.6\%). South Australia/Northern Territory (8.8\%) and Western Australia (3.3\%) experienced negative growth in acceptances. Acceptances grew marginally in Queensland ( $0.1 \%$ ).
Acceptance rates decreased 1.6 percentage points overall (from $72.9 \%$ to $71.3 \%$ ), with especially big decreases in South Australia/Northern Territory ( 7.4 percentage points), Victoria ( 2.7 percentage points), Western Australia ( 1.5 percentage points) and Queensland (1.2 percentage points). There was a modest increase in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory ( 0.8 percentage points). The acceptance rate remained the same in Tasmania.

Table 20: Annual change in acceptances and acceptance rates by state and territory, 2010 and 2011*

| State | Accepted offer |  |  | Acceptance rate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | \% Change | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
|  |  | Change <br> (p.p.) |  |  |  |  |
| NSW/ACT | 48,681 | 50,634 | $4.0 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | 0.8 |
| Vic. | 35,300 | 36,216 | $2.6 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ | -2.7 |
| Qld | 33,572 | 33,599 | $0.1 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | -1.2 |
| WA | 12,738 | 12,312 | $-3.3 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | -1.5 |
| SA/NT | 13,810 | 12,591 | $-8.8 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | -7.4 |
| Tas. | 5,129 | 5,656 | $10.3 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{1 4 9 , 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 , 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 9} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 6}$ |

*Acceptances exclude deferrals

## Prior educational participation

The acceptance rate for current Year 12 applicants (applications) in 2011 was $70.2 \%$, with 81217 current Year 12 applicants accepting an offer of a place. This was slightly lower than the average ( $71.3 \%$ ). The number of acceptances and the acceptance rate for each state and territory is presented in Table 21.

Table 21: Acceptances and acceptance rates by current Year 12 status and state and territory, 2011

| State | Accepting offer |  | Acceptance rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Current <br> Year 12 | Non- <br> Year 12 | Current <br> Year 12 | Non- <br> Year 12 |
|  | 28,898 | 21,736 | $72.6 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ |
| Vic. | 22,210 | 14,006 | $69.3 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ |
| Qld | 15,882 | 17,717 | $73.3 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ |
| WA | 7,216 | 5,096 | $69.0 \%$ | $80.1 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 5,653 | 6,938 | $60.8 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ |
| Tas. | 1,358 | 4,298 | $54.4 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{8 1 , 2 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 , 7 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 8 \%}$ |

Table 22 shows the number of acceptances and acceptance rates for those with prior VET and university participation. It should be noted that these categories are not mutually exclusive. The acceptance rate recorded for applications from applicants who had previously studied VET was $73.5 \%$, higher than the average of $71.3 \%$. The acceptance rate for applications from applicants with previous university education study was slightly lower at $70.2 \%$.
Table 22: Acceptances and acceptance rates by prior VET and university participation and state and territory, 2011

| State | Accepting offer |  | Acceptance rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Prior VET | Prior <br> university | Prior VET | Prior <br> university |
|  | 7,876 | 10,080 | $75.9 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ |
| Vic. | 6,291 | 7,709 | $64.2 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| Qld | 6,150 | 11,039 | $81.9 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ |
| WA | 2,149 | 2,239 | $80.2 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 2,262 | 2,417 | $65.5 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ |
| Tas. | 1,428 | 1,998 | $80.1 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 6 , 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 2 \%}$ |

## Gender

Acceptance rates differed slightly by gender. Of male applicants in receipt of an offer, 72.6\% accepted. The corresponding figure for females was $70.5 \%$.

Table 23: Acceptances and acceptance rates by gender and state and territory, 2011

| State | Accepting offer |  | Acceptance rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male <br> applicants | Female <br> applicants | Male <br> applicants | Female <br> applicants |
|  | 21,896 | 28,738 | $74.4 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ |
| Vic. | 15,404 | 20,812 | $67.8 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ |
| QId | 13,521 | 20,078 | $78.9 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ |
| WA | 5,290 | 7,022 | $74.3 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 4,918 | 7,673 | $65.1 \%$ | $63.4 \%$ |
| Tas. | 2,281 | 3,375 | $70.4 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{6 3 , 3 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 , 6 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 5 \%}$ |

## Age

Acceptance rates did not differ markedly by age group. As shown by Table 24, applicants aged 25 and over had marginally higher than average acceptance rates at $71.4 \%$, as compared with 17-19 and 20-24 year-olds (71.3\%).

Table 24: Acceptances and acceptance rates by age group and state and territory, 2011

| State | Accepting offer |  |  |  | Acceptance rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 16 and <br> under | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ and <br> over | $\mathbf{1 6}$ and <br> under | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ and <br> over |
|  | 71 | 36,536 | 8,337 | 5,690 | $63.4 \%$ | $73.8 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ |
| Vic. | 49 | 25,904 | 6,573 | 3,690 | $47.1 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ |
| Qld | 179 | 21,118 | 5,536 | 6,766 | $71.9 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ |
| WA | 64 | 9,174 | 1,513 | 1,561 | $66.0 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 48 | 7,766 | 2,074 | 2,703 | $61.5 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $63.4 \%$ |
| Tas. | 241 | 2,275 | 1,234 | 1,906 | $94.1 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{6 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 7 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 2 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 3 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 8} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 4 \%}$ |

## Interstate applicants

Interstate applicants (applications) were much less likely to accept an offer than home state applicants. Across Australia as a whole, $75.2 \%$ of home state applicants accepted their offers. The corresponding figure for interstate applicants was only $41.2 \%$. This is consistent with what is known about interstate applicants, that many also apply in their home state (and perhaps in more than one other state) for admission to a limited set of high demand courses with very high entrance standards (such as Medical Studies, Dental Studies and Veterinary Studies). An applicant who applies in several states is more likely to receive an offer in several states but cannot accept all offers made. Hence, acceptance rates for interstate applicants are relatively low. Applicants may also be less willing to accept offers from interstate (and more willing to accept them in their home state) due to the greater effort and difficulty of moving interstate to attend university.

There is a large gap between home state and interstate acceptance rates in all states and territories as shown by Table 25 . The difference ranges from 26.4 percentage points in Tasmania to 40.7 percentage points in Victoria.

Table 25: Acceptances and acceptance rates by home state/interstate and state and territory, 2011

| State | Accepting offer |  | Acceptance rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home <br> state | Interstate | Home <br> state | Interstate |
|  | 48,375 | 2,259 | $75.6 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ |
| Vic. | 34,251 | 1,965 | $71.0 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ |
| QId | 30,441 | 3,158 | $81.6 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ |
| WA | 11,817 | 495 | $76.1 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 11,481 | 1,110 | $69.8 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ |
| Tas. | 4,706 | 950 | $77.5 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{1 4 1 , 0 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 9 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 2 \%}$ |

## Deferrals

The number of deferrals fell slightly in 2011, compared with 2010 (Table 26). Note that deferrals data in this report includes only those applicants who formally deferred their offer through their TAC. Some applicants defer later, at the point of enrolment.

The deferral rate fell by 0.4 percentage point in 2011 to $10.4 \%$ of applicants in receipt of an offer. Deferral rates fell in all states and territories, except New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory and Tasmania which increased by 0.3 percentage point and half a percentage point, respectively.

Table 26: Deferrals and deferral rates by state and territory, 2010 and 2011

| State | Deferrals <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Deferral <br> rate $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Deferrals <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Deferral <br> rate $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Difference <br> in Deferral <br> rate (p.p) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 5,312 | $7.9 \%$ | 5,670 | $8.2 \%$ | 0.3 |
| Vic. | 5,820 | $11.4 \%$ | 5,821 | $10.6 \%$ | -0.8 |
| Qld | 5,223 | $12.2 \%$ | 4,966 | $11.4 \%$ | -0.8 |
| WA | 2,341 | $13.7 \%$ | 2,202 | $13.1 \%$ | -0.6 |
| SA/NT | 3,157 | $16.3 \%$ | 3,063 | $15.6 \%$ | -0.7 |
| Tas. | 277 | $3.8 \%$ | 341 | $4.3 \%$ | 0.5 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 2 , 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 0 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4}$ |

Current Year 12 applicants were more than twice as likely to defer as non-Year 12 applicants (Table 27). Deferral rates for applicants who had previously studied VET (7.7\%) was higher than for those with previous university study (5.9\%).

Table 27: Deferrals by current Year 12 status and prior educational participation, 2011

| Prior Education | Deferrals |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deferral rate |  |  |  |  |
| Current Year 12 | 16,192 |  |  | $14.0 \%$ |
| Non Year 12 | 5,871 | $6.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Prior Educational participation |  |  |  |  |
| Prior VET | 2,745 | $7.7 \%$ |  |  |
| Prior University | 2,986 | $5.9 \%$ |  |  |

Applications from regional applicants (Table 28) were about twice as likely to defer as metropolitan applicants. Applications from remote applicants were even more likely to defer with $23.3 \%$ of all remote applicants who received an offer deferring that offer.

Table 28: Deferrals by Region, SES and Indigenous status, 2011

|  | Deferrals | Deferral rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |
| Metropolitan | 13,524 | 8.4\% |
| Regional | 7,752 | 16.8\% |
| Remote | 580 | 23.3\% |
| Unknown | 207 | 8.9\% |
| Socioeconomic status |  |  |
| High | 6,436 | 9.7\% |
| Medium | 11,147 | 10.8\% |
| Low | 4,147 | 10.8\% |
| Unknown | 334 | 10.5\% |
| Indigenous status |  |  |
| Indigenous | 223 | 10.1\% |
| Non-Indigenous | 21,840 | 10.4\% |

There was no difference in deferral rates between medium and low SES applicants. High SES applicants were less likely to defer compared to low and medium SES applicants. Indigenous applicants were slightly less likely to defer than non-Indigenous applicants.

Younger applicants were much more likely to defer (Table 29), consistent with the figures for current Year 12 applicants reported above. Applicants in the youngest two age groups were almost twice as likely to defer as applicants aged 20-24. Applicants aged 25 or more showed deferral rates in between those of the school leaver age cohort and those of applicants in their early 20 s . Interstate applicants' deferral rate was 2.5 percentage points higher than the home state applicants' deferral rate.

Table 29: Deferrals by age, gender and home and interstate applicants, 2011

|  | Deferrals |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Deferral rate |  |  |
| Age group | 95 | $10.6 \%$ |
| 16 and under | 17,340 | $12.0 \%$ |
| $17-19$ | 2,039 | $5.8 \%$ |
| $20-24$ | 2,589 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 25 and over | 13,555 | $10.9 \%$ |
| Gender | 8,508 | $9.8 \%$ |
| Female | 19,014 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Male | 3,049 | $12.6 \%$ |
| Home state and Interstate |  |  |
| Home state |  |  |

Differences in deferral rates were particularly pronounced for current Year 12 applicants. While only $10.6 \%$ of metropolitan current Year 12 applicants deferred, nearly a quarter of regional current Year 12 applicants and more than one third of remote current Year 12 applicants deferred their offers (Table 30). There was little difference in deferral rates by region for other applicants, though remote applicants were slightly more likely to defer and metropolitan applicants were less likely to defer.

By SES, differences in deferral rates for current Year 12 applicants were much less pronounced than differences by region (Table 30). High SES current Year 12 applicants were least likely to defer and low SES current Year 12 applicants most likely.
Table 30: Deferral rates by Region and SES by current Year 12 status, 2011

|  | Current Year <br> 12 | Other <br> applicants | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Region | $10.6 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |  |
| Metropolitan | $24.0 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ |  |
| Regional | $34.4 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ |  |
| Remote | $7.6 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |  |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |
| Socioeconomic Status |  |  |  |  |
| High | $12.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |  |
| Medium | $14.4 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |  |
| Low | $14.7 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | $14.0 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |  |

## 6. Unmet Demand

## Concepts and method

The raw number of applications without a corresponding offer does not provide a meaningful estimate of unmet demand for higher education. To derive a more realistic estimate of unmet demand, the former Australian Vice Chancellor's Committee (now Universities Australia) developed a methodology which applies a series of discounts to the number of unsuccessful applicants. These discounts aim to remove:

- school leaver applicants with low ATARs;
- multiple applications (that is, where one applicant applies to more than one TAC); and
- applicants with fewer than three preferences.

The adjusted total is then further discounted to allow for the rate at which applicants reject offers.
Figure 2: Calculation of unmet demand


The result of all these calculations is the estimate of unmet demand. Figure 2 shows the estimation method schematically. An eligible applicant refers to all applicants less school leaver applicants with an ATAR below an agreed benchmark (54.95 in 2011).

It is important to note that eligibility, according to this definition, is a concept developed for analytic purposes only and is not directly relevant to the admissions process. Note that ineligible applicants can (and do) receive offers.

The current methodology for estimating unmet demand was developed by Universities Australia (UA) in consultation with ACTAC and was first used for UA's 2005 Report on Applications for Undergraduate University Courses. Results from the newly agreed methodology were back cast to 2001. For years prior to 2001, available published unmet demand estimates were calculated according to a different methodology. One difference in methodology was that unmet demand was previously estimated as a range rather than, as now, as a single, though rounded, figure.

As in previous years, DEEWR is using the established UA methodology for consistency in order to enable comparison across time. It should be noted in particular that unmet demand calculated according to this method covers only applications and offers processed through TACs and does not take account of direct applications.

## Unmet demand in 2011

Unmet demand in 2011 was estimated at 7.8\%, or around 19400 applicants. As a proportion of eligible applicants (applications), this represented a decrease of 0.4 percentage points.
Estimated unmet demand in 2011 - including a step-by-step calculation - is shown in Table 31.
Table 31: Estimation of unmet demand, 2011

|  | $\mathbf{\| l \|}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total applications | 271,117 |
| Total Eligible applications | 246,987 |
| Total unsuccessful applications | 59,463 |
| Number discounted from total unsuccessful applications | 16,442 |
| Unsuccessful eligible applications | 43,021 |
| Step one | 8,276 |
| Unsuccessful eligible applications (home state) with one preference | 4,965 |
| Unsuccessful eligible applications (home state) with two preferences | 6,219 |
| Unsuccessful eligible school leaver applications (interstate) aged 20 and <br> under | 19,460 |
| Number discounted from step one | 23,561 |
| Step two | $18.0 \%$ |
| Estimate of unsuccessful eligible applications remaining after step one | 4,207 |
| Rejection rate ${ }^{\#}$ |  |
| Number discounted from step two | 19,400 |
| Step three | $7.8 \%$ |
| Unsuccessful eligible applications after discounting (rounded) |  |
| $\%$ of total eligible applications (unmet demand) |  |

\# Weighted average. Rejection rates are calculated separately for each TAC. See Appendix Table A2.1 for details.

The decrease in unmet demand observed in 2011 was small and coincided with a modest increase in applications (1.5\%). Relatively higher growth in offers (3.3\%) offset the modest increase in demand. A small increase in the number of current Year 12 applicants with very low ATARs reduced the proportion of eligible applicants which contributed to the decrease in unmet demand.

In the recent past, large increases in applications have led to large increases in unmet demand. In 2002, a $6.2 \%$ increase in eligible applications yielded a rise of nearly three percentage points (or 7400) in unmet demand. In 2003, unmet demand grew by five percentage points following a $3.0 \%$ rise in applications.

Unmet demand in 2011 remains relatively low by historical standards. Unmet demand was above 35000 (or $15 \%$ of eligible applicants) in 2003 and 2004 and has fallen significantly since then. Unmet demand was even higher during the first half of the 1990s. Note that UA estimated unmet demand as a range prior to 2001 (using a different methodology). In 1992, the low end estimate of unmet demand was 34000 (or $14.6 \%$ of eligible applicants) and the high end estimate was 49700 ( $21.4 \%$ of eligible applicants).

Figure 3: Unmet demand, 1986-2011


## Trends in unmet demand by state and territory

As shown in Table 32, unmet demand fell across all states/territories, except for Queensland (where eligible applications also fell by $1.7 \%$ ) between 2010 and 2011. On the other hand, unmet demand fell in South Australia/Northern Territory (11.8\%) and Western Australia (9.1\%). Victoria recorded the smallest decrease in unmet demand (3.3\%). Historically, Victoria has recorded the highest rate of unmet demand by state. In 2011, however, unmet demand was highest in Queensland (6100) followed by Victoria (5800).

Table 32: Annual change in unmet demand by state and territory, 2010-2011

| State | Unmet demand (frequency of <br> unsuccessful applicants) |  | Unmet demand (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | \% Change | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
| NSW/ACT | 4,400 | 4,200 | $-4.5 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | -0.4 |
| Vic. | 6,000 | 5,800 | $-3.3 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | -0.5 |
| Qld | 6,000 | 6,100 | $1.7 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | 0.4 |
| WA | 1,100 | 1,000 | $-9.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | -0.6 |
| SA/NT | 1,700 | 1,500 | $-11.8 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | -0.9 |
| Tas. | 800 | 800 | $0.0 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | -1.4 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4}$ |

Table 33: Unsuccessful eligible applicants after discounting by state and territory, 2002-2011

| State | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 6,600 | 10,000 | 11,400 | 5,700 | 3,700 | 3,400 | 2,500 | 4,200 | 4,400 | 4,200 |
| Vic. | 10,100 | 12,400 | 12,000 | 6,500 | 4,300 | 5,100 | 4,500 | 6,400 | 6,000 | 5,800 |
| QId | 5,600 | 9,400 | 8,400 | 4,200 | 4,000 | 2,700 | 3,200 | 4,700 | 6,000 | 6,100 |
| WA | 800 | 2,400 | 2,900 | 1,600 | 900 | 700 | 700 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,000 |
| SA/NT | 500 | 1,200 | 1,100 | 1,400 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,500 | 1,700 | 1,500 |
| Tas. | 42 | 300 | 300 | 200 | 200 | 300 | 700 | 700 | 800 | 800 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 3 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 4 0 0}$ |

## A demand driven system and future unmet demand

In the 2009-10 Budget, in response to the Bradley Review of Australian Higher Education, the Government announced its ambition for growth in higher education attainment, so that by 2025, 40 per cent of all 25 to 34 year olds will hold a qualification at bachelor level or above. To support achievement of the attainment ambition, the Government announced that from 2012, undergraduate Commonwealth supported places at public universities would be funded on the basis of student demand. The Government provided for transitional arrangements in 2010 and 2011 to precede the introduction of the new funding system, which included increasing the cap on over enrolments above the allocated target places from 5 per cent to 10 per cent.

Estimates of enrolments for 2011 indicate that universities are already responding to the Government's commitment to implement a demand driven funding system for undergraduate places from 2012. In 2011, the Government is estimated to be funding around 482,000 Commonwealth supported undergraduate places at public universities. This is an increase of around 18,000 places, or $4 \%$, above 2010 enrolment numbers. The growth in 2011 brings total growth in undergraduate places to 16\% over 2008 to 2011.

The current method of estimating unmet demand was devised when the supply of places was more tightly constrained by Australian Government funding policies than is now the case. In a demand driven funding system, universities will decide how many places to offer, in each field and course. This change in the funding system will lead to a changed relationship between the demand and supply for university places. This will also change the nature and level of estimates of unmet demand. Whereas at present unmet demand approximates, in an aggregate sense, the inability of applicants to secure university entrance, in the future unmet demand may be more likely to reflect the mismatch between applicants' preferences for particular fields of
study or university. Future review and revision of methods for estimating unmet demand will take into consideration the operation of a demand driven system in comparison to the funding system prevailing from the mid 2000 s. Further discussion of the future demand driven system can be found at page 68 in the discussion of the current and future policy environment of the higher education sector.

## Outcomes for unsuccessful applicants

The Longitudinal Surveys of Australian Youth (LSAY) provides a rich source of data on young people's transitions from school to various forms of post-school education, training and labour market outcomes. LSAY has found that around $90 \%$ of Year 12 applicants who do not get a university place will go on to further study or employment. ${ }^{2}$ Two years after completing Year $12,45 \%$ of unsuccessful applicants were doing some form of post-school education and training; about $24 \%$ were enrolled in a TAFE Diploma course, $11 \%$ in a traineeship, $6 \%$ in a TAFE Certificate course, and $5 \%$ in an apprenticeship.

Many young people go on to university several years after leaving school. LSAY has found that slightly more than half ( $52 \%$ ) of young people who were in Year 9 in 1998 had attended university at some point in the ten years after completing Year 9. ${ }^{3}$ More than one third of this cohort (36\%) had completed a bachelor's degree or higher by 2008. Some 58\% of the 1998 Year 9 cohort had enrolled in VET (including apprenticeships) by 2008 and $41 \%$ had completed a VET qualification.

[^2]
## 7. Field of Education

## Applications by field of education

Universities determine their course offerings at an institutional level in response to student demand. For purposes of classification and analysis, education courses are coded according to the Australian Standard Classification of Education (ASCED). There are 12 broad fields of education that differ in the range of university courses and subjects they cover. Society and Culture covers the broadest range, including, among other subjects, Political Science, History, Social Work, Psychology, Law, Languages, Philosophy, Economics and Criminology. Natural and Physical Sciences covers several distinct fields (including Mathematics, Physics, Geology, Biology), while Health covers courses designed to prepare students for several different professions (including Medicine, Nursing, Pharmacy, Dentistry, Veterinary Science and Physiotherapy). Creative Arts is another diverse broad field, which includes Journalism and Graphic Design as well as Performing and Visual Arts. On the other hand Education and Information Technology cover a narrower range of courses ${ }^{4}$.

The most popular broad field of education was Health which attracted 67040 highest preference applications ( $24.7 \%$ of all applications). Society and Culture (including Law) was second with 55859 applications. The next most popular broad field was Management and Commerce which was well behind Society and Culture with 35345 applications, followed by Creative Arts with 27999 and Natural and Physical Sciences with 21086 applications. A breakdown of the number of highest preferences recorded by each broad field of education and selected narrow fields, for the years 2009 to 2011, is found in Table 34 below.

Table 34: Highest preferences, offers and acceptances by field of education, 2009-2011

| Field of education | Highest Preference |  |  | Offers |  |  | Acceptances |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 17,222 | 19,390 | 21,086 | 18,018 | 20,420 | 21,759 | 12,759 | 14,654 | 15,322 |
| Information Technology | 6,500 | 6,802 | 6,858 | 5,219 | 5,943 | 6,019 | 4,171 | 4,569 | 4,645 |
| Engineering | 16,523 | 16,713 | 17,327 | 13,803 | 14,083 | 14,496 | 10,409 | 10,867 | 11,152 |
| Architecture | 8,877 | 9,430 | 9,577 | 5,804 | 6,235 | 6,324 | 4,422 | 4,801 | 4,752 |
| Agriculture | 4,272 | 4,491 | 4,308 | 4,042 | 4,341 | 4,091 | 2,623 | 2,936 | 2,738 |
| Health | 57,006 | 64,394 | 67,040 | 35,317 | 38,467 | 40,167 | 25,054 | 27,462 | 27,969 |
| Medical | 10,110 | 11,438 | 12,752 | 2,146 | 2,466 | 2,671 | 1,548 | 1,783 | 1,867 |
| Nursing | 18,768 | 22,527 | 22,024 | 14,061 | 15,865 | 15,634 | 10,859 | 11,993 | 11,495 |
| Dental | 3,553 | 3,547 | 4,114 | 1,069 | 1,103 | 1,114 | 683 | 684 | 695 |
| Veterinary | 2,378 | 2,007 | 2,107 | 699 | 595 | 598 | 429 | 399 | 377 |
| Health Other | 22,197 | 24,275 | 26,043 | 17,342 | 18,438 | 20,150 | 11,535 | 14,991 | 13,535 |
| Education | 22,858 | 24,684 | 23,797 | 16,871 | 17,843 | 18,494 | 12,258 | 13,055 | 13,240 |
| Teacher Education | 21,886 | 23,515 | 22,478 | 16,276 | 17,000 | 17,776 | 11,803 | 12,442 | 12,688 |
| Management and Commerce | 35,308 | 34,788 | 35,345 | 28,394 | 29,194 | 30,350 | 21,426 | 21,838 | 22,107 |
| Society and Culture | 55,451 | 56,737 | 55,859 | 46,515 | 47,889 | 49,276 | 33,179 | 34,642 | 34,148 |
| Law | 12,769 | 12,399 | 11,253 | 8,190 | 7,543 | 7,148 | 5,900 | 5,397 | 5,043 |
| Creative Arts | 25,668 | 28,139 | 27,999 | 17,044 | 18,921 | 19,058 | 12,369 | 13,232 | 13,591 |
| Total | 249,743 | 266,996 | 271,117 | 191,068 | 204,794 | 211,654 | 138,697 | 149,230 | 151,008 |

NB: Hospitality and Mixed Field programs are not shown and hence the number of total applications does not equal to the sum of applications by broad field of education shown above.
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## Field of education preferences, offers and acceptances over time

Time series data from 2009 to 2011 by field of education are presented in Table 34. The largest increases in demand between 2010 and 2011 was for Dental Studies (up 16.0\%) and Medical Studies (up 11.5\%) compared with an overall increase of $1.5 \%$ in total applications. The fields which recorded the largest decreases in applicants were Law (down 9.2\%), Teacher Education (down 4.4\%) and Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies (down 4.1\%).

The decline in Law is mainly attributed to recent changes in the structure of law degrees at some institutions. A number of universities have introduced graduate entry programs (Juris Doctor), requiring students wishing to undertake a Law degree to first enrol in an undergraduate degree in a different field of study.

## Offer rates by field of education

Not surprisingly, offer rates differed widely by field of education (Table 35). The lowest offer rates were recorded in Medical Studies (20.9\%), Dental Studies (27.1\%) and Veterinary Studies (28.4\%). The next lowest offer rate (though much higher than these three fields) was Law at 63.5\%. Architecture and Building (66.0\%) and Creative Arts (68.1\%) also recorded relatively low offer rates. In Natural and Physical Sciences, on the other hand, the number of offers exceeded the number of applicants (applications) with this field as their highest valid preference. As a result the offer rate for Natural and Physical Sciences was 103.2\%. In 2011, the offer rate for Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies was still very high at 95.0\%, while the offer rate for Information Technology courses was 87.8\%. Offer rates have exceeded $100 \%$ in these broad fields of education in the past.

## Acceptances by field of education

Acceptance rates differ less by field of education (Table 35). Acceptance rates are somewhat lower for Dental Studies (62.4\%) and Veterinary Studies (63.0\%). This reflects applicant behaviour: applicants for these high demand courses often apply for several courses in different states, receive more than one offer and then only accept one offer.

Table 35: Offer rates, acceptances rates by field of education, 2009-2011

| Field of education | Offer rates |  |  | Acceptance rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 104.6\% | 105.3\% | 103.2\% | 82.6\% | 71.8\% | 70.4\% |
| Information Technology | 80.3\% | 87.4\% | 87.8\% | 88.7\% | 76.9\% | 77.2\% |
| Engineering | 83.5\% | 84.3\% | 83.7\% | 85.9\% | 77.2\% | 76.9\% |
| Architecture | 65.4\% | 66.1\% | 66.0\% | 89.0\% | 77.0\% | 75.1\% |
| Agriculture | 94.6\% | 96.7\% | 95.0\% | 80.6\% | 67.6\% | 66.9\% |
| Health | 62.0\% | 59.7\% | 59.9\% | 82.5\% | 71.4\% | 69.6\% |
| Medical | 21.2\% | 21.6\% | 20.9\% | 80.3\% | 72.3\% | 69.9\% |
| Nursing | 74.9\% | 70.4\% | 71.0\% | 86.5\% | 75.6\% | 73.5\% |
| Dental | 30.1\% | 31.1\% | 27.1\% | 71.5\% | 62.0\% | 62.4\% |
| Veterinary | 29.4\% | 29.6\% | 28.4\% | 75.0\% | 67.1\% | 63.0\% |
| Health Other |  |  | 77.4\% |  |  | 67.2\% |
| Education | 73.8\% | 72.3\% | 77.7\% | 84.0\% | 73.2\% | 71.6\% |
| Teacher Education | 74.4\% | 72.3\% | 79.1\% | 83.9\% | 73.2\% | 71.4\% |
| Management and Commerce | 80.4\% | 83.9\% | 85.9\% | 86.0\% | 74.8\% | 72.8\% |
| Society and Culture | 83.9\% | 84.4\% | 88.2\% | 84.2\% | 72.3\% | 69.3\% |
| Law | 64.1\% | 60.8\% | 63.5\% | 83.1\% | 71.5\% | 70.6\% |
| Creative Arts | 66.4\% | 67.2\% | 68.1\% | 85.2\% | 69.9\% | 71.3\% |
| Total | 76.5\% | 76.7\% | 78.1\% | 84.4\% | 72.9\% | 71.3\% |

NB: Hospitality and Mixed Field programs are not shown and hence the number of total applications does not equal to the sum of applications by broad field of education shown above.

## Trends in key skills areas

Time series data by field of education is limited to eligible applicants.
Trends in applications and offers since 2001 are reported in the reminder of the chapter for four fields of education where the Australian Government has introduced changes to the Higher Education Loan Program (HELP) repayments, namely:

- Nursing,
- Education,
- Early Childhood Education, and
- Natural and Physical Sciences (including Mathematical Science).

Time series data are also presented for three further fields of education where concerns have been expressed about potential skills shortages:

- Medical Studies,
- Dental Studies, and
- Engineering.


## Trends in key skills areas - Nursing

Demand for Nursing courses fell in 2011, though it remains at historically high levels following strong growth in 2010. The number of eligible applicants (applications) for Nursing decreased by $2.4 \%$ in 2011 to 19866 . Demand for Nursing increased by more than 100\% between 2001 and 2011.

The number of offers in Nursing also decreased slightly (by 1.1\%) in 2011 following strong growth in 2010 (Figure 4). Compared with 2001, the number of offers increased by $84.3 \%$. The offer rate for eligible applicants for Nursing in 2011 was $75.6 \%$, slightly higher than in 2010 (74.6\%). Measures introduced in the 2009-10 Budget to increase student contributions for Nursing in order to support expanded course provision and to lower compulsory HELP debt repayments for graduates working in the Nursing profession are likely to explain, in part, the higher level of demand for Nursing courses recorded from 2009.

Figure 4: Eligible applicants and offers, Nursing, 2001-2011


## Trends in key skills areas - Education

The number of eligible applicants (applications) for Education courses decreased in 2011 (down $3.4 \%)$. The number of eligible applicants in 2011 (20584) was $15.5 \%$ lower compared with five years ago, and just $3.3 \%$ higher compared with 2001 (Figure 5).
On the other hand, offers increased by $4.0 \%$ in 2011. The 2011 offer rate was $85.2 \%$, up by 6.0 percentage points from 2010. The number of eligible offers in 2011 (17 534) was 9.1\% lower compared with five years ago, and $24.6 \%$ higher compared with 2001 eligible offers.
Similar to Nursing units, measures introduced in the 2009-2010 Budget to increase student participation in Education courses (for graduates working in the education sector) may explain, in part, the higher level of demand for Educational courses from 2009.

Figure 5: Eligible applicants and offers, Education, 2001-2011


## Trends in key skills areas - Early Childhood Education

It is not possible to present time series data on Early Childhood Education courses for 20012011, as this detailed field of education could not be separately identified before the introduction of the unit record applications and offers data collection in 2009. In this report, we present a comparison of figures from 2009 to 2011 for all Early Childhood Education applications.
In 2011, (Figure 6) there were 3725 applicants (applications) for Early Childhood courses, representing $15.7 \%$ of applicants for Education courses and 2779 applicants were offered a place in an Early Childhood Education course (14.8\% of all Education offers). Compared with 2010, applicant numbers for Early Childhood Education in 2011 were $6.1 \%$ lower. Nevertheless, applications in 2011 were still higher than the 2009 level, which was 3428 . The number of applicants for Teacher Education courses as a whole also decreased by $3.3 \%$.
The demand for Early Childhood Education courses may, in part, reflect measures introduced in the 2008-09 Budget designed to encourage enrolments in this area. These measures include an additional 500 university places for early childhood teachers starting in 2009 and rising to 1500 places in 2011; and reducing HELP debt repayments for early childhood teachers who work in regional and remote areas, Indigenous communities and areas of high disadvantage.
It should be noted that due to the classification of courses, some persons seeking to train as Early Childhood educators may be applying for courses which are not specifically classified as Early Childhood Education courses in applications data.

Figure 6: Applicants and offers, Early Childhood Education, 2011


## Trends in key skills areas - Natural and Physical Sciences

The number of applicants (applications) for Natural and Physical Sciences in 2011 rose to 21 759, an increase of $8.7 \%$ compared with 2010. Offers to eligible applicants increased by $6.6 \%$ in 2011. Total domestic applications for Mathematical Science (a subset of Natural and Physical Sciences) slightly decreased from 401 in 2010 to 396 in 2011 (down 1.2\%). Offers also decreased during this period (from 409 to 391, down by $4.4 \%$ ). The offer rate for Mathematical Science is $98.7 \%$ in 2011 which is 4.5 percentage points lower than the offer rate for Natural and Physical Sciences. These numbers represent highest preferences and it should be noted that applicants who may be applying to study Mathematical Science as a second major or combined with another field of education may not be captured.

Figure 7: Eligible applicants and offers, Natural and Physical Sciences, 2009-2011


## Trends in key skills areas - Medical Studies

The number of eligible applicants (applications) for Medical Studies increased by 10.6\% (12 425) in 2011 (Figure 8) following strong growth (23.5\%) in 2010. The number of eligible applicants in 2011 was markedly higher than levels observed in the first half of the decade. Eligible applicant numbers increased by $64.9 \%$ between 2001 and 2011.

Offers to eligible applicants increased by 7.9\% in 2011 following strong growth (21.7\%) in 2010. The number of offers to eligible applicants in 2011 was $36.3 \%$ higher than the 2001 level. The offer rate fell slightly in 2011 to $21.3 \%$, following a drop of three percentage points in 2010. The 2011 offer rate was the lowest in the series.

Medical Studies is one of a number of fields (including other Health fields) where the supply of places depends not only on the number of university places available, but also on the availability of practical training placements.

Figure 8: Eligible applicants and offers, Medical Studies, 2001-2011


## Trends in key skills areas - Dental Studies

In 2011 eligible applicants (applications) to Dental Studies increased by 15.6\% (to 4010) in 2011 (Figure 9). As shown in Figure 9, demand for Dental Studies increased every year since 2001. The increase observed in 2011 was smaller than most years in the series. Nevertheless, the number of eligible applicants in 2011 was over six times higher than the 2001 figure (666).

Offers to eligible applicants increased slightly by $0.5 \%$ in 2011. Between 2001 and 2011, there was more than a threefold increase in offers. The offer rate for eligible applicants in 2011 (27.5\%) was 4.1 percentage points higher than the 2010 offer rate.

Figure 9: Eligible applicants and offers, Dental Studies, 2001-2011


## Trends in key skills areas - Engineering

Demand for Engineering increased by $5.6 \%$ in 2011. The number of eligible applicants (applications) in 2011 (16 634) was the highest in the current series due to strong growth in demand over the previous several years (Figure 10). Eligible applicants for engineering courses increased successively for six years to 2011. Demand for Engineering grew by $38.0 \%$ between 2001 and 2011.

Eligible offers also increased by $2.9 \%$ in 2011. The number of offers to eligible applicants in 2011 (14 234) was the highest in the series and was $29.2 \%$ higher than the 2001 figure. In 2011 the offer rate fell by 2.2 percentage points to $85.6 \%$. This was above the national average for offers to eligible applicants (82.6\%).

Figure 10: Eligible applicants and offers, Engineering, 2001-2011


## 8. Type of University

## Applications

Applications by type of university show that the non-aligned universities (19 out of 37 public universities are non-aligned) received the largest share (31.9\%) of applications in 2011, followed by the Group of Eight universities (30.8\%). Innovative Research Universities Australia (IRUA) and Technology universities received $17.5 \%$ and $19.8 \%$, respectively. See Appendix Table A11.1 for a listing of universities by type of university.

In 2011, except Technology Universities, all other type of universities have marginally increased their share of applications (between 0.4 and 0.7 percentage points) compared with 2010. Technology universities' share of applications fell by 1.4 percentage points.
Table 36: Applications by type of university, 2010 and 2011

|  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Type of university | Applications | Share (\%) | Applications | Share (\%) |
| Innovative Research Universities <br> Australia | 45,836 | $17.2 \%$ | 47,646 | $17.6 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 81,143 | $30.4 \%$ | 83,404 | $30.8 \%$ |
| Technology | 56,670 | $21.2 \%$ | 53,614 | $19.8 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | $83, \mathbf{3 4 7}$ | $31.2 \%$ | 86,453 | $31.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 6 6 , 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1 , \mathbf { 1 1 7 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Offers

The non-aligned universities also had the largest share of offers in 2011 (37.9\%) and this was 2.1 percentage points higher than their share of offers in 2010 (Table 37). The Group of Eight universities' decreased their share by 0.4 percentage points. Offer rates were lowest for Group of Eight universities ( $65.5 \%$ ), with offer rates decreasing slightly by 0.7 percentage points from 2010. The Technology universities' share of offers also fell by 1.7 percentage points (from $19.4 \%$ to $17.7 \%$ ). Non-aligned universities recorded the highest offer rates ( $92.9 \%$ ) well above the national average of $78.1 \%$. Offer rates for Innovative Research Universities ( $82.6 \%$ ) were also higher than the national average and this is a decrease of 0.5 percentage points since 2010.
Table 37: Offers and offer rates by type of university, 2010 and 2011

|  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Type of university | Offers | Share (\%) | Offer <br> rates (\%) | Offers | Share (\%) | Offer <br> rates (\%) |
| Innovative Research <br> Universities Australia | 38,109 | $18.6 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | 39,346 | $18.6 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 53,730 | $26.2 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ | 54,662 | $25.8 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ |
| Technology | 39,654 | $19.4 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | 37,370 | $17.7 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 73,301 | $35.8 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ | 80,276 | $37.9 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 4 , 7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 1 , 6 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 1 \%}$ |

The non-aligned universities recorded the largest share of acceptances (35.7\%) (Table 38). The Group of Eight universities constitute the second largest share of acceptances ( $26.7 \%$ ) despite a decrease by 0.3 percentage points from 2010. Innovative Research Universities recorded 18.6\% of the share of acceptances, a decrease of 0.8 percentage points from 2010.

The Technology universities recorded the highest acceptance rate in 2011 ( $88.2 \%$ ), followed by the Group of Eight universities ( $84.4 \%$ ). The Innovative Research Universities ( $81.8 \%$ ) was at par with the national average and the non-aligned universities ( $76.9 \%$ ) recorded lower than the national average.
Table 38: Acceptances and acceptance rates by type of university, 2010 and 2011*

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Type of university | Acceptances | Share <br> (\%) | Acceptance <br> rates (\%) | Acceptances | Share <br> (\%) | Acceptance <br> rates (\%) |
| Innovative Research <br> Universities Australia | 33,190 | $19.4 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | 32,203 | $18.6 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 46,144 | $27.0 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ | 46,137 | $26.7 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| Technology | 34,155 | $20.0 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | 32,970 | $19.0 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 57,594 | $33.7 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | 61,761 | $35.7 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 1 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3 , 0 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 8 \%}$ |

*Acceptances include Deferrals, hence acceptance rates differ from rates presented in Chapter 5.

## Field of Education

In 2011, (Table 39) the non-aligned universities had the largest number of applications in four fields of education: Health (21 756), Management and Commerce (12 685), Education (11 894) and Information Technology (2457). The Group of Eight Universities recorded the largest number of applications in Society and Culture (23 068), Natural and Physical Sciences (10 598), Engineering and Related Technologies (6913) and Agriculture and Related Studies (2298). The Technology universities recorded the largest number of applications in both Creative Arts (10 658) and Architecture and Building (4887).

Table 39: Applications by type of university by field of education, 2011

| Field of Education | IRUA | Go8 | Technology | Non- <br> Aligned | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Natural \& Physical Sciences | 3,261 | 10,598 | 2,572 | 4,655 | $\mathbf{2 1 , 0 8 6}$ |
| Information Technology | 1,031 | 1,052 | 2,318 | 2,457 | $\mathbf{6 , 8 5 8}$ |
| Engineering \& Related <br> Technologies | 2,003 | 6,913 | 4,231 | 4,180 | $\mathbf{1 7 , 3 2 7}$ |
| Architecture \& Building | 763 | 2,480 | 4,887 | 1,447 | $\mathbf{9 , 5 7 7}$ |
|  <br> Related Studies | 820 | 2,298 | 203 | 987 | $\mathbf{4 , 3 0 8}$ |
| Health | 16,572 | 18,583 | 10,129 | 21,756 | $\mathbf{6 7 , 0 4 0}$ |
| Education | 5,705 | 2,246 | 3,952 | 11,894 | $\mathbf{2 3 , 7 9 7}$ |
| Management \& Commerce | 4,435 | 9,365 | 8,860 | 12,685 | $\mathbf{3 5 , 3 4 5}$ |
| Society \& Culture | 9,358 | 23,068 | 5,804 | 17,629 | $\mathbf{5 5 , 8 5 9}$ |
| Creative Arts | 3,698 | 6,800 | 10,658 | 6,843 | $\mathbf{2 7 , 9 9 9}$ |
| Total* | $\mathbf{4 7 , 6 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 , 4 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 , 6 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 , 4 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1 , 1 1 7}$ |

*Total includes Hospitality and Personal Services, and Mixed field programs
Offers by of field of education (Table 40) broadly followed the patterns observed in relation to applications.

The non-aligned universities recorded the highest offer rates for most of the fields of education (Table 40) and exceeded $100 \%$ for six fields of education: for Natural and Physical Sciences (126.3\%), Information Technology (100.5\%), Management and Commerce (105.2\%), Society and Culture (105.2\%) and Creative arts (103.5\%). The Group of Eight had the highest offer rate for Agriculture and Environmental Studies (95.7\%) and the Technology universities had the highest offer rate for Health (70.4\%). Innovative Research Universities recorded the highest offer rate in Society and Culture (107.9\%).

Table 40: Offers by type of university by field of education, 2011

| Field of Education | IRUA | Go8 | Technology | Non- <br> Aligned | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Natural \& Physical Sciences | 3,203 | 9,662 | 3,013 | 5,881 | 21,759 |
| Information Technology | 972 | 753 | 1,824 | 2,470 | 6,019 |
| Engineering \& Related <br> Technologies | 1,771 | 5,306 | 3,355 | 4,064 | 14,496 |
| Architecture \& Building | 711 | 1,549 | 2,568 | 1,496 | 6,324 |
|  <br> Related Studies | 762 | 2,199 | 188 | 942 | 4,091 |
| Health | 10,772 | 7,338 | 7,130 | 14,927 | 40,167 |
| Education | 4,448 | 1,279 | 2,854 | 9,913 | 18,494 |
| Management \& Commerce | 4,279 | 6,315 | 6,414 | 13,342 | 30,350 |
| Society \& Culture | 10,099 | 16,287 | 4,353 | 18,537 | 49,276 |
| Creative Arts | 2,329 | 3,974 | 5,671 | 7,084 | 19,058 |
| Total* | $\mathbf{3 9 , 3 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 , 6 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 , 2 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 1 , 6 5 4}$ |

*Total includes Hospitality and Personal Services, and Mixed field programs

Table 41: Offer rates by type of university by field of education, 2011

| Field of Education | IRUA | Go8 | Technology | Non-Aligned | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Natural \& Physical Sciences | $98.2 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ | $117.1 \%$ | $126.3 \%$ | $103.2 \%$ |
| Information Technology | $94.3 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ | $100.5 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ |
| Engineering \& Related <br> Technologies | $88.4 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ | $97.2 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ |
| Architecture \& Building | $93.2 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $103.4 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ |
|  <br> Related Studies | $92.9 \%$ | $95.7 \%$ | $92.6 \%$ | $95.4 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ |
| Health | $65.0 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ |
| Education | $78.0 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ |
| Management \& Commerce | $96.5 \%$ | $67.4 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ | $105.2 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ |
| Society \& Culture | $107.9 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $105.2 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ |
| Creative Arts | $63.0 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ | $103.5 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ |
| Total* | $\mathbf{8 2 . 6 \%}$ | $65.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 1 \%}$ |

*Total includes Hospitality and Personal Services, and Mixed field programs

## 9. Under-Represented Groups

## Concepts and methods

Applicant data includes postcode of permanent home residence. This postcode data can be used to construct indicators of both applicants' geographic location or regionality, and applicants' socioeconomic status (SES). The collection also provides information on applicants' self-reported Indigenous status.

To categorise applicants by regionality, postcodes are coded into three groups (metropolitan, regional, remote) based on the Ministerial Council on Employment, Education, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEETYA) classification of regions. The MCEETYA Classification of Geographical Location incorporates the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Accessibility/Remoteness Index of Australia (ARIA) and maintains comparability with the Rural, Remote and Metropolitan areas Classification (Department of Primary Industries and Energy/Department of Human Affairs and Health, 1994), which uses Census data to identify statistical local areas of population density.

To derive an estimate of an applicant's SES, postcodes are categorised by the ABS SocioEconomic Index for Areas (SEIFA) Index of Education and Occupation (IEO).

Using postcode as an indicator of SES has a number of well known methodological and theoretical limitations. The Bradley Review recommended that better measures of SES be developed based on the circumstances of individual students. In 2010, DEEWR developed an interim measure of SES as an indicator of the participation of students from a low socioeconomic status background. This measure includes undergraduate award students only. In order to be consistent with the Government ambition that by 2020, 20\% of undergraduate higher education students will be from a low SES background. The interim measure has two constituent components:

- The first is based on the number of undergraduates with home addresses in the lowest SEIFA Index of Education and Occupation quartile measured at Census Collection District (CD) level and as recorded in the Higher Education Students Data Collection.
- The second part of the interim indicator is based on the number of undergraduates receiving selected Centrelink student payments.

DEEWR is currently investigating the changes required to allow the more accurate CD based SEIFA component of the interim measure to be available on the applications and offers database.

The interim measure has been used to allocate funding for the Higher Education Participation and Partnerships Program (HEPPP) and is used in Compact funding negotiations and to set Performance Funding targets.

DEEWR is working on the development of an enhanced measure and currently investigating the feasibility of including information on parental education, parental occupation and school background. This report retains the SES measure based on postcode which enables comparison with 2010 applications and offers data.

Note that indicators of regionality and SES do not include applicants with residential addresses outside Australia, or those Australian resident applicants who did not enter a valid postcode on their applications.

While the applications and offers data collection includes reasonable coverage of SES, regionality and Indigenous status, no data were collected on other equity groups such as applicants with a disability or applicants from a non-English speaking background, as these data are not collected by TACs.

## Regionality

Analysis of applicant data shows that just over three quarters of applicants (applications) ( $76.6 \%$ ) living in Australia came from metropolitan areas. This is higher than the metropolitan population share in Australia (71.4\%), ${ }^{5}$ demonstrating that metropolitan people are overrepresented in the pool of domestic applicants. Just over one fifth of domestic applicants ( $20.8 \%$ ) were from regional areas, less than their population share of $26.3 \%$. Only $1.1 \%$ of applicants were from remote areas compared with their population share of $2.1 \%$. Around $1.5 \%$ of all applicants gave addresses outside Australia.

By region, growth in applications from metropolitan residents (1.8\%) was higher than the increase in applications from regional residents ( $0.03 \%$ ) and remote residents ( $0.4 \%$ ) Note that, the growth in metropolitan applicants is from a large base. Growth in regional and remote applications combined was only $0.05 \%$.
Regional and remote applicants were somewhat more likely to receive an offer than were metropolitan applicants (Figure 11): 82.0\% of remote applicants and $81.6 \%$ of regional applicants received offers, compared to $77.4 \%$ of metropolitan applicants. Compared to 2010, offers to regional applicants grew by $1.9 \%$ and offers to remote applicants grew by $1.7 \%$. For metropolitan applicants, the increase was $3.7 \%$. Growth in non-metropolitan applicants (regional and remote) at $1.9 \%$ was smaller than growth in metropolitan applicants.

There is a little difference in acceptance rates (including deferrals) between metropolitan and non-metropolitan applicants (Figure 11). Some 82.4\% of metropolitan applicants with an offer accepted their offer, compared with $80.6 \%$ of regional applicants and only $81.7 \%$ of remote applicants.
Figure 11: Offer rate and acceptance rate by regionality, 2011


[^4]The pattern of under-representation of regional and remote people in the initial stage of applying to university translates into lower participation at university. Commencement data from the Higher Education Statistics Collection (HESC) shows that, in 2010, regional students accounted for $19.1 \%^{6}$ of all domestic undergraduate students compared with their population share of $26.3 \%$. Similarly, remote students made up $1.1 \%$ of domestic students compared with their population share of $2.1 \%$. As reported above, the share of applications of regional and remote students was $20.8 \%$ and $1.1 \%$ respectively. This suggests that it is the lower propensity to apply for university entry among non-metropolitan students, and not the likelihood of receiving an offer that is the biggest contributing factor to the lower enrolments of reional and remote students at university.

By state and territory, the proportion of all applicants from metropolitan areas ranged from $60.1 \%$ in Tasmania up to $82.1 \%$ in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory (Figure 12). The proportion was $77.4 \%$ in Western Australia and just over $77.1 \%$ in Victoria. In Queensland and South Australia/Northern Territory it was around $72 \%$. There were very few applicants from remote areas. There were only 3033 remote applicants in the whole of Australia. Queensland recorded the highest number of remote applicants (940) followed by South Australia/Northern Territory with 858 remote applicants.

In all states except Victoria, non-metropolitan applicants recorded a higher offer rate than metropolitan applicants.

In most states, metropolitan applicants were more likely to accept offers. In Tasmania and Western Australia, however, metropolitan applicants were somewhat less likely to accept offers than non-metropolitan applicants.

Figure 12: Share of total applicants by regionality and state and territory, 2011


[^5]Figure 13 shows preferences by field of education and regionality. Non-metropolitan applicants are more likely to apply for courses in Nursing and Education. Non-metropolitan students are also more likely to apply in the field of Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies.

Figure 13: Proportion of highest preference applications by regionality and field of education, 2011


Applicants from non-metropolitan areas are more likely to apply to non-aligned universities ( $41.7 \%$ ) or Innovative Research Universities (22.4\%) (Table 42). On the other hand, Metropolitan students are more likely to apply to Group of Eight universities (32.8\%) and least likely to apply to Innovative Research Universities (16.2\%)
Table 42: Applications by regionality and type of university, 2011

| Type of university | Applications 2011 |  | Share of applications (\%) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Metropolitan | Non- <br> metropolitan | Metropolitan | Non- <br> metropolitan |
| Innovative Research <br> Universities Australia | 33,687 | 13,318 | $16.2 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 68,183 | 12,836 | $32.8 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ |
| Technology | 44,724 | 8,533 | $21.5 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 60,994 | 24,857 | $29.4 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 7 , 5 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 , 5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Distribution of offers by university type (Table 43) largely followed the distribution of applications. Overall, offer rates for non-metropolitan students (81.6\%) were higher than for metropolitan students ( $77.4 \%$ ). Differences in offer rates between metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas varied by university type - from 1.6 percentage points (Innovative Research Universities) to 0.2 percentage points (non-aligned).

Table 43: Offers and offer rates by regionality and type of university, 2011

| Type of university | Offers |  | Offer rates |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Metropolitan | Non- <br> metropolitan | Metropolitan | Non- <br> metropolitan |
| Innovative Research <br> Universities Australia | 28,106 | 10,894 | $83.4 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 44,815 | 8,622 | $65.7 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ |
| Technology | 31,095 | 6,014 | $69.5 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 56,709 | 23,071 | $93.0 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 7 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 \%}$ |

## Socioeconomic status

Postcode data allows classification of applicants by SES. Some 5039 applicants (1.9\%) could not be assigned to an SES classification because they were living outside of Australia, living in postcodes whose SES could not be determined; or because they had not provided data on postcode.

High SES applicants (applications) were over-represented among the pool of applicants. Nearly one third ( $30.6 \%$ ) of applicants were from high SES backgrounds, defined as the top quartile of the postcodes rank ordered according to SEIFA IEO. Medium SES applicants (defined as the middle two quartiles, that is, half of the population) represent $49.0 \%$ of domestic applicants roughly equivalent to their population share. People from low SES backgrounds were, on the other hand, under-represented. Only $18.6 \%$ of domestic applicants were from low SES backgrounds in comparison with their population share of $25 \%$.
While low SES applicants remain under-represented, their numbers have increased faster than applicants in other SES categories. Applications from low SES persons increased by $2.4 \%$ in 2011, compared to $1.6 \%$ for medium SES persons and $0.6 \%$ for high SES persons.
In addition to being less likely to apply for university entry, persons from low SES backgrounds who apply to university are slightly less likely to receive an offer. As shown in Figure 14, high SES applicants had the highest offer rate of $80.2 \%$. Medium SES applicants were slightly less successful ( $78.0 \%$ received an offer) and low SES applicants were less successful again with $76.2 \%$ receiving an offer. Offers to low SES applicants increased by $4.5 \%$, compared to $3.6 \%$ for medium and $1.9 \%$ for high SES applicants. In terms of accepting an offer, applicants from low SES had the highest acceptance rate ( $83.3 \%$ ) followed by applicants from medium SES (82.7\%) and applicants from high SES (80.5\%).

Figure 14: Offer rate and acceptance rate by SES, 2011


The pattern of under-representation of low SES persons in applications and offers data translates into lower participation at university. In 2010, low SES students constituted $16.5 \%^{7}$ of domestic undergraduate students. While low SES share of enrolments is slightly lower than their share of applications (18.6\%), it is the lower propensity to apply for university, and not lower offer rates, which appears to be the bigger contributing factor to the low enrolment of low SES persons at university.

The pattern of distribution of applicants by SES at the national level was reproduced state by state (Figure 15). High SES applicants were particularly over-represented in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory (36.2\%), Western Australia (34.1\%) and Victoria (33.1\%). Low SES applicants made up only $12.3 \%$ of the total in Western Australia, but $29.6 \%$ in Tasmania and $24.3 \%$ in SA/NT.

Figure 15: Share of total applicants by SES and state and territory, 2011


[^6]Application preferences by field of education show that, similar to regional students, low SES persons are more likely to apply for courses in Education and Nursing (Figure 16) and less likely to apply for high demand courses such as Medical Studies and Law.

Figure 16: Proportion of highest preferences by SES and field of education, 2011


Table 44 shows that low SES applicants were more likely than their high SES counterparts to be attending Technology universities ( $38.1 \%$ and $25.5 \%$ respectively). The Group of Eight universities accounted for the biggest share by far of applications from high SES applicants ( $43.2 \%$ ) and the difference in the share of high and low SES applications was highest (22.6 percentage points) at these universities.

Table 44: Applications by SES and type of university, 2011

| Type of university | Applications by SES |  |  |  | Share of applications by SES |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | High | Medium | Low | High | Medium | Low |
|  | 8,018 | 27,468 | 11,237 | $9.7 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 35,819 | 34,525 | 10,399 | $43.2 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $20.6 \%$ |
| Technology | 17,885 | 25,603 | 9,583 | $25.5 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 21,176 | 45,138 | 19,227 | $21.6 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 2 , 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 , 7 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 45 shows applications by low SES applicants grew faster (2.4\%) than applications on average ( $1.5 \%$ ) in 2011. Growth in low SES applications was strongest at the Group of Eight (5.4\%) followed by Innovative Research Universities (5.3\%) and non-aligned universities (2.5\%). On the other hand, applications by low SES applicants to the Technology universities decreased by $3.9 \%$.

Table 45: Applications by low SES applicants by type of university, 2010 and 2011

| Type of university | Applications by low SES <br> applicants |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Change <br> (\%) |
|  | 10,671 | 11,237 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 9,868 | 10,399 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Technology | 9,970 | 9,583 | $-3.9 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 18,764 | 19,227 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 9 , 2 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 \%}$ |

Low SES applicants received a lower offer rate than high SES applicants across all types of universities (Table 46). The gap in offer rates was least in the Technology universities (8.2 percentage points) and largest in the non-aligned universities (12.3 percentage points).

Table 46: Offers and offer rates by SES and type of university, 2011

| Type of university | Offers by SES |  |  | Offer rates by SES |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | High | Medium | Low | High | Medium | Low |
| Innovative Research <br> Universities Australia | 7,150 | 22,665 | 8,937 | $89.2 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 24,974 | 21,944 | 6,339 | $69.7 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ |
| Technology | 13,169 | 17,540 | 6,263 | $73.6 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 21,212 | 41,376 | 16,907 | $100.2 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 6 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 , 5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 , 4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 2 \%}$ |

## Indigenous status

Data on Indigenous status is based on a self-identification question on TAC application forms. It is widely believed that many Indigenous applicants do not identify as Indigenous at the point of application. University commencements data from HESC show a somewhat higher proportion of Indigenous students at commencement (1.5\%) than the share of applicants from Indigenous background (1.1\%). However, Indigenous applicants are more likely to apply directly to universities and represent $2.9 \%$ of direct applicants (see Chapter 11 for details).

Across Australia, 2995 applicants (applications) identified as Indigenous (Aboriginal, Torres Strait Islander, or both). Indigenous applicants are under-represented in the pool of overall applicants. Indigenous people constitute around $2.5 \%^{8}$ of the general Australian population.

Offers were received by 2211 Indigenous applicants. The 2011 offer rate for Indigenous applicants was $73.8 \%, 4.3$ percentage points lower than the offer rate for applicants who did not identify as Indigenous (Figure 17). Acceptance rates also broadly follow a similar pattern. Of those who received an offer 1819 ( $82.3 \%$ ) of Indigenous applicants accepted an offer - fairly consistent with the $81.8 \%$ acceptance rate among non-Indigenous applicants.

[^7]In 2010, Indigenous students constituted $1.3 \%$ of the domestic higher education undergraduate students compared to their population share of $2.5 \% .^{9}$ The rate at which Indigenous people apply to university explains much of their under-representation in higher education, however, the gap in offer rates between Indigenous and non-Indigenous applicants is bigger when compared with other under-represented groups.

Although the number of applications from Indigenous applicants dropped from 3046 in 2010 to 2995 in 2011, growth in offers in 2011 was encouraging. Compared with 2010, offers to Indigenous applicants increased by more than 100 in 2011.

Figure 17: Offer rate and acceptance rate by Indigenous status, 2011


The states and territories with the highest proportions of Indigenous applicants were SA/NT and Tasmania ( $1.6 \%$ and $1.7 \%$ ), though the absolute number of Indigenous applicants in SA/NT (398) was more than twice as high as in Tasmania (182) (Table 47). This reflects the high proportion of Indigenous persons in these states. In South Australia/Northern Territory Indigenous people represent $5.2 \%$ of the population whilst in Tasmania Indigenous people account for $3.8 \%$ of the population. ${ }^{10}$

Indigenous applications constitute 1.5\% of applications in Queensland and 1.1\% in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory, but only $0.6 \%$ in Victoria and $0.7 \%$ Western Australia. The figure for Western Australia is low, given the relatively large Indigenous population in that state - $3.4 \%$ of the population identify as Indigenous. ${ }^{11}$ Western Australia had the lowest absolute number of Indigenous applications (148). This may reflect a lower application rate by Indigenous persons in Western Australia or alternatively that applicants may be less inclined to identify their Indigenous status.

[^8]Table 47: Applications by Indigenous status and state and territory, 2011

| State | Indigenous <br> applications |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 971 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Vic. | 455 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Qld | 841 | $1.5 \%$ |
| WA | 148 | $0.7 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 398 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Tas. | 182 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 , 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 \%}$ |

Offer rates for Indigenous applicants were lower in most states than offer rates for other applicants (by up to 11.3 percentage points), except for Tasmania and Queensland. The difference in offer rates was smallest in Queensland ( -1.7 percentage points) and highest in Victoria (11.3 percentage points).

Indigenous applicants were more likely to receive offers in, Tasmania, Queensland and SA/NT. Indigenous applicants were slightly less likely to accept offers in New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory and Western Australia, and much less likely to accept in Victoria.

Similar to the total pool of applicants, the fields of education with the largest number of Indigenous applicants were Health (27\%) and Society and Culture (25\%). As with other underrepresented groups, Indigenous applicants are more likely to apply for Education courses. Indigenous applicants were less likely to apply for Management and Commerce, Science and Medical Studies (Figure 18).

Figure 18: Proportion of highest preferences by Indigenous status and field of education, 2011


Indigenous applicants were much more likely to apply to Innovative Research Universities (39.8\%) than were non-Indigenous applicants (17.4\%). However, Indigenous applicants were much less likely to apply to the Group of Eight universities (17.9\%) in comparison with nonIndigenous applicants (30.9\%) (Table 48). Around thirty five percent (34.6\%) of the Indigenous applicants and an almost similar percentage (31.9\%) of non-Indigenous applicants applied to the non-aligned universities.

Table 48: Applications by Indigenous status and type of university, 2011

| Type of university | Applications |  | Share (\%) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Indigenous | Non- <br> Indigenous | Indigenous | Non- <br> Indigenous |
| Innovative Research Universities <br> Australia | 894 | 46,752 | $29.8 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 537 | 82,867 | $17.9 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ |
| Technology | 527 | 53,087 | $17.6 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 1,037 | 85,416 | $34.6 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 8 , 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Except for the technology universities, Indigenous applicants were less likely to receive offers across all types of university than were non-Indigenous applicants (Table 49). The gap in offer rates was largest for non-aligned universities ( 14.2 percentage points) followed by the Group of Eight universities ( 6.0 percentage points).

Table 49: Offer and offer rates by Indigenous status and type of university, 2011

| Type of university | Offers |  | Offer rates |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Indigenous | Non- <br> Indigenous | Indigenous | Non- <br> Indigenous |
| Innovative Research Universities <br> Australia | 701 | 38,645 | $78.4 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 320 | 54,342 | $59.6 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ |
| Technology | 373 | 36,997 | $70.8 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 817 | $\mathbf{7 9 , 4 5 9}$ | $78.8 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9 , 4 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 1 \%}$ |

## 10. Current Year 12 Applications

In 2011, there were 143907 applications by current Year 12 students - just over half (53.1\%) of all applications made through TACs. Of these current Year 12 applicants, 139077 had a valid ATAR score or equivalent. A further 4830 were not scored.

## Propensity to apply

Many current Year 12 applicants apply both interstate and in their home state, so that the applicant numbers shown above need to be adjusted to derive an estimate of the proportion of Year 12 students who apply for university. A reliable estimate can be derived by selecting current Year 12 applicants aged 20 or less who apply in their home state, and dividing this figure by the number of Year 12 students aged 20 or less in each state and territory.

This calculation shows that $64.1 \%$ of Year 12 students applied for university in 2011. This is slightly lower than the 2010 figure ( $66.1 \%$ ). The proportion of Year 12 students applying was nearly 8 percentage points higher than in 2005.

Predictably, there is a strong relationship between academic performance in Year 12 and propensity to apply for university. Figure 19 shows the proportion of Year 12 students in each ATAR decile band who applied for university. More than $92 \%$ of students in each of the top three deciles applied for university. Over $80 \%$ of students who received an ATAR in the 60.0570.00 decile applied, as did more than $70 \%$ in the 50.05-60.00 decile. Even of those with ATARs below 50, fairly large numbers of students applied for university. Just over $47 \%$ of those in the 40.05-50.00 decile and slightly above a third (34.9\%) of those in the 30.05-40.00 decile applied for university in 2011. Overall, $87.5 \%$ of students with an ATAR above 50 applied for university, compared to $38.3 \%$ of students who had an ATAR of 50 or below.

It is interesting to note that the home state application rate among students in the highest decile ( 90.05 and above) is lower than for students in the next decile ( $94.5 \%$ compared to $95.2 \%)$. The difference was similar in 2010, when $96.2 \%$ of students in the top decile applied for university in their home state, compared to $97.3 \%$ in the second-top decile.

Compared to 2010, the biggest increases in application rates were in the middle ATAR bands. Application rates in most of the lower bands fell.

Figure 19: Proportion of Year 12 students aged 20 or less applying in their home state by ATAR decile band, 2010 and 2011


## Gender

Consistent with patterns in overall applications noted earlier, female Year 12 students were decidedly more likely to apply for university than males (Figure 20). There was a gap of 7.4 percentage points between the proportion of female Year 12 students applying in their home state ( $63.7 \%$ ) and the comparable proportion of males ( $56.2 \%$ ). This is slightly lower than the gender gap in 2010 ( 8.6 percentage points). In the top three ATAR deciles, however, male and female Year 12 students apply for university at similar rates.

The overall difference in applications between females and males is explained by the greater propensity of female Year 12 students in lower deciles to apply for university. Some 64.6\% of female students in the ATAR range of 10.05 to 70.00 applied for university, compared with $56.0 \%$ of males.

Figure 20: Proportion of Year 12 students aged 20 or less applying in their home state by gender and ATAR decile band, 2011


## Field of education

Non-Year 12 applicants were more likely to apply for health, nursing and education courses (Fig 21). By comparison, Year 12 applicants were more likely to apply for Natural and Physical Sciences, Engineering, Medical Studies and Management and Commerce.

Figure 21: Proportion of highest preferences by current Year 12 status and field of education, 2011


## Type of university

A considerable proportion of Year 12 applicants' first preference (35.3\%) was directed to Group of Eight university courses (Figure 22) followed by Technology universities (30.7\%), non-aligned universities (17.9\%) and Innovative Research Universities (16.0\%). For non-Year 12 applicants $33.2 \%$ applied to non-aligned universities and Group of Eight universities (25.6\%). The rest of the non-Year 12 applicants were almost evenly spread between Innovative Research and Technology universities.

Figure 22: Proportion of highest preferences by current Year 12 status and type of university, 2011


## Offers and acceptances

The discussion of offers and acceptances amongst Year 12 applicants includes both home state and interstate applicants. Figure 23 shows the proportion of all current Year 12 applicants by ATAR decile receiving and accepting an offer. The overall offer rate for 2011 Year 12 students who applied was $82 \%$, up slightly on 2010.

Figure 23: Offer rate and acceptance rate for current Year 12 applicants by ATAR decile band, 2011


Not surprisingly, the offer rate increases with ATAR score. It is interesting to note that the highest offer rate is recorded not in the top decile but in the 80.05-90.00 range, where the offer rate was $96.6 \%$. Applicants with an ATAR above 90.00 had an offer rate of $90 \%$. Offer rates in the third decile (70.05-80.00) were also higher than the top decile at $94.6 \%$. The lower offer rate in the top decile is explained in part by the greater propensity of current Year 12 students in this decile to apply interstate for high demand courses with very demanding entry criteria (for example, Medical Studies courses).

In the lower deciles, offer rates remained very healthy for the next decile down (60.05-70.00) at $86.5 \%$. Offer rates dropped sharply thereafter, but even in the 50.05-60.00 decile, the majority of applicants ( $64.0 \%$ ) received an offer. Offer rates were dramatically lower for current Year 12 applicants with an ATAR of 50 or less.

Cumulating applications and offers for the top three deciles shows that 93.0\% of applicants with an ATAR of 80.05 or above received an offer. For applicants with an ATAR of 70.05 or above the offer rate was $93.5 \%$. Of the applicants with an ATAR of 60.05 or above, $92.1 \%$ received an offer. Considering all applicants with an ATAR of 50.05 or above, $88.8 \%$ received an offer, whereas only $17.6 \%$ of applicants with an ATAR of 50.00 or below were successful in obtaining an offer.

Offer rates for highest preferences also varied with ATAR decile (Figure 23), but the increase was more gradual than is the case with overall offer rates. In comparison to overall offer rates, highest preference offer rates increased in a more linear fashion, dropping slightly in the highest decile. For applicants with an ATAR above 60.00, the proportion that received a lower preference offer fell as ATAR increased. In the 60.05-70.00 decile, just over $40 \%$ of applicants received an offer for a lower preference, falling to $30.4 \%$ in the highest decile.

Figure 24, below, compares home state and interstate offer rates for current Year 12 applicants. Interstate offer rates were generally lower than home state, and the gap widened as ATAR increased. While nearly all home state applicants with an ATAR in the highest two deciles received an offer, only $64.6 \%$ of interstate applicants in the top decile, and $79.2 \%$ in the second-top decile, were successful.

Figure 24: Offer rate for current Year 12 applicants by home /interstate and ATAR decile band, 2011


## 11. Direct Applicants

While most applications for university are processed by TACs, a significant proportion of prospective students applied directly to universities. Direct applicants tended to be older than TAC applicants. There were very few current Year 12 students among direct applicants.

National statistics on direct applications were collected for the first time in 2010. Aggregated data formerly collected by UA covered TACs only. In its first year (2009), the unit record collection of university applications and offers data included only data on applications processed by TACs. For 2010, the data specifications used for unit record data was extended to cover direct applications.

The direct admissions process is more straightforward than the TAC administrative process: the bulk of direct applicants apply for a single course, unlike the complicated preference system of the TAC process. Fairly extensive data are available on direct applicants' demographic characteristics and prior educational participation.

## Applications

In total 77803 applications were made directly to universities over the course of the main admissions process for 2011 first semester admissions. This is inclusive of double counting of individuals who submitted more than one application to a single university as well as those who applied to several universities.

Different universities have different administration practices. Double counting of an applicant can occur within an institution as some universities allow several applications per applicant. Other universities allow applicants to specify several preferences on a single application form, somewhat like the system operated by TACs.

When one application record was selected per person, per university, there were 71575 applicants (where an applicant applied to two or more universities, each application to a separate university has been counted). This method of counting direct applicants was broadly analogous to reporting of TAC data above, where applicants were counted only once in each state but may have been counted in more than one state.

These 71575 direct applications were submitted by 68880 individual persons (counting individuals regardless of institution). Further analysis shows that there were very few applicants who applied to multiple institutions (1943 people, with a total of 2695 duplicate applications across universities-which is $3.5 \%$ of all Direct Applications). Because preferences are used to only a very limited extent in direct admissions, the highest preference cannot easily be identified. Therefore, when analysing detailed direct applicants data, all the duplicates have been excluded.

## Prior educational participation

A very small minority (2402, or $3.5 \%$ ) of direct applicants were current Year 12 students, with the vast majority ( $96.5 \%$ ) being non-Year 12 applicants. Table 50 presents the number of direct applicants by state and territory.

Table 50: Current Year 12 status, direct applicants by state and territory, 2011

| State | Current Year 12 | Non-Year 12 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 1,085 | 24,969 | 26,054 |
| Vic. | 430 | 13,626 | 14,056 |
| Qld | 25 | 11,279 | 11,304 |
| WA | 710 | 12,425 | 13,135 |
| SA/NT | $<10$ | 2,519 | 2,529 |
| Tas. | $<10$ | 303 | 308 |
| Unknown | 137 | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 7}$ | 1,494 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{2 , 4 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 , 4 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 8 8 0}$ |

An ATAR was reported for 1053 of the current Year 12 direct applicants and 139077 of the current Year 12 TAC applicants. An ATAR was missing for 1349 current Year 12 direct applicants (56.2\% of applicants in this category) and 4830 current Year 12 TAC applicants (3.4\% of applicants in this category).

As Table 51 shows, the distribution of direct applicants by decile band was quite different to the distribution of the much larger number of TAC applicants who were current Year 12 students. Both direct and TAC current Year 12 applicants were clearly skewed to the high end of the ATAR distribution. For TAC applicants, each decile was bigger than the next higher band, with the top decile accounting for more than one third ( $33.8 \%$ ) of applicants. Similarly, for direct applicants, the largest category was ATAR of 90 and above accounting for $30.9 \%$. The proportion of direct applicants with an ATAR of 50 or less was slightly smaller than for TAC applicants.
Table 51: Current Year 12 applicants by ATAR deciles for current Year 12 applicants by, direct applicants and TAC applications, 2011

| ATAR | Direct applicants |  | TAC applications |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency |  | Proportion | Frequency |
| Proportion |  |  |  |  |
| 50.00 or below | 97 | $9.2 \%$ | 13,506 | $9.7 \%$ |
| $50.05-60.00$ | 116 | $11.0 \%$ | 12,375 | $8.9 \%$ |
| $60.05-70.00$ | 142 | $13.5 \%$ | 17,071 | $12.3 \%$ |
| $70.05-80.00$ | 158 | $15.0 \%$ | 20,512 | $14.7 \%$ |
| $80.05-90.00$ | 215 | $20.4 \%$ | 28,580 | $20.5 \%$ |
| 90.05 or more | 325 | $30.9 \%$ | 47,033 | $33.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9 , 0 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Just over one quarter of direct applicants had some previous university education (25.1\%) and $11.7 \%$ had previously participated in VET. Table 52 shows the number of direct applicants who had prior VET or university participation. It should be noted that these categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table 52: Prior VET and university participation for direct applicants by state and territory, 2011

| State | Prior VET | Prior <br> university |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 3,655 | 6,354 |
| Vic. | 1,553 | 2,636 |
| Qld | 1,575 | 4,129 |
| WA | 944 | 2,902 |
| SA/NT | 225 | 1,001 |
| Tas. | 41 | 104 |
| Unknown | 42 | 169 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{8 , 0 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 9 5}$ |

By highest prior participation, the most common level completed was secondary education (31.5\%), followed by incomplete higher education (21.0\%). A further $12.8 \%$ of direct applicants reported a completed bachelor degree as their highest prior participation. About $11 \%$ had complete or incomplete VET as their highest participation (Table 53).

Table 53: Highest prior educational participation for direct applicants, 2011

| Highest prior educational <br> qualification/participation | Frequency | Proportion |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Complete postgraduate | 1,840 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Complete bachelor | 8,808 | $12.8 \%$ |
| Complete sub-degree | 3,160 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Incomplete higher education | 14,482 | $21.0 \%$ |
| Complete VET | 6,560 | $9.5 \%$ |
| Incomplete VET | 1,183 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Complete secondary education | 21,669 | $31.5 \%$ |
| Other qualification - complete or incomplete | 3,657 | $5.3 \%$ |
| No prior education attainment | 6,907 | $10.0 \%$ |
| Not specified | 614 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 8 , 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Demographics of direct applicants - Age and Gender

Among direct applicants, $61.3 \%$ were female and $38.7 \%$ were male. Overall, the proportion of female applicants to male applicants is higher for direct applications than for TAC applicants ( $58.3 \%$ of TAC applicants were female in comparison). State and territory breakdowns are in Table 54.

Table 54: Direct applicants by gender and state and territory, 2011

| State | Male <br> Applicants | Female <br> Applicants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 9,758 | 16,296 |
| Vic. | 5,596 | 8,460 |
| Qld | 4,421 | 6,883 |
| WA | 5,039 | 8,096 |
| SA/NT | 1,086 | 1,443 |
| Tas. | 654 | 208 |
| Unknown | $\mathbf{2 6 , 6 5 4}$ | 840 |
| Australia | $\mathbf{4 2 , 2 2 6}$ |  |

Table 55 shows the proportion of direct applicants by age group. Above two thirds (67.8\%) of applicants were aged 20 years and older. Of those in the 17-19 age group, most applicants were aged 19 ( $42.8 \%$ ), closely followed by 18 year olds (39.8\%).

Table 55: Direct applicants by age group and state and territory, 2011

| State | $\mathbf{1 6}$ and <br> under | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ and <br> over |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | $<10$ | 7,449 | 8,522 | 10,054 |
| Vic. | $<10$ | 3,501 | 6,391 | 4,151 |
| Qld | 10 | 3,678 | 3,295 | 4,319 |
| WA | 19 | 6,056 | 3,629 | 3,427 |
| SA/NT | $<10$ | 840 | 888 | 797 |
| Tas. | $<10$ | 43 | 94 | 170 |
| Unknown | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 0 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 3 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 3 9 4}$ |
| Australia |  |  |  |  |

* Does not include 36 direct applicants who did not have a specified age.


## Demographics of direct applicants - Under-represented groups

Table 56 shows the proportion of direct applicants from different SES backgrounds. The majority of applicants were from medium SES backgrounds (50.8\%). Those with a low SES background were under-represented in the pool of applicants (17.7\%). A similar distribution by SES is apparent for direct and TAC applications.
Table 56: Direct applicants by SES status, 2011

| SES | Frequency | Per cent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Low SES | 12,191 | $17.7 \%$ |
| Medium SES | 34,980 | $50.8 \%$ |
| High SES | 19,901 | $28.9 \%$ |
| Unknown | 1,808 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 8 , 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 57 shows the proportion of direct applicants from different regions, with a significant majority from metropolitan areas.
Analysis by region indicates that a slightly higher proportion of metropolitan applicants applied through TACs (76.6\%) compared with those applying directly to universities (71.5\%).

Table 57: Direct applicants by regionality, 2011

| Region | Frequency | Per cent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Metropolitan | 49,282 | $71.5 \%$ |
| Regional | 15,641 | $22.7 \%$ |
| Remote | 879 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Unknown | 3,078 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 8 , 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

There was a higher proportion of Indigenous applicants (2.9\%) as shown in Table 58. among direct applicants, compared to TAC applicants (1.1\%). Indigenous status is a self-reported item and is generally believed to be under-reported in TAC applications data. It is possible that Indigenous applicants are more likely to identify when applying directly, because they are applying through dedicated Indigenous admissions schemes. Table 58 shows a breakdown of the number and proportion of Indigenous applicants by state and territory. Analysis by state shows that the highest proportion of Indigenous applicants was in Queensland (4.9\%). In absolute terms, New South Wales/Australian Capital Territory had the greatest number of Indigenous applicants (811) followed by Queensland (533) and Western Australia (304).
Table 58: Indigenous direct applicants by state and territory of permanent home residence, 2011

| State | Non- <br> Indigenous | Indigenous | Total | $\%$ <br> Indigenous |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSW/ACT | 25,243 | 811 | 26,054 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Vic. | 13,922 | 134 | 14,056 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Qld | 10,771 | 533 | 11,304 | $4.9 \%$ |
| WA | 12,831 | 304 | 13,135 | $2.4 \%$ |
| SA/NT | 2,453 | 76 | 2,529 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Tas. | 296 | 12 | 308 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Unknown | 1,418 | 76 | 1,494 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Australia | $\mathbf{6 6 , 9 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 \%}$ |

## Field of education preferences among direct applicants

Table 59 presents the breakdown of preferences for all direct applicants. Comprehensive data were not available from universities with regard to course preference order for those people who applied to multiple courses at one university, therefore, the top preference could not be established for all applicants (as in TAC data). Among direct applicants, Society and Culture had the highest share of preferences (27.8\%), followed by Health (17.0\%), Management and Commerce ( $13.0 \%$ ) and Education (12.6\%).

There were some differences between direct and TAC applicants by field of education. Direct applicants were less likely to apply for Health fields or Engineering, but more likely to apply for Education and Society and Culture courses.

Table 59: Preferences by field of education, all direct applicants, 2011

| Field of Education | Frequency |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Per cent |  |  |
|  | 6,239 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Information Technology | 2,088 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | 2,798 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Architecture and Building | 1,130 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | 1,310 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Health | 11,718 | $17.0 \%$ |
| Medicine | 1,556 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Nursing | 4,514 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Dental Studies | 222 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Veterinary Studies | 127 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Health Other | 5,299 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Education | 8,695 | $12.6 \%$ |
| Teacher Education | 8,412 | $12.2 \%$ |
| Management and Commerce | 8,935 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Society and Culture | 19,135 | $27.8 \%$ |
| Law | 2,168 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Creative Arts | 5,208 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Not specified | 1,624 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Total | 68,880 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Direct applicants by type of university

In 2011, about 57.5\% of direct applicants applied to non-aligned universities (Table 60). The Group of Eight Universities and Innovative Research Universities had a similar proportion (around 14\%) of direct applicants followed by Technology Universities (11.3\%).

Table 60: Direct applications by type of university, 2011

| Type of university | Frequency | Per <br> cent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Innovative Research Universities Australia | 9,737 | $14.1 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 10,146 | $14.7 \%$ |
| Technology | 7,786 | $11.3 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 39,595 | $57.5 \%$ |
| Unknown | 1,616 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 8 , 8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Offers

In total there were 68880 direct applicants (that is, individual persons). Of these, 55196 (Table 61) applicants received an offer, with a resulting offer rate of $80.1 \%$. The offer rate for TAC applications was slightly lower (78.1\%) than the offer rate to direct applicants.

Table 61 outlines the number of offers made by universities by field of education. Society and Culture had the highest share of offers (23.8\%), followed by Health (16.6\%), Management and Commerce (14.0\%) and Education (12.8\%).

Table 61: Offers to direct applicants, by field of education, 2011

| Field of education | Frequency | Per cent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 4,933 |  |
| Information Technology | 1,798 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | 2,399 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Architecture and Building | 969 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | 1,146 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Health | 9,181 | $16.6 \%$ |
| Medicine | 1,275 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Nursing | 3,556 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Dental Studies | 125 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Veterinary Studies | 100 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Health Other | 4,125 | $7.5 \%$ |
| Education | 7,046 | $12.8 \%$ |
| Teacher Education | 6,795 | $12.3 \%$ |
| Management and Commerce | 7,701 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Society and Culture | 13,122 | $23.8 \%$ |
| Law | 1,746 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Creative Arts | 4,515 | $8.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 , 1 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

*Total includes 7 unknown fields of education
Due to differences in administrative practices between institutions, it is difficult to compare offer rates by institution. The distribution of offers by type of university largely resembles the distribution of applicants across type of university. Table 62 shows that almost three fifths of the applicants received offers from non-aligned Universities (58.1\%) followed by Innovative Research Universities (16.2\%) and Group of Eight Universities (13.8\%).
Table 62: Offers to direct applicants by type of university, 2011

| Type of university | Frequency | Share <br> (Per cent) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Innovative Research Universities Australia | 8,922 | $16.2 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | 7,627 | $13.8 \%$ |
| Technology | 6,585 | $11.9 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | 32,062 | $58.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 , 1 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Acceptances

Among the 55196 individual offers, 43645 direct applicants accepted offers. Overall, the acceptance rate was $79.1 \%$. Only 1873 offers made to direct applicants ( $3.4 \%$ of the total) were deferred.

## 12. Applications and Applicants

TAC data reports on the number of applications. Until 2008, an element of double counting has existed historically as there was previously no means of identifying those applicants who applied to multiple TACs when data was collected at an aggregate rather than unit record level.

In 2011, there were 271117 TAC applications, including the double counting of applicants across states. When unique persons were identified, this number decreased to 247624 applicants. Further investigation revealed that the difference of 38174 applications were from 14681 individual applicants who applied to multiple TACs (an applicant can apply to more than two states). These 38174 multiple applications was equivalent to $14.1 \%$ of total TAC applications.

## TAC and Direct Applicants - Combined

In comparison with 247624 TAC applicants, there were 68880 applicants who applied directly to universities. The total number of applicants across Australia by May 2011, therefore, was 316504 counting both direct and TAC applicants. Direct applicants accounted for $21.8 \%$ of this total. In 2010, direct applicants accounted for $19.2 \%$ of total applicants. Further analysis shows that there was an overlap of 14032 applicants who applied through TACs as well as direct to universities meaning that the actual number of individuals that applied for a university place in 2011 was 302 472, an increase of $2.9 \%$ over 2010.

Table 63 shows a comparison between direct applicants and TAC applicants and their demographic characteristics. Overall, the proportion of female applicants in comparison with male applicants was higher for direct applicants (61.3\%) than for TAC applicants (58.2\%). As previously discussed, there was a much higher proportion of Indigenous applicants (2.8\%) among direct applicants, compared with TAC applicants (1.2\%). The age distribution also differed between the two application methods with TACs having a much larger proportion of applicants in the school leaver age cohort (17-19 years old; $65.1 \%$ of TAC applicants), while direct applications were more evenly spread across age groups. Similarly, current Year 12s represented a much smaller proportion of direct applicants than of TAC applicants.
A similar distribution by SES was apparent for direct and TAC applications. The total number of unique low SES applicants increased by $3.6 \%$ in 2011 in comparison with $2.9 \%$ for medium SES applicants and $1.8 \%$ for high SES applicants. Analysis by region indicated that a slightly higher proportion of metropolitan applicants applied through TACs (77.5\%) rather than directly to universities (71.5\%).
TAC applicants were split almost evenly between current Year 12 and other applicants, with current Year 12 applicants retaining a slight preponderance. Once direct applications data were added to the picture, current Year 12 applicants became a minority at $42.5 \%$. Other applicants made up $57.5 \%$ of the total.
Differences in distribution by age group are less dramatic. Applicants aged 17 to 19 years made up $57.8 \%$ of the combined total. This age group is made up of nearly two thirds of TAC applicants and over $30 \%$ of direct applicants. Further, the sheer number of TAC applicants in this age group ( 183850 ) ensured that 17 to 19 year olds remain the prime age cohort for university applications.

Table 63: Direct applicants and TAC applicants by demographic characteristics, 2011

| Demographic characteristics |  | Direct applicants | TAC applicants | Combined total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total applicants* |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of applicants | 68,880 | 247,624 | 302,472 |
|  | \% of all applicants | 22.8\% | 81.9\% |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 61.3\% | 58.2\% | 58.7\% |
|  | Male | 38.7\% | 41.8\% | 41.3\% |
| Indigenous status |  |  |  |  |
|  | Indigenous | 2.8\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% |
|  | Non-indigenous | 97.2\% | 98.8\% | 98.5\% |
| Age group** |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early achievers: 16 and under | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
|  | School leaver cohort: 17-19 years old | 32.0\% | 65.1\% | 57.8\% |
|  | Non-traditional age: 20 to 24 years old | 33.9\% | 18.4\% | 21.8\% |
|  | Mature aged: 25 years and older | 34.0\% | 16.1\% | 20.1\% |
| Current Year 12 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Current Year 12 | 3.5\% | 51.7\% | 42.5\% |
|  | Non-Year 12 | 96.5\% | 48.3\% | 57.5\% |
| SES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low SES | 17.7\% | 19.0\% | 18.9\% |
|  | Medium SES | 50.8\% | 49.6\% | 49.7\% |
|  | High SES | 28.9\% | 30.0\% | 29.9\% |
|  | Outside Australia/Missing | 2.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.6\% |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
|  | Metropolitan | 71.5\% | 77.5\% | 76.7\% |
|  | Regional | 22.7\% | 20.4\% | 21.0\% |
|  | Remote | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |
|  | Outside Australia/Missing | 4.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% |

* The sum of TAC and direct applicants in the above table does not equal the combined total as there were 14032 applicants who applied to both through TACs and directly to universities.
** Does not include 36 direct applicants who did not have a specified age.

There were also some differences between direct and TAC applicants by field of education. Direct applicants were less likely to apply for Health or Engineering courses, but more likely to apply for Education and Society and Culture courses (Table 64). Fields of education recording strong increases in the total number of unique applicants in 2011 included medicine and engineering, up $7.5 \%$ and $7.0 \%$ respectively.

Table 64: Direct applicants and TAC applicants by field of education, 2011

| Field of education | Direct <br> applicants | TAC <br> applicants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | $9.1 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| Information Technology | $3.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | $4.1 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| Architecture and Building | $1.6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | $1.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Health | $17.0 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| Medical Studies | $2.3 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| Nursing | $6.6 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Dental Studies | $0.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Veterinary Studies | $0.2 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Health Other | $7.7 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| Education | $12.6 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| Teacher Education | $12.2 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| Management and Commerce | $13.0 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ |
| Society and Culture | $27.8 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| Law | $3.1 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Creative Arts | $7.6 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| Other* | $2.4 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Note: Figures for TAC applicants are shares of first preference applications; figures for direct applicants are share of all preferences. Since preferences play only a minor part in direct admissions, this is an appropriate comparison.

There were some differences observed in the distribution of direct applicants and TAC applicants by type of university (Table 65). Almost three fifths of the direct applicants applied to non-aligned universities where as only one third of the TAC applicants applied for this type of university. Direct applicants are less likely to apply to Technology universities.
Table 65: Direct applicants and TAC applicants by type of university, 2011

| Type of university | Direct <br> applicants | TAC <br> applicants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Innovative Research Universities Australia | $14.1 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ |
| Group of Eight | $14.7 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| Technology | $11.3 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ |
| Non-aligned | $57.5 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ |
| Unknown | $2.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Direct applicants were more likely to be mature age applicants. Similarly, mature age applicants were more likely to apply to non-aligned universities and less likely to apply to other types of universities.

## 13. Factors Affecting Future Demand

Various factors influence demand for university places in Australia. Recent policy changes in the university education and schools areas are likely to lead to an increase in demand for university education. An increase in the size of the school leaving age cohort is also likely to increase demand. The following pages discuss some of the factors that influence current and future demand for university.

## Higher education policy changes

The Australian Government announced its response to the Bradley Review of Higher Education in March 2009. The government has adopted expansion targets and a range of measures to support increased participation, especially by students from under-represented groups. In particular, targets for increased higher education attainment and increased participation by under-represented groups, together with a demand driven funding system, are likely to have an impact on the demand for and supply of university places.

A demand driven system of funding, under which universities will be funded for as many places as they fill, is also likely to have a significant influence on the balance of demand and supply in university education. Progressively removing constraints on the number of places that universities can offer, and the courses in which they can offer them, is likely to lead to a closer alignment of supply and demand. In addition to balancing supply and demand at the aggregate level, a demand driven system is likely to address some of the current mismatches between demand and supply for particular fields of education and courses. This may help to address the need for skilled workers in key sectors of the labour market where skills shortages have existed in recent years. It is unlikely; however, that in some fields of education with very high demand (such as dentistry and medicine) the number of places offered will ever match the number of applicants.

Modest growth in applications in 2011 was followed by historically large growth in 2010, suggesting that demand for higher education is growing strongly. Modest growth in offers in 2011 followed on from large growth in offers in 2010, suggesting that universities are keen to expand provision to meet higher demand. Estimates of over enrolments for 2011, supplied to DEEWR by universities, showed that universities are taking advantage of the government's decision to raise the over enrolments cap from $5 \%$ to $10 \%$, as part of a phased transition to a fully demand driven funding system.

## School policy changes

Through COAG, the Australian Government and state and territory governments have committed to increasing the Year 12 or equivalent attainment rate to $90 \%$ nationally by 2015 (measured by the 20-24 year old cohort).

The agreed measure for the Year 12 or equivalent attainment COAG target is the Survey of Education and Work (ABS Cat. No. 6227.0) (SEW). Table 66 below indicates that in 2007, the baseline year for the target, the attainment rate for young people aged 20-24 years who had gained a Year 12 or equivalent qualification was 83.5 per cent. The most recent data from the 2010 SEW indicates that the attainment rate was 85.6 per cent.

Table 66: 20-24 year-olds attaining Year 12 or equivalent, or Certificate II or above: Observed values for 2001-2010 and target value for 2015, Australia.

| Observed $^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Target $^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| 79.1 | 80.0 | 80.4 | 81.3 | 81.2 | 81.9 | 83.5 | 84.2 | 84.5 | 85.6 | 90.0 |

1. Source: ABS Cat. No. 6227.0 Education and Work, Australia, May 2010, Tables 1.1-1.10
2. National Partnership on Youth Attainment and Transitions

The National Partnership on Youth Attainment and Transitions, agreed through COAG in 2009, seeks to improve young Australians' educational participation and attainment, their engagement, and their transition to post school education, training and employment.

The Compact with Young Australians is delivered under the National Partnership, and contains three elements to promote skills acquisition and ensure young people are learning or earning:

- A National Youth Participation Requirement which requires all young people to participate in schooling (or an approved equivalent) to Year 10, and then participate full-time (at least 25 hours per week) in education, training or employment, or a combination of these activities, until age 17.
- Entitlement to an education or training place for 15 to 24 year olds which focuses on attaining Year 12 or equivalent qualifications. Entitlement places are for governmentsubsidised qualifications, subject to admission requirements and course availability. For 2024 year olds who already have a Year 12 or equivalent qualification, the entitlement is to a place that would result in them attaining a higher qualification than they currently hold.
- Strengthened participation requirements for some types of income support which make education and training a precondition for those under the age of 21 who seek income support through Youth Allowance (Other) and a similar requirement applying to young people whose parents seek the Family Tax Benefit Part A.
The National Partnership also set a target for an increase in participation rates for young people in Years 11 and 12 and 15-19 year olds without a Year 12 Certificate enrolled in a vocational education and training course at Certificate II or higher. Since 2008, participation in education and training has increased by around 5.6 per cent.

Taken together, the policy changes could be expected to increase Year 12 attainment rates, leading to a possible increase in demand for university in the coming years.

## Demographic changes

Demographic trends will affect the size of the main feeder group to universities, namely people of school leaving age. Any change in the size of this group is likely to impact on demand for university. Figure 26 shows that the size of the school leaver ( $15-17$ year old) age cohort is predicted to increase marginally until about 2020. It will then increase fairly sharply to 2025. Further sharp growth is predicted beyond 2025.

This pattern is expected to differ by state and territory. South Australia, Tasmania, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory are all projected to experience a decline in the number of 15-17 year olds by 2018. Queensland and Western Australia, however, will show a continuing growth in the 15-17 year old population through to 2025.

The expected increase in the size of the 15-17 year old age cohort will increase the pool of potential applicants to university, whether Year 12 retention rates increase from present levels or not. The effect of growth in the 15-17 year old age cohort will be magnified if Year 12 retention rates increase in line with COAG targets, contributing to further significant expansion in the potential pool of university applicants.

Figure 25: Projected population of school aged cohort (15-17 year olds), 2006-2030


Source: ABS, Population Projections, Australia - Series B (Cat No. 3222.0)

## Post-school options - Transitions to VET

The number of Year 12 students who choose to go on to university will reflect the options available to young people after leaving school. One alternative pathway to university entry is undertaking VET.

Figure 26 suggests an inverse relationship between the numbers of Year 12 completers commencing university education and those commencing VET in the following year. Regardless of fluctuations from year to year, more Year 12 completers have chosen to enter university education than VET by a wide margin (nearly 20 percentage points) throughout the series. In the most recent year (2010), the proportion of school leavers going on to VET fell by 1.4 percentage points to $20.2 \%$. On the other hand, the proportion going into university increased by 2.6 percentage points to $43.6 \%$.
Figure 26: Proportion of Year 12 completion cohort participating in higher education and VET in the following year, 1996-2010


Source: ABS, Survey of Education and Work (customised data)
Arrangements between VET and university education sectors allow students to move across qualifications, based on articulation and credit transfer arrangements. An increasing number of arrangements have been established between registered VET providers and universities to assist with the move from an industry qualification to a university qualification, or to undertake combined awards. In 2010 around $6.8 \%$ of VET completers were enrolled in further study at university. ${ }^{12}$ For students who had completed a module or modules of a VET course (but who had not completed an award course) the figure was $4.6 \%$.

In 2011, 17.1\% of applicants had undertaken prior VET study and $8.8 \%$ of offers were made on the basis of completion of a VET award course (other than a secondary education course undertaken at a VET institution). Both of these figures have increased slightly since 2010.

[^9]
## Post-school options - Employment

Another post-school option for school leavers is entering the labour market. As with VET, there is an inverse relationship between demand for university and job opportunities, as shown in Figure 27.

Trend unemployment reached $5.3 \%$ in February-April 2010 but then marginally decreased to $5.2 \%$ at the beginning (Oct 2010) of the 2010-2011 university admissions process. When prospective applicants were making decisions about university study in 2011 the unemployment rate remained steady at $5.2 \%$, until November 2010. The unemployment rate further dropped to $5.1 \%$ when the main round offers were made in January 2011. Unemployment rates continued to fall to $4.9 \%$ and strong labour market conditions appear to explain, in part, why the growth in applications in 2011 was more modest than in 2010.

Figure 27: Proportion of Year 12 completion cohort in employment and higher education in the following year, 1996-2010


Source: ABS, Survey of Education and Work (customised data)
An inverse relationship between labour market conditions and demand for university places can be observed consistently over time. In the economic downturn of the early 1990s there was an appreciable decline in teenage full-time job opportunities while at the same time there was a considerable increase in the proportion of school leavers applying to university, which rose from $77 \%$ in 1990 to $91 \%$ in 1991. Estimates prepared by DEEWR suggest that the reduction in job opportunities during the 1990s economic downturn appear to have encouraged an additional 14000 (or an $11 \%$ increase) school leaver applications to university.

This inverse relationship also holds for mature age applicants to university. Estimates prepared by DEEWR suggest that declining employment opportunities encouraged an increase of 14000 , or $12 \%$, in mature age applications to university.

While much of the increase in demand in the early 1990s can be attributed to the downturn in the economic climate, structural changes in the university education system also made a significant contribution to the growth in demand. The Dawkins reforms of the late 1980s/early 1990s, along with the introduction of HECS, enabled more people to enrol in university.

Unemployment rates for graduates are markedly lower than overall unemployment rates. It is worth noting that this gap gets wider during times of high unemployment. For example, in the 1990s economic downturn overall unemployment increased by five percentage points to $11.5 \%$ but graduate unemployment only increased by two percentage points to $5.9 \%$ (Figure 28). Unemployment figures from the earlier recession in the 1980s tell a similar story. This suggests that a higher education qualification becomes more attractive during periods of slower economic growth.
Figure 28: Unemployment rates, graduates and all persons, 1979-2010


[^10]
## Appendix 1 - Tables

This report is based on a new national unit record data collection. 2009 was the first year that unit record data on demand for higher education had been collected and analysed at a national level in Australia.

Comparisons within this report of 2011 and 2010 figures with previous aggregated data should be regarded as approximate and indicative only, even at high levels of aggregation. Readers who would like more information on the break in series and its implications for use and analysis of the data are invited to contact Higher Education Group within DEEWR.

Readers using the Appendix Tables below should take particular note in of the following:

- Change in the scope of the collection means that 2009, 2010 and 2011 and figures are not precisely comparable with those of previous years, even at high levels of aggregation;
- Consequently, annual percentage change figures (prior to 2009) are indicative;
- Some revisions have been made to figures for all applicants and offers to all applicants in 2008, that is, figures published in Undergraduate Applications, Offers and Acceptances 2008 have been slightly revised for a closer alignment with the scope and definitions of the new unit record collection;
- While total applicant and offers numbers for 2008 were revised, it was not possible to revise 2008 data at a lower level of aggregation, including figures for eligible applicants and offers to eligible applicants in 2008;
- For this reason, eligible applicant figures for 2008 are not entirely consistent with 2008 figures for all applicants;
- In particular, South Australia/Northern Territory figures for eligible applicants in 2008 were not entirely consistent with revised figures for all applicants in 2008. As a result eligible applicant numbers in South Australia/Northern Territory for 2009 could not be compared with figures for 2008;
- Time series data on eligible applicants by state and territory and field of education are not entirely consistent with aggregate data for all applicants/offers;
- Decrease in offers and offer rates in Queensland in 2009 were exaggerated by a change in the scope of the data in 2009. Offer totals in 2009 specifically excluded offers made in the January and February offer rounds for courses with an intake date outside Semester 1, 2009;
- Acceptances data since 2009 are not consistent with previous years for some states and territories or for Australia as a whole. It was not possible to calculate accurate estimates of changes in acceptances or acceptance rates - even at the highest levels of aggregation - due to changes in 2009, 2010 and 2011 in reporting of acceptances data;
- 2009 figures for all applicants and offers for South Australia/Northern Territory have been revised. Revised acceptance data are not available; and
- Tables do not always sum to totals due to missing data on some items.
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Table A1．2：Applications，offers and unmet demand time series for NSW／ACT，2002－2011
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| 人̀ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oo } \\ & 0 \\ & 0_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{O} \\ & 0 \\ & \text { O- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{e} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{4}}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{ल} \\ & \hat{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { iेㅇ }}{\stackrel{i}{+}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Nे을 }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{j}}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ \circ}{\circ}$ |  |
| 응 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{心 2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { ®i }}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | O응 | $\stackrel{\text { ®응 }}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{o}}$ | กั่ | $\stackrel{\text { ®는 }}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ |
| ٌ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\star}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్} \\ & \text { N⿵ } \\ & \text { ñ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{0}} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ®े은 } \\ & \text { ুi } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ioㅇ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{+}}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®0}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ |
| O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{b} \\ & \underset{i}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ƠO} \\ & \text { in } \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +o } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ฝ̃ }}{\stackrel{\text { N }}{ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ষু } \\ & \text { Ni } \end{aligned}$ |  | 80 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{y}{\mathrm{q}}}{\substack{2}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 응 |
| \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{b} \\ & \underset{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\hat{n}}{\sim} \\ & \tilde{q} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{-}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { £o } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \circ \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | ¢̊ |
| N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o్ల } \\ & \omega_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{f} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}}{\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \text { è } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®울 }}{n}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | \%웅 | ¢ |
| NEW SOUTH WALES AND AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { だ } \\ & \text { だ } \\ & \text { む } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.3: Applications, offers and unmet demand time series for Vic., 2002-2011


Table A1．4：Applications，offers and unmet demand time series for Qld，2002－2011

| $\xrightarrow{-7}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & 0 \\ & 0_{0}^{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { oे }}{\substack{\text { in }}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{i}}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { Nin }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{子}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{n}}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\mathbf{m}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { సे } \\ & \text { ฌ் } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & \text { దીં } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { নे } \\ & \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{7}{6} \end{aligned}$ | ১ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text {－}}$ － |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{O}{0}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lo } \\ & \underset{N}{n} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{N}} \underset{\underset{\sim}{\prime}}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\text { N }} \\ & \stackrel{y}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { No }}{\underset{\sim}{\infty}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஃㅇ } \\ & \text { ó } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\top} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ৯o } \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{7}{4}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{7} \\ & \underset{寸}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஸ̂̀ } \\ & \text { ஸ̣ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { స̊ } \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{C}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ 0 \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | O－8 |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\text {－}}}$ |
| O웅 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్గ } \\ & \text { 옹 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{m}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \\ & \text { n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{0}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 승 } \\ & \text { ò } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஷo } \\ & \text { +i } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \circ \end{aligned}$ | oi | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \mathbf{o}_{0}^{0} \\ & \sigma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { مें } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { д̊ } \\ & \text { ஷi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஷ̀ } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ò } \\ & \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { શั } \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®̀ }}{\text { ® }}$ |
| © |  | $\underset{\substack{\infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{7}}}{\substack{\text { I }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ìㅇ } \\ & \text { Ni } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \infty \\ & \mathbf{o}^{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N} \\ & \text { Ò } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \infty \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{J}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & { }_{n}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ळ̀ } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{-} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | ন্ণী | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ì } \\ & \stackrel{0}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{6}$ | － |
| － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0_{i}^{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \\ & \vec{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{9} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { } \\ & \stackrel{+}{寸} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { §ั } \\ & \text { ọ } \end{aligned}$ | ì | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®® }}{\stackrel{\text { ® }}{+}}$ |
| O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o్ } \\ & \text { ò } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{F}{\mathscr{F}} \\ & \underset{寸}{ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{+}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U్N } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ 0 \mathrm{o} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ©゚ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 든 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®o }}{\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ } \text {－}}$ | ¢̊ |
| 잉 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & \stackrel{n}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{N}}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \underset{6}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\stackrel{-1}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{O}_{2} \\ & \text { + } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{+}}{\substack{1}}$ |
| O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nn } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{n}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ò } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{r} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oे } \\ & \text {-i } \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iٌo } \\ & \stackrel{i}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢0 }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |
| O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { inn } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & q \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{N} \\ & \stackrel{y}{*} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{+} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®̀ }}{\substack{\text {－}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & \text { + } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { ণi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oे } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ḷ̛ } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \underset{\text { I }}{ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \underset{m}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{-}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{1}{2}} \\ & \stackrel{\text { N}}{ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text {－}}{\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text {－}}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{U} \\ & \ddot{U} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{U}{U} \\ & \hline \dot{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 2 4 $n$ 2 $u$ 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.5: Applications, offers and unmet demand time series for WA,
2002-2011


Table A1．6：Applications，offers and unmet demand time series for SA／NT， 2002－2011

| － |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ờ } \\ & \text { d } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & \text { à } \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ți } \\ & \text { 合 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®난 }}{ }$ |  | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sigma}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{n}}}{\underset{\sim}{7}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ \text { ণi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \text { ल் } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{i}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\sim}$ | ٌٌ̣ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{7} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 을 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ <br> $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{F}}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ஸें }}{\substack{\circ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 广্す } \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \overrightarrow{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \text { i. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim}_{0}^{\infty} \\ & {\underset{\sim}{0}}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}{\stackrel{+}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{j}} \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\circ \\ \hline}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{i}$ | \％ |
| Oì |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®ㅇ }}{\text { in }}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{i}{\mathrm{i}}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 山్N } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N／}}{\substack{\text { N }}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ঃo } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{i}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{i}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢े }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nón } \\ & \text { Oin } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{+}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\lambda} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\hat{\hat{N}_{i}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\lambda} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{ }{\circ}} \stackrel{1}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஃ. } \\ & \text { نِ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{7} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & -7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ |
| 人̀ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\top} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®̃ }}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\underset{i}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{\circ}{+}}$ | ¢ٌ |
| \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \otimes_{0}^{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{m} \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\otimes} \\ & \stackrel{\otimes}{\tilde{m}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \hline \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |
| 合 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { নু } \\ & \text { ने } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\text { in }}{ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ |  | \％ |
| \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N্寸 } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U్ळ⿱⿵人一口⿴囗十 } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{4}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ơ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\tilde{\prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boㅇ } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ®은 } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \underset{i}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢0． |
| \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \hat{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \text { ¢ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®o }}{\substack{i}}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®ㅁ }}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| Õ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ờ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ờ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\overleftarrow{~}}}{\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ®웅 } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢0 }}{\text {－}}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{0}}{\substack{0}}$ | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.7: Applications, offers and unmet demand time series for Tas.,
2002-2011


Table A2.1: Step-by-step calculation of unmet demand for Australia and by state and territory, 2011


Table A3．1：Applications and offers by gender，age，educational participation and home state for Australia， 2011

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\underset{N}{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\text { I }}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { ó } \\ & \text { G் } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{N} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{6} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{0}{\sim} \\ \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ \stackrel{\infty}{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nे̀ } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\Delta}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~J}} \end{aligned}$ | NّN |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { og } \\ & \text { O+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { Ñ } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Nें |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\infty \\ \sim_{0}^{0} \\ \hline}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N/ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | ஸ̀ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { なे } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda} \\ & \underset{\sigma}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \underset{i}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{o} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\wedge}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ১े } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{N \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { مे } \\ & \text { م̀ } \end{aligned}$ |
| ¢ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{q} \\ & \vec{q} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{n}} \underset{\sim}{n}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{+}}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{N}{2}}}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \text { O- } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{g} \\ & \underset{寸}{寸} \end{aligned}$ | ুे |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{\circ}{+}}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { かे } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | ก | ® N N |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ L |  | $\hat{\circ}$ <br> 0 <br>  <br> $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ñ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \underset{\infty}{2} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ัก |
| － | $\frac{\frac{0}{10}}{\sum}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { m } \\ & \underset{7}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{\underset{~}{~}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ ¢ N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A3.2: Applications and offers by gender, age, educational participation and home state, NSW/ACT and Vic., 2011


Table A3.3: Applications and offers by gender, age, educational participation and home state, for QId and WA, 2011


Table A3.4: Applications and offers by gender, age, educational participation and home state for SA/NT and Tas., 2011


Table A4.1: Applications, acceptances and offers by under-represented group for Australia and NSW/ACT, 2011


Table A4.2: Applications, acceptances and offers by under-represented group for Vic., Qld and
WA, 2011


Table A4.3: Applications, acceptances and offers by under-represented group for SA/NT and Tas., 2011

|  | SES |  |  |  | Geographic location |  |  |  | Indigenous status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low SES | Medium SES | High SES | Outside Australia | Metro | Regional | Remote | Outside <br> Australia | Identified | Did not identify |
| SOUTH AUSTRALIA AND NORTHERN TERRITORY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of applications | 6,064 | 12,387 | 5,956 | 533 | 17,889 | 5,863 | 858 | 330 | 398 | 24,542 |
| Receiving offer | 4,668 | 9,982 | 4,673 | 331 | 13,963 | 4,824 | 700 | 167 | 302 | 19,352 |
| Offer rate | 77.0\% | 80.6\% | 78.5\% | 62.1\% | 78.1\% | 82.3\% | 81.6\% | 50.6\% | 75.9\% | 78.9\% |
| Accepting offer | 3,840 | 8,071 | 3,565 | 178 | 11,182 | 3,825 | 578 | 69 | 235 | 15,419 |
| Acceptance rate | 82.3\% | 80.9\% | 76.3\% | 53.8\% | 80.1\% | 79.3\% | 82.5\% | 41.3\% | 77.8\% | 79.7\% |
| TASMANIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of applications | 3,128 | 4,650 | 2,513 | 268 | 6,348 | 3,916 | 102 | 193 | 182 | 10,377 |
| Receiving offer | 2,607 | 3,567 | 1,640 | 115 | 4,414 | 3,375 | 84 | 56 | 144 | 7,785 |
| Offer rate | 83.3\% | 76.7\% | 65.3\% | 42.9\% | 69.5\% | 86.2\% | 81.7\% | 29.0\% | 79.1\% | 75.0\% |
| Accepting offer | 2,086 | 2,699 | 1,145 | 67 | 3266 | 2,647 | 60 | 24 | 110 | 5,887 |
| Acceptance rate | 80.0\% | 75.7\% | 69.8\% | 58.3\% | 74.0\% | 78.4\% | 71.5\% | 42.9\% | 76.4\% | 75.6\% |

Table A5.1: Eligible applications by field of education time series for Australia, 2002-2011

|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APPLICATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 4,894 | 5,113 | 4,891 | 4,161 | 3,888 | 3,707 | 4,750 | 3,877 | 4,054 | 3,895 |
| Architecture | 5,791 | 6,289 | 6,851 | 6,733 | 7,157 | 7,375 | 7,443 | 8,125 | 8,537 | 8,610 |
| Education | 22,575 | 24,160 | 24,832 | 25,308 | 24,366 | 22,878 | 20,637 | 20,075 | 21,298 | 20,584 |
| Engineering | 12,274 | 12,335 | 12,350 | 12,162 | 12,478 | 13,083 | 14,085 | 15,555 | 15,757 | 16,634 |
| Health | 38,251 | 42,873 | 44,902 | 45,312 | 47,411 | 52,158 | 50,504 | 52,358 | 60,253 | 62,714 |
| Dental Studies | 982 | 1,095 | 1,431 | 1,776 | 2,291 | 2,436 | 2,669 | 3,328 | 3,470 | 4,010 |
| Medical Studies | 6,834 | 7,733 | 8,764 | 8,316 | 9,097 | 11,151 | 10,274 | 9,093 | 11,230 | 12,425 |
| Nursing | 11,314 | 13,313 | 13,628 | 13,675 | 14,435 | 15,766 | 15,448 | 16,358 | 20,347 | 19,866 |
| Veterinary Studies | 1,611 | 1,752 | 1,749 | 1,929 | 1,860 | 1,907 | 2,112 | 2,283 | 1,970 | 2,067 |
| Health Other | 17,510 | 18,980 | 19,330 | 19,616 | 19,728 | 20,898 | 20,001 | 21,296 | 23,236 | 24,346 |
| Information Technology | 13,030 | 10,324 | 8,121 | 6,810 | 5,619 | 5,146 | 4,978 | 5,478 | 5,640 | 5,718 |
| Management/Commerce | 37,552 | 37,218 | 36,567 | 35,282 | 32,990 | 32,115 | 31,083 | 31,836 | 31,171 | 31,696 |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 15,140 | 15,381 | 15,665 | 15,003 | 14,273 | 13,618 | 13,795 | 16,157 | 18,271 | 19,661 |
| Society/Culture/Creative Arts | 73,221 | 75,734 | 74,235 | 70,552 | 70,165 | 68,244 | 68,452 | 73,922 | 76,972 | 75,991 |
| Justice/Law Enforcement | 1,522 | 1,716 | 1,570 | 1,321 | 1,229 | 1,134 | 966 | 1,309 | 1,374 | 1,270 |
| Law | 12,863 | 13,266 | 13,064 | 12,372 | 12,515 | 12,499 | 12,541 | 12,399 | 12,066 | 10,889 |
| Food/Hospitality/ Personal |  |  |  | 34 | 17 | 27 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 17 |
| Mixed Field Programs |  |  |  | 231 | 165 | 186 | 384 | 5 | 1,278 | 1,737 |
| Total | 222,728 | 229,427 | 228,414 | 221,588 | 218,529 | 218,537 | 216,136 | 227,408 | 243,249 | 246,987 |

Table A5.2: Eligible applicants receiving offers and offer rates time series by field of education for Australia, 2002-2011

|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Offers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 4,956 | 5,104 | 5,098 | 4,304 | 4,073 | 3,855 | 4,991 | 3,796 | 4,148 | 3,945 |
| Architecture | 3,948 | 3,877 | 3,906 | 4,620 | 5,357 | 5,781 | 5,912 | 5,722 | 6,060 | 6,156 |
| Education | 14,788 | 14,550 | 14,991 | 18,648 | 19,292 | 19,133 | 17,403 | 16,284 | 16,865 | 17,534 |
| Engineering | 10,876 | 10,652 | 10,525 | 10,933 | 11,438 | 12,177 | 12,989 | 13,650 | 13,834 | 14,234 |
| Health | 23,247 | 23,805 | 25,074 | 29,718 | 32,106 | 34,997 | 34,305 | 34,104 | 37,361 | 38,990 |
| Dental Studies | 409 | 416 | 567 | 795 | 929 | 1,020 | 1,059 | 1,036 | 1,096 | 1,101 |
| Medical Studies | 1,551 | 1,781 | 2,209 | 2,320 | 2,640 | 3,036 | 2,827 | 2,016 | 2,454 | 2,649 |
| Nursing | 8,380 | 8,452 | 9,083 | 10,959 | 12,027 | 12,900 | 12,615 | 13,593 | 15,181 | 15,018 |
| Veterinary Studies | 399 | 394 | 390 | 479 | 583 | 659 | 799 | 667 | 586 | 588 |
| Health Other | 12,508 | 12,762 | 12,825 | 15,165 | 15,927 | 17,382 | 17,005 | 16,792 | 18,044 | 19,634 |
| Information Technology | 9,784 | 8,937 | 7,353 | 6,392 | 5,515 | 5,059 | 4,923 | 5,031 | 5,460 | 5,565 |
| Management/Commerce | 28,816 | 27,897 | 27,907 | 29,606 | 29,528 | 28,694 | 27,660 | 27,850 | 27,849 | 29,152 |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 16,349 | 16,687 | 16,684 | 16,519 | 16,538 | 16,061 | 15,089 | 17,542 | 19,817 | 21,045 |
| Society/Culture/Creative Arts | 56,039 | 54,800 | 53,547 | 57,812 | 60,762 | 59,816 | 59,231 | 61,802 | 64,346 | 65,739 |
| Justice/Law <br> Enforcement | 1,272 | 1,135 | 955 | 1,088 | 1,167 | 1,049 | 914 | 1,127 | 1,292 | 1,261 |
| Law | 7,794 | 7,620 | 7,305 | 7,917 | 8,687 | 9,161 | 8,957 | 8,082 | 7,512 | 7,076 |
| Food/Hospitality/Personal |  |  |  | 36 | 13 | 27 | 27 | 24 | 22 | 18 |
| Mixed Field Programs |  |  |  | 266 | 247 | 298 | 631 | 6 | 1,406 | 1,588 |
| Total | 168,803 | 166,309 | 165,085 | 178,854 | 184,869 | 185,898 | 183,161 | 185,811 | 197,168 | 203,996 |
| Offer rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 101.3\% | 99.8\% | 104.2\% | 103.4\% | 104.8\% | 104.0\% | 105.1\% | 97.9\% | 102.3\% | 101.3\% |
| Architecture | 68.2\% | 61.6\% | 57.0\% | 68.6\% | 74.8\% | 78.4\% | 79.4\% | 70.4\% | 70.9\% | 71.5\% |
| Education | 65.5\% | 60.2\% | 60.4\% | 73.7\% | 79.2\% | 83.6\% | 84.3\% | 81.1\% | 79.2\% | 85.2\% |
| Engineering | 88.6\% | 86.4\% | 85.2\% | 89.9\% | 91.7\% | 93.1\% | 92.2\% | 87.8\% | 87.8\% | 87.0\% |
| Health | 60.8\% | 55.5\% | 55.8\% | 65.6\% | 67.7\% | 67.1\% | 67.9\% | 65.1\% | 62.0\% | 62.2\% |
| Dental Studies | 41.6\% | 38.0\% | 39.6\% | 44.8\% | 40.5\% | 41.9\% | 39.7\% | 31.1\% | 32.1\% | 27.5\% |
| Medical Studies | 22.7\% | 23.0\% | 25.2\% | 27.9\% | 29.0\% | 27.2\% | 27.5\% | 22.2\% | 21.9\% | 21.3\% |
| Nursing | 74.1\% | 63.5\% | 66.6\% | 80.1\% | 83.3\% | 81.8\% | 81.7\% | 83.1\% | 74.6\% | 75.6\% |
| Veterinary Studies | 24.8\% | 22.5\% | 22.3\% | 24.8\% | 31.3\% | 34.6\% | 37.8\% | 29.2\% | 29.8\% | 28.4\% |
| Health Other | 71.4\% | 67.2\% | 66.3\% | 77.3\% | 80.7\% | 83.2\% | 85.0\% | 78.9\% | 77.7\% | 76.5\% |
| Information Technology | 75.1\% | 86.6\% | 90.5\% | 93.9\% | 98.1\% | 98.3\% | 98.9\% | 91.8\% | 100.0\% | 97.3\% |
| Management/Commerce | 76.7\% | 75.0\% | 76.3\% | 83.9\% | 89.5\% | 89.3\% | 89.0\% | 87.5\% | 89.3\% | 92.0\% |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 108.0\% | 108.5\% | 106.5\% | 110.1\% | 115.9\% | 117.9\% | 109.4\% | 108.6\% | 108.5\% | 107.0\% |
| Society/Culture/Creative Arts | 76.5\% | 72.4\% | 72.1\% | 81.9\% | 86.6\% | 87.7\% | 86.5\% | 83.6\% | 83.6\% | 86.5\% |
| Justice/Law Enforcement | 83.6\% | 66.1\% | 60.8\% | 82.4\% | 95.0\% | 92.5\% | 94.6\% | 86.1\% | 94.0\% | 99.3\% |
| Law | 60.6\% | 57.4\% | 55.9\% | 64.0\% | 69.4\% | 73.3\% | 71.4\% | 65.2\% | 62.3\% | 65.0\% |
| Food/Hospitality/Personal |  |  |  | 105.9\% | 76.5\% | 100.0\% | 117.4\% | 120.0\% | 122.2\% | 105.9\% |
| Mixed Field Programs |  |  |  | 115.2\% | 149.7\% | 160.2\% | 164.3\% | 120.0\% | 110.0\% | 91.4\% |
| Total | 75.8\% | 72.5\% | 72.3\% | 80.7\% | 84.6\% | 85.1\% | 84.7\% | 81.7\% | 81.1\% | 82.6\% |

Table A5.3: Eligible applicants accepting an offer and acceptance rates time series by field of education for Australia, 2003-2011 (includes deferrals)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acceptances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 3,840 | 3,805 | 3,024 | 2,877 | 2,785 | 3,731 | 3,120 | 3,485 | 2,665 |
| Architecture | 3,204 | 3,257 | 3,546 | 3,785 | 4,747 | 4,308 | 5,102 | 5,331 | 4,626 |
| Education | 12,164 | 12,410 | 14,778 | 15,491 | 15,171 | 13,807 | 13,605 | 14,002 | 12,616 |
| Engineering | 8,659 | 8,440 | 8,439 | 8,264 | 9,985 | 9,287 | 11,714 | 11,913 | 10,981 |
| Health | 18,301 | 19,173 | 21,145 | 23,161 | 25,281 | 24,883 | 28,336 | 30,826 | 27,244 |
| Dental Studies | 266 | 395 | 487 | 630 | 646 | 663 | 739 | 763 | 686 |
| Medical Studies | 1,232 | 1,355 | 1,321 | 1,453 | 1,810 | 1,620 | 1,667 | 1,959 | 1,857 |
| Nursing | 7,253 | 7,726 | 8,191 | 9,373 | 9,788 | 9,677 | 11,760 | 12,917 | 11,063 |
| Veterinary Studies | 254 | 259 | 308 | 414 | 466 | 527 | 504 | 474 | 374 |
| Health Other | 9,296 | 9,438 | 10,838 | 11,291 | 12,571 | 12,396 | 13,666 | 14,713 | 13,264 |
| Information Technology | 7,412 | 5,971 | 4,973 | 4,347 | 3,891 | 3,716 | 4,474 | 4,680 | 4,344 |
| Management/Commerce | 21,983 | 22,205 | 22,785 | 22,440 | 21,951 | 20,472 | 23,877 | 23,458 | 21,400 |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 12,974 | 12,832 | 12,437 | 12,333 | 12,031 | 10,228 | 14,563 | 16,176 | 14,878 |
| Society/Culture/Creative Arts | 43,763 | 42,476 | 44,082 | 45,506 | 45,678 | 41,681 | 52,234 | 53,729 | 46,185 |
| Justice/Law <br> Enforcement | 824 | 746 | 808 | 896 | 832 | 726 | 925 | 1,070 | 1,057 |
| Law | 5,987 | 5,503 | 5,937 | 6,278 | 6,623 | 5,672 | 6,741 | 6,148 | 4,781 |
| Food/Hospitality/Personal |  |  | 24 | 10 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 11 |
| Mixed Field Programs |  |  | 179 | 153 | 188 | 421 | 5 | 1,267 | 1,322 |
| Total | 132,300 | 130,569 | 135,412 | 138,367 | 141,724 | 132,552 | 157,050 | 164,885 | 167,159 |
| Acceptance rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 75.2\% | 74.6\% | 70.3\% | 70.6\% | 72.2\% | 74.8\% | 82.2\% | 84.0\% | 82.3\% |
| Architecture | 82.6\% | 83.4\% | 76.8\% | 70.7\% | 82.1\% | 72.9\% | 89.2\% | 88.0\% | 87.1\% |
| Education | 83.6\% | 82.8\% | 79.2\% | 80.3\% | 79.3\% | 79.3\% | 84.1\% | 83.0\% | 82.5\% |
| Engineering | 81.3\% | 80.2\% | 77.2\% | 72.3\% | 82.0\% | 71.5\% | 86.2\% | 86.1\% | 86.1\% |
| Health | 76.9\% | 76.5\% | 71.2\% | 72.1\% | 72.2\% | 72.5\% | 83.1\% | 82.5\% | 80.3\% |
| Dental Studies | 63.9\% | 69.7\% | 61.3\% | 67.8\% | 63.3\% | 62.6\% | 71.3\% | 69.6\% | 69.7\% |
| Medical Studies | 69.2\% | 61.3\% | 56.9\% | 55.0\% | 59.6\% | 57.3\% | 82.7\% | 79.8\% | 76.7\% |
| Nursing | 85.8\% | 85.1\% | 74.7\% | 77.9\% | 75.9\% | 76.7\% | 86.6\% | 85.1\% | 83.2\% |
| Veterinary Studies | 64.5\% | 66.4\% | 64.3\% | 71.0\% | 70.7\% | 66.0\% | 75.6\% | 80.9\% | 73.3\% |
| Health Other | 72.8\% | 73.6\% | 71.5\% | 70.9\% | 72.3\% | 72.9\% | 81.4\% | 81.5\% | 83.8\% |
| Information Technology | 82.9\% | 81.2\% | 77.8\% | 78.8\% | 76.9\% | 75.5\% | 89.1\% | 85.7\% | 85.8\% |
| Management/Commerce | 78.8\% | 79.6\% | 77.0\% | 76.0\% | 76.5\% | 74.0\% | 86.4\% | 84.2\% | 82.3\% |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 77.7\% | 76.9\% | 75.3\% | 74.6\% | 74.9\% | 67.8\% | 83.1\% | 81.2\% | 80.3\% |
| Society/Culture/Creative Arts | 79.9\% | 79.3\% | 76.3\% | 74.9\% | 76.4\% | 70.4\% | 84.8\% | 83.5\% | 81.3\% |
| Justice/Law Enforcement | 72.6\% | 78.1\% | 74.3\% | 76.8\% | 79.3\% | 79.4\% | 82.1\% | 82.8\% | 77.4\% |
| Law | 78.6\% | 75.3\% | 75.0\% | 72.3\% | 72.3\% | 63.3\% | 83.6\% | 81.8\% | 80.9\% |
| Food/Hospitality/Personal |  |  | 66.7\% | 76.9\% | 59.3\% | 66.7\% | 83.3\% | 81.8\% | 77.8\% |
| Mixed Field Programs |  |  | 67.3\% | 61.9\% | 63.1\% | 66.7\% | 83.3\% | 90.1\% | 86.0\% |
| Total | 79.6\% | 79.1\% | 75.7\% | 74.8\% | 76.2\% | 72.4\% | 84.5\% | 83.6\% | 81.9\% |

Table A6.1: Applications, offers and acceptances by low SES by field of education, 2011 (excludes deferrals)

|  | All low SES applicants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of applications | Offers |  |  | Acceptances |  |
|  |  | Receiving offer | Not offered | Offer <br> rate | Accepted offer | Acceptance rate |
| FIELD OF EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 3,794 | 3,779 | 15 | 99.6\% | 2,745 | 72.6\% |
| Information Technology | 1,496 | 1,239 | 257 | 82.8\% | 966 | 78.0\% |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | 3,371 | 2,642 | 729 | 78.4\% | 2,058 | 77.9\% |
| Architecture and Building | 1,436 | 848 | 588 | 59.1\% | 639 | 75.4\% |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | 852 | 812 | 40 | 95.3\% | 535 | 65.9\% |
| Health | 13,108 | 8,179 | 4,929 | 62.4\% | 5,865 | 71.7\% |
| Medical Studies | 1,360 | 348 | 1,012 | 25.6\% | 254 | 73.0\% |
| Nursing | 5,825 | 3,931 | 1,894 | 67.5\% | 2,936 | 74.7\% |
| Dental Studies | 554 | 140 | 414 | 25.3\% | 106 | 75.7\% |
| Veterinary Studies | 352 | 99 | 253 | 28.1\% | 65 | 65.7\% |
| Health Other | 5,017 | 3,661 | 1,356 | 73.0\% | 2,504 | 68.4\% |
| Education | 6,036 | 4,616 | 1,420 | 76.5\% | 3,437 | 74.5\% |
| Teacher Education | 5,734 | 4,425 | 1,309 | 77.2\% | 3,293 | 74.4\% |
| Management and Commerce | 5,962 | 4,978 | 984 | 83.5\% | 3,587 | 72.1\% |
| Society and Culture | 9,934 | 7,133 | 2,801 | 71.8\% | 5,970 | 83.7\% |
| Law | 1,149 | 1,023 | 126 | 89.0\% | 761 | 74.4\% |
| Creative Arts | 4,283 | 2,782 | 1,501 | 65.0\% | 1,970 | 70.8\% |
| Food, Hospitality and Personal Services | 3 | 2 | 1 | 66.7\% | 1 | 50.0\% |
| Mixed Field Programs | 171 | 120 | 51 | 70.2\% | 94 | 78.3\% |
| Total | 50,446 | 38,446 | 12,000 | 76.2\% | 27,867 | 72.5\% |

Table A6.2: Applications, offers and acceptances by medium SES by field of education, 2011 (excludes deferrals)

|  | All medium SES applicants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of applications | Offers |  |  | Acceptances |  |
|  |  | Receiving offer | Not offered | Offer rate | Accepted offer | Acceptance rate |
| FIELD OF EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 10,252 | 10,454 | -202 | 102.0\% | 7,406 | 70.8\% |
| Information Technology | 3,575 | 3,116 | 459 | 87.2\% | 2,395 | 76.9\% |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | 8,784 | 7,236 | 1,548 | 82.4\% | 5,629 | 77.8\% |
| Architecture and Building | 4,503 | 2,905 | 1,598 | 64.5\% | 2,204 | 75.9\% |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | 2,123 | 1,967 | 156 | 92.7\% | 1,297 | 65.9\% |
| Health | 33,663 | 21,095 | 12,568 | 62.7\% | 14,296 | 67.8\% |
| Medical Studies | 4,995 | 1,183 | 3,812 | 23.7\% | 832 | 70.3\% |
| Nursing | 12,100 | 8,686 | 3,414 | 71.8\% | 6,464 | 74.4\% |
| Dental Studies | 1,890 | 537 | 1,353 | 28.4\% | 349 | 65.0\% |
| Veterinary Studies | 1,009 | 282 | 727 | 27.9\% | 180 | 63.8\% |
| Health Other | 13,669 | 10,407 | 3,262 | 76.1\% | 7,101 | 68.2\% |
| Education | 13,294 | 10,287 | 3,007 | 77.4\% | 7,460 | 72.5\% |
| Teacher Education | 12,700 | 9,873 | 2,827 | 77.7\% | 7,141 | 72.3\% |
| Management and Commerce | 16,491 | 14,105 | 2,386 | 85.5\% | 10,242 | 72.6\% |
| Society and Culture | 26,281 | 23,059 | 3,222 | 87.7\% | 16,163 | 70.1\% |
| Law | 5,093 | 3,254 | 1,839 | 63.9\% | 2,336 | 71.8\% |
| Creative Arts | 13,184 | 8,813 | 4,371 | 66.8\% | 6,327 | 71.8\% |
| Food, Hospitality and Personal Services | 13 | 11 | 2 | 84.6\% | 8 | 72.7\% |
| Mixed Field Programs | 571 | 477 | 94 | 83.5\% | 367 | 76.9\% |
| Total | 132,734 | 103,525 | 29,209 | 78.0\% | 74,424 | 71.9\% |

Table A6.3: Applications, offers and acceptances by high SES by field of education, 2011 (excludes deferrals)

|  | All high SES applicants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of applications | Offers |  |  | Acceptances |  |
|  |  | Receiving offer | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { offered } \end{gathered}$ | Offer rate | Accepted offer | Acceptance rate |
| FIELD OF EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 6,450 | 6,995 | -545 | 108.4\% | 4,933 | 70.5\% |
| Information Technology | 1,709 | 1,589 | 120 | 93.0\% | 1,243 | 78.2\% |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | 4,795 | 4,294 | 501 | 89.6\% | 3,283 | 76.5\% |
| Architecture and Building | 3,481 | 2,469 | 1,012 | 70.9\% | 1,861 | 75.4\% |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | 1,234 | 1,217 | 17 | 98.6\% | 858 | 70.5\% |
| Health | 18,498 | 10,356 | 8,142 | 56.0\% | 6,903 | 66.7\% |
| Medical Studies | 5,523 | 1,072 | 4,451 | 19.4\% | 731 | 68.2\% |
| Nursing | 3,929 | 2,900 | 1,029 | 73.8\% | 2,017 | 69.6\% |
| Dental Studies | 1,371 | 391 | 980 | 28.5\% | 217 | 55.5\% |
| Veterinary Studies | 670 | 199 | 471 | 29.7\% | 120 | 60.3\% |
| Health Other | 7,005 | 5,794 | 1,211 | 82.7\% | 3,818 | 65.9\% |
| Education | 4,318 | 3,472 | 846 | 80.4\% | 2,279 | 65.6\% |
| Teacher Education | 4,177 | 3,366 | 811 | 80.6\% | 2,195 | 65.2\% |
| Management and Commerce | 12,254 | 10,756 | 1,498 | 87.8\% | 7,996 | 74.3\% |
| Society and Culture | 18,865 | 17,124 | 1,741 | 90.8\% | 11,696 | 68.3\% |
| Law | 4,286 | 2,757 | 1,529 | 64.3\% | 1,902 | 69.0\% |
| Creative Arts | 10,145 | 7,233 | 2,912 | 71.3\% | 5,164 | 71.4\% |
| Food, Hospitality and Personal Services | 6 | 5 | 1 | 83.3\% | 3 | 60.0\% |
| Mixed Field Programs | 1,143 | 995 | 148 | 87.1\% | 865 | 86.9\% |
| Total | 82,898 | 66,505 | 16,393 | 80.2\% | 47,084 | 70.8\% |

Table A7.1: Applications, offers and acceptances by metropolitan region by field of education, 2011 (excludes deferrals)

|  | Metropolitan applicants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of applications | Offers |  |  | Acceptances |  |
|  |  | Receiving offer | Not offered | Offer <br> rate | Accepted offer | Acceptance rate |
| FIELD OF EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 16,037 | 16,722 | -685 | 104.3\% | 12,381 | 74.0\% |
| Information Technology | 5,502 | 4,817 | 685 | 87.5\% | 3,841 | 79.8\% |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | 13,135 | 10,934 | 2,200 | 83.2\% | 8,716 | 79.7\% |
| Architecture and Building | 7,917 | 5,195 | 2,722 | 65.6\% | 4,058 | 78.1\% |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | 2,618 | 2,510 | 108 | 95.9\% | 1,812 | 72.2\% |
| Health | 49,597 | 28,789 | 20,808 | 58.0\% | 20,612 | 71.6\% |
| Medical Studies | 10,148 | 1,979 | 8,169 | 19.5\% | 1,392 | 70.3\% |
| Nursing | 15,401 | 10,592 | 4,809 | 68.8\% | 7,936 | 74.9\% |
| Dental Studies | 3,309 | 917 | 2,392 | 27.7\% | 562 | 61.3\% |
| Veterinary Studies | 1,397 | 385 | 1,013 | 27.5\% | 249 | 64.6\% |
| Health Other | 19,341 | 14,916 | 4,425 | 77.1\% | 10,473 | 70.2\% |
| Education | 16,199 | 12,155 | 4,044 | 75.0\% | 8,934 | 73.5\% |
| Teacher Education | 15,585 | 11,787 | 3,799 | 75.6\% | 8,644 | 73.3\% |
| Management and Commerce | 28,808 | 24,594 | 4,214 | 85.4\% | 18,625 | 75.7\% |
| Society and Culture | 44,055 | 38,643 | 5,412 | 87.7\% | 27,700 | 71.7\% |
| Law | 9,207 | 5,820 | 3,388 | 63.2\% | 4,220 | 72.5\% |
| Creative Arts | 21,968 | 14,898 | 7,069 | 67.8\% | 11,038 | 74.1\% |
| Food, Hospitality and Personal Services | 20 | 16 | 4 | 80.9\% | 12 | 75.0\% |
| Mixed Field Programs | 1,734 | 1,452 | 282 | 83.7\% | 1,225 | 84.4\% |
| Total | 207,589 | 160,725 | 46,864 | 77.4\% | 118,955 | 74.0\% |

Table A7.2: Applications, offers and acceptances by non-metropolitan region by field of education, 2011 (excludes deferrals)

|  | Non-Metropolitan Applicants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of applications | Offers |  |  | Acceptances |  |
|  |  | Receiving offer | Not offered | Offer rate | Accepted offer | Acceptance rate |
| FIELD OF EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 4,555 | 4,601 | - 46 | 101.0\% | 2,755 | 59.9\% |
| Information Technology | 1,304 | 1,149 | 155 | 88.1\% | 774 | 67.3\% |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | 3,896 | 3,311 | 586 | 85.0\% | 2,291 | 69.2\% |
| Architecture and Building | 1,535 | 1,053 | 482 | 68.6\% | 659 | 62.5\% |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | 1,618 | 1,518 | 100 | 93.8\% | 891 | 58.7\% |
| Health | 15,897 | 10,980 | 4,917 | 69.1\% | 7,162 | 65.2\% |
| Medical Studies | 1,766 | 633 | 1,133 | 35.9\% | 432 | 68.2\% |
| Nursing | 6,536 | 4,984 | 1,552 | 76.3\% | 3,520 | 70.6\% |
| Dental Studies | 516 | 152 | 364 | 29.5\% | 110 | 72.5\% |
| Veterinary Studies | 644 | 199 | 444 | 30.9\% | 118 | 59.4\% |
| Health Other | 6,436 | 5,012 | 1,424 | 77.9\% | 2,982 | 59.5\% |
| Education | 7,545 | 6,294 | 1,251 | 83.4\% | 4,277 | 68.0\% |
| Teacher Education | 6,739 | 5,633 | 1,107 | 83.6\% | 4,015 | 71.3\% |
| Management and Commerce | 6,010 | 5,349 | 661 | 89.0\% | 3,267 | 61.1\% |
| Society and Culture | 11,264 | 10,185 | 1,079 | 90.4\% | 6,235 | 61.2\% |
| Law | 1,891 | 1,237 | 653 | 65.4\% | 790 | 63.9\% |
| Creative Arts | 5,763 | 4,017 | 1,747 | 69.7\% | 2,473 | 61.6\% |
| Food, Hospitality and Personal Services | 3 | 3 | - | 100.0\% | - | - |
| Mixed Field Programs | 152 | 141 | 11 | 92.6\% | 102 | 72.1\% |
| Total | 59,544 | 48,601 | 10,943 | 81.6\% | 30,885 | 63.5\% |

Table A8.1: Applications, offers and acceptances by Indigenous status by field of education, 2011 (excludes deferrals)

|  | Applicants identifying as Indigenous |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of applications | Offers |  |  | Acceptances |  |
|  |  | Receiving offer | Not offered | Offer rate | Accepted offer | Acceptance rate |
| FIELD OF EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural and Physical Sciences | 159 | 131 | 28 | 82.4\% | 90 | 68.7\% |
| Information Technology | 61 | 54 | 7 | 88.5\% | 41 | 75.9\% |
| Engineering and Related Technologies | 117 | 82 | 35 | 70.1\% | 66 | 80.5\% |
| Architecture and Building | 82 | 54 | 28 | 65.9\% | 37 | 68.5\% |
| Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | 49 | 43 | 6 | 87.8\% | 32 | 74.4\% |
| Health | 776 | 518 | 258 | 66.8\% | 378 | 73.0\% |
| Medical Studies | 69 | 42 | 27 | 60.9\% | 36 | 85.7\% |
| Nursing | 406 | 266 | 140 | 65.5\% | 199 | 74.8\% |
| Dental Studies | 22 | 15 | 7 | 68.2\% | 10 | 66.7\% |
| Veterinary Studies | 19 | 8 | 11 | 42.1\% | 7 | 87.5\% |
| Health Other | 260 | 187 | 73 | 71.9\% | 126 | 67.4\% |
| Education | 450 | 323 | 127 | 71.8\% | 245 | 75.9\% |
| Teacher Education | 441 | 316 | 125 | 71.7\% | 238 | 75.3\% |
| Management and Commerce | 241 | 202 | 39 | 83.8\% | 138 | 68.3\% |
| Society and Culture | 747 | 580 | 167 | 77.6\% | 407 | 70.2\% |
| Law | 142 | 73 | 69 | 51.4\% | 56 | 76.7\% |
| Justice and Law Enforcement | 41 | 30 | 11 | 73.2\% | 17 | 56.7\% |
| Creative Arts | 293 | 201 | 92 | 68.6\% | 144 | 71.6\% |
| Hospitality and Personal Services |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| Mixed field programs | 20 | 23 | -3 | 115.0\% | 18 | 78.3\% |
| Total | 2,995 | 2,211 | 784 | 73.8\% | 1,596 | 72.2\% |

Table A9.1: Current Year 12 applications, offers and offer rates by state by ATAR, 2011

|  | NSW/ACT | Vic. | Qld | WA | SA/NT | Tas. | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APPLICATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90.05 or more | 11,944 | 9,057 | 6,319 | 3,547 | 3,630 | 1,390 | 35,887 |
| 80.05-90.00 | 9,643 | 7,545 | 5,722 | 2,815 | 2,080 | 659 | 28,464 |
| 70.05-80.00 | 8,423 | 7,031 | 4,499 | 2,363 | 1,835 | 434 | 24,585 |
| 60.05-70.00 | 6,712 | 6,498 | 4,676 | 1,686 | 1,510 | 308 | 21,390 |
| 50.05-60.00 | 4,704 | 5,126 | 2,763 | 914 | 1,185 | 173 | 14,865 |
| 40.05-50.00 | 2,798 | 3,729 | 365 | 370 | 544 | 89 | 7,895 |
| 30.05-40.00 | 1,430 | 1,954 | 82 | 129 | 150 | 33 | 3,778 |
| 20.05-30.00 | 650 | 637 | 7 | 42 | 12 |  | 1,348 |
| 10.05-20.00 | 242 | 275 | - | 14 | 1 | - | 532 |
| 10.00 or less | 10 | 201 | - | 3 | 3 | - | 217 |
| Not scored | 334 | 1,085 | 2,227 | 486 | 466 | 348 | 4,946 |
| Total | 46,890 | 43,138 | 26,660 | 12,369 | 11,416 | 3,434 | 143,907 |
| OFFERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90.05 or more | 11,256 | 8,645 | 5,669 | 3,110 | 2,935 | 778 | 32,393 |
| 80.05-90.00 | 9,466 | 7,326 | 5,426 | 2,745 | 1,955 | 567 | 27,485 |
| 70.05-80.00 | 8,164 | 6,494 | 4,121 | 2,299 | 1,785 | 395 | 23,258 |
| 60.05-70.00 | 6,172 | 5,398 | 3,758 | 1,475 | 1,421 | 277 | 18,501 |
| 50.05-60.00 | 3,337 | 3,318 | 1,258 | 544 | 918 | 146 | 9,521 |
| 40.05-50.00 | 955 | 664 | 69 | 26 | 149 | 79 | 1,942 |
| 30.05-40.00 | 214 | 73 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 22 | 350 |
| 20.05-30.00 | 81 | 16 | 1 | - | - |  | 98 |
| 10.05-20.00 | 11 | 2 | - | - | - |  | 13 |
| 10.00 or less |  | 25 |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| Not scored | 172 | 67 | 1,351 | 241 | 119 | 234 | 2,184 |
| Total | 39,828 | 32,028 | 21,670 | 10,452 | 9,294 | 2,498 | 115,770 |
| OFFER RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90.05 or more | 94.2\% | 95.5\% | 89.7\% | 87.7\% | 80.9\% | 56.0\% | 90.3\% |
| 80.05-90.00 | 98.2\% | 97.1\% | 94.8\% | 97.5\% | 94.0\% | 86.0\% | 96.6\% |
| 70.05-80.00 | 96.9\% | 92.4\% | 91.6\% | 97.3\% | 97.3\% | 91.0\% | 94.6\% |
| 60.05-70.00 | 92.0\% | 83.1\% | 80.4\% | 87.5\% | 94.1\% | 89.9\% | 86.5\% |
| 50.05-60.00 | 70.9\% | 64.7\% | 45.5\% | 59.5\% | 77.5\% | 84.4\% | 64.0\% |
| 40.05-50.00 | 34.1\% | 17.8\% | 18.9\% | 7.0\% | 27.4\% | 88.8\% | 24.6\% |
| 30.05-40.00 | 15.0\% | 3.7\% | 20.7\% | 9.3\% | 8.0\% | 66.7\% | 9.3\% |
| 20.05-30.00 | 12.5\% | 2.5\% | 14.3\% | - | - | - | 7.3\% |
| 10.05-20.00 | 4.5\% | 0.7\% | - | - | - | - | 2.4\% |
| 10.00 or less | - | 12.4\% | - | - | - | - | 11.5\% |
| Not scored | 51.5\% | 6.2\% | 60.7\% | 49.6\% | 25.5\% | 67.2\% | 44.2\% |
| Total | 84.9\% | 74.2\% | 81.3\% | 84.5\% | 81.4\% | 72.7\% | 80.4\% |

Table A9.2: Current Year 12 acceptances and acceptance rates by state by ATAR, 2011 (includes deferrals)

|  | NSW/ACT | Vic. | Qld | WA | SA/NT | Tas. | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACCEPTANCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90.05 or more | 9,589 | 6,920 | 4,838 | 2,631 | 2,057 | 380 | 26,415 |
| 80.05-90.00 | 8,385 | 6,353 | 4,986 | 2,506 | 1,675 | 429 | 24,334 |
| 70.05-80.00 | 6,865 | 5,589 | 3,766 | 2,018 | 1,581 | 305 | 20,124 |
| 60.05-70.00 | 4,922 | 4,409 | 3,397 | 1,231 | 1,261 | 179 | 15,399 |
| 50.05-60.00 | 2,571 | 2,555 | 1,113 | 424 | 801 | 105 | 7,569 |
| 40.05-50.00 | 742 | 490 | 53 | 23 | 129 | 47 | 1,484 |
| 30.05-40.00 | 172 | 64 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 281 |
| 20.05-30.00 | 66 | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | 77 |
| 10.05-20.00 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| 10.00 or less | - | 16 | - | - | - |  | 16 |
| Not scored | 134 | 44 | 1,091 | 165 | 106 | 162 | 1,702 |
| Total | 33,452 | 26,452 | 19,258 | 9,007 | 7,620 | 1,620 | 97,409 |
| ACCEPTANCE RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90.05 or more | 85.2\% | 80.0\% | 85.3\% | 84.6\% | 70.1\% | 48.8\% | 81.5\% |
| 80.05-90.00 | 88.6\% | 86.7\% | 91.9\% | 91.3\% | 85.7\% | 75.7\% | 88.5\% |
| 70.05-80.00 | 84.1\% | 86.1\% | 91.4\% | 87.8\% | 88.6\% | 77.2\% | 86.5\% |
| 60.05-70.00 | 79.7\% | 81.7\% | 90.4\% | 83.5\% | 88.7\% | 64.6\% | 83.2\% |
| 50.05-60.00 | 77.0\% | 77.0\% | 88.5\% | 77.9\% | 87.3\% | 71.9\% | 79.5\% |
| 40.05-50.00 | 77.7\% | 73.8\% | 76.8\% | 88.5\% | 86.6\% | 59.5\% | 76.4\% |
| 30.05-40.00 | 80.4\% | 87.7\% | 76.5\% | 75.0\% | 83.3\% | 59.1\% | 80.3\% |
| 20.05-30.00 | 81.5\% | 62.5\% | 100.0\% | - | - | - | 78.6\% |
| 10.05-20.00 | 54.5\% | 100.0\% | - | - | - | - | 61.5\% |
| 10.00 or less | - | 64.0\% | - | - | - | - | 64.0\% |
| Not scored | 77.9\% | 65.7\% | 80.8\% | 68.5\% | 89.1\% | 69.2\% | 77.9\% |
| Total | 84.0\% | 82.6\% | 88.9\% | 86.2\% | 82.0\% | 64.9\% | 84.1\% |

Table A9.3: Current Year 12 students aged 20 or less applying in their home state Applications and application rate by ATAR, 2004-2011

|  |  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURRENT YEAR | 12 STUDENTS | 0 OR LESS | PPLYING I | THEIR HOM | STATE |  |  |  |  |
| Students | 90.05 or more | 25,698 | 25,525 | 25,592 | 26,316 | 27,110 | 27,135 | 27,447 | 27,862 |
|  | 80.05-90.00 | 25,956 | 25,688 | 25,775 | 26,299 | 26,330 | 25,367 | 26,078 | 26,639 |
|  | 70.05-80.00 | 25,448 | 24,395 | 24,523 | 24,688 | 25,088 | 24,762 | 24,750 | 25,639 |
|  | 60.05-70.00 | 22,246 | 20,884 | 21,298 | 21,963 | 22,453 | 22,596 | 22,790 | 23,904 |
|  | 50.05-60.00 | 20,161 | 18,035 | 18,233 | 16,955 | 16,798 | 19,572 | 19,629 | 19,544 |
|  | 40.05-50.00 | 15,610 | 13,069 | 12,769 | 12,686 | 12,284 | 15,233 | 14,700 | 15,768 |
|  | 30.05-40.00 | 9,498 | 8,945 | 8,178 | 8,085 | 8,687 | 8,682 | 8,922 | 10,243 |
|  | 20.05-30.00 | 6,679 | 6,347 | 5,747 | 4,333 | 4,183 | 5,235 | 4,863 | 5,153 |
|  | 10.05-20.00 | 4,348 | 4,011 | 3,989 | 3,201 | 3,079 | 3,782 | 2,407 | 2,394 |
|  | 10.00 or less | 3,496 | 1,924 | 2,344 | 2,357 | 2,203 | 1,410 | 504 | 450 |
|  | Not scored | 37,206 | 48,161 | 47,636 | 32,270 | 32,791 | 33,715 | 31,196 | 37,395 |
|  | Total | 196,346 | 196,984 | 196,084 | 179,153 | 181,006 | 187,489 | 183,286 | 194,991 |
| Home state | 90.05 or more | 24,751 | 24,562 | 23,808 | 24,417 | 24,949 | 24,081 | 26,391 | 26,322 |
| appl | 80.05-90.00 | 24,268 | 24,166 | 22,939 | 23,466 | 23,345 | 23,666 | 25,376 | 25,372 |
|  | 70.05-80.00 | 22,418 | 21,921 | 20,891 | 21,033 | 21,218 | 22,284 | 22,914 | 22,574 |
|  | 60.05-70.00 | 17,083 | 16,477 | 15,960 | 16,929 | 17,130 | 17,897 | 18,771 | 19,941 |
|  | 50.05-60.00 | 12,196 | 11,502 | 11,199 | 10,690 | 10,840 | 11,890 | 12,500 | 13,924 |
|  | 40.05-50.00 | 6,941 | 6,327 | 5,956 | 6,201 | 6,170 | 7,374 | 6,806 | 7,441 |
|  | 30.05-40.00 | 3,455 | 3,034 | 2,685 | 2,864 | 3,259 | 3,584 | 3,369 | 3,579 |
|  | 20.05-30.00 | 1,709 | 1,556 | 1,316 | 1,079 | 1,160 | 1,500 | 1,352 | 1,295 |
|  | 10.05-20.00 | 775 | 581 | 638 | 627 | 673 | 734 | 558 | 518 |
|  | 10.00 or less | 206 | 183 | 234 | 309 | 298 | 323 | 186 | 194 |
|  | Not scored | 1,150 | 1,069 | 814 | 1,097 | 1,201 | 963 | 2,897 | 3,891 |
|  | Total | 114,952 | 111,378 | 106,440 | 108,712 | 110,243 | 114,296 | 121,120 | 125,051 |
| Home state | 90.05 or more | 96.3\% | 96.2\% | 93.0\% | 92.8\% | 92.0\% | 88.7\% | 96.2\% | 94.5\% |
| applications as | 80.05-90.00 | 93.5\% | 94.1\% | 89.0\% | 89.2\% | 88.7\% | 93.3\% | 97.3\% | 95.2\% |
| a percentage | 70.05-80.00 | 88.1\% | 89.9\% | 85.2\% | 85.2\% | 84.6\% | 90.0\% | 92.6\% | 88.0\% |
| of all students | 60.05-70.00 | 76.8\% | 78.9\% | 74.9\% | 77.1\% | 76.3\% | 79.2\% | 82.4\% | 83.4\% |
|  | 50.05-60.00 | 60.5\% | 63.8\% | 61.4\% | 63.0\% | 64.5\% | 60.8\% | 63.7\% | 71.2\% |
|  | 40.05-50.00 | 44.5\% | 48.4\% | 46.6\% | 48.9\% | 50.2\% | 48.4\% | 46.3\% | 47.2\% |
|  | 30.05-40.00 | 36.4\% | 33.9\% | 32.8\% | 35.4\% | 37.5\% | 41.3\% | 37.8\% | 34.9\% |
|  | 20.05-30.00 | 25.6\% | 24.5\% | 22.9\% | 24.9\% | 27.7\% | 28.7\% | 27.8\% | 25.1\% |
|  | 10.05-20.00 | 17.8\% | 14.5\% | 16.0\% | 19.6\% | 21.9\% | 19.4\% | 23.2\% | 21.6\% |
|  | 10.00 or less | 5.9\% | 9.5\% | 10.0\% | 13.1\% | 13.5\% | 22.9\% | 36.9\% | 43.1\% |
|  | Not scored | 3.1\% | 2.2\% | 1.7\% | 3.4\% | 3.7\% | 2.9\% | 9.3\% | 10.4\% |
|  | Total | 58.5\% | 56.5\% | 54.3\% | 60.7\% | 60.9\% | 61.0\% | 66.1\% | 64.1\% |

Table A10.1: Applicants receiving an offer by first and other than first preference by state and territory, 2011

|  | NSW/ACT | Vic. | Qld | WA | SA/NT | Tas. | AUSTRALIA |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applicants receiving an offer <br> for highest preference | 42,891 | 31,288 | 29,043 | 13,156 | 14,293 | 6,908 | $\mathbf{1 3 7 , 5 7 9}$ |
| Highest preference offers <br> as a percentage of all <br> offers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of applicants <br> receiving highest preference <br> offer | $52.0 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 0 \%}$ |
| Applicants accepting an offer <br> for highest preference | $30.8 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Acceptance rate of applicants <br> receiving highest preference <br> offer | 87,003 | 27,099 | 26,847 | 11,816 | 11,985 | 5,514 | $\mathbf{1 2 0 , 2 6 4}$ |

Table A11.1: TAC Applications by institution, 2010 and 2011

| Institution | 2010 | 2011 | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New South Wales |  |  |  |
| Charles Sturt University | 4,298 | 4,320 | 0.5\% |
| Macquarie University | 6,880 | 7,298 | 6.1\% |
| Southern Cross University | 2,742 | 2,578 | -6.0\% |
| The University of New England | 1,531 | 1,505 | -1.7\% |
| The University of New South Wales | 10,865 | 11,079 | 2.0\% |
| The University of Newcastle | 9,651 | 9,881 | 2.4\% |
| The University of Sydney | 14,631 | 14,280 | -2.4\% |
| University of Technology, Sydney | 9,906 | 9,862 | -0.4\% |
| University of Western Sydney | 11,776 | 11,717 | -0.5\% |
| University of Wollongong | 3,685 | 3,844 | 4.3\% |
| Victoria |  |  |  |
| Deakin University | 12,301 | 11,781 | -4.2\% |
| La Trobe University | 8,377 | 9,378 | 11.9\% |
| Monash University | 15,148 | 15,658 | 3.4\% |
| RMIT University | 13,232 | 14,193 | 7.3\% |
| Swinburne University of Technology | 3,314 | 3,655 | 10.3\% |
| The University of Melbourne | 8,995 | 9,483 | 5.4\% |
| University of Ballarat | 1,718 | 1,801 | 4.8\% |
| Victoria University | 5,427 | 5,063 | -6.7\% |
| Queensland |  |  |  |
| Central Queensland University | 3,114 | 3,021 | -3.0\% |
| Griffith University | 11,828 | 12,211 | 3.2\% |
| James Cook University | 5,675 | 5,381 | -5.2\% |
| Queensland University of Technology | 13,806 | 13,513 | -2.1\% |
| The University of Queensland | 14,169 | 14,405 | 1.7\% |
| University of Southern Queensland | 4,378 | 3,736 | -14.7\% |
| University of the Sunshine Coast | 2,569 | 2,470 | -3.9\% |
| South Australia |  |  |  |
| Flinders University of South Australia | 5,133 | 5,479 | 6.7\% |
| The University of Adelaide | 7,348 | 8,019 | 9.1\% |
| University of South Australia | 9,419 | 9,020 | -4.2\% |
| Western Australia |  |  |  |
| Curtin University of Technology | 6,993 | 7,026 | 0.5\% |
| Edith Cowan University | 4,343 | 3,491 | -19.6\% |
| Murdoch University | 2,837 | 2,894 | 2.0\% |
| The University of Western Australia | 6,661 | 7,147 | 7.3\% |
| Tasmania |  |  |  |
| University of Tasmania | 9,692 | 10,644 | 9.8\% |
| Northern Territory |  |  |  |
| Charles Darwin University | 2,335 | 2,422 | 3.7\% |
| Australian Capital Territory |  |  |  |
| The Australian National University | 3,326 | 3,333 | 0.2\% |
| University of Canberra | 1,905 | 2,168 | 13.8\% |
| Multi-State |  |  |  |
| Australian Catholic University | 6,988 | 7,361 | 5.3\% |
| Total | 266,996 | 271,117 | 1.5\% |

Table A11.2: Offers and offer rates to TAC applications by institution, 2010 and 2011

| Institution | Offers |  | Offer rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| New South Wales |  |  |  |  |
| Charles Sturt University | 4,308 | 4,160 | 100.2\% | 96.3\% |
| Macquarie University | 5,695 | 5,966 | 82.8\% | 81.7\% |
| Southern Cross University | 2,549 | 2,528 | 93.0\% | 98.1\% |
| The University of New England | 1,555 | 1,670 | 101.6\% | 111.0\% |
| The University of New South Wales | 7,531 | 7,545 | 69.3\% | 68.1\% |
| The University of Newcastle | 7,971 | 8,219 | 82.6\% | 83.2\% |
| The University of Sydney | 9,543 | 9,217 | 65.2\% | 64.5\% |
| University of Technology, Sydney | 5,884 | 6,861 | 59.4\% | 69.6\% |
| University of Western Sydney | 12,318 | 12,470 | 104.6\% | 106.4\% |
| University of Wollongong | 3,273 | 3,267 | 88.8\% | 85.0\% |
| Victoria |  |  |  |  |
| Deakin University | 7,247 | 8,554 | 58.9\% | 72.6\% |
| La Trobe University | 7,460 | 8,532 | 89.1\% | 91.0\% |
| Monash University | 8,863 | 8,992 | 58.5\% | 57.4\% |
| RMIT University | 7,044 | 7,629 | 53.2\% | 53.8\% |
| Swinburne University of Technology | 3,466 | 3,634 | 104.6\% | 99.4\% |
| The University of Melbourne | 5,749 | 6,175 | 63.9\% | 65.1\% |
| University of Ballarat | 1,972 | 2,033 | 114.8\% | 112.9\% |
| Victoria University | 6,849 | 6,660 | 126.2\% | 131.5\% |
| Queensland |  |  |  |  |
| Central Queensland University | 2,672 | 2,857 | 85.8\% | 94.6\% |
| Griffith University | 9,746 | 8,872 | 82.4\% | 72.7\% |
| James Cook University | 3,930 | 4,046 | 69.3\% | 75.2\% |
| Queensland University of Technology | 9,653 | 9,638 | 69.9\% | 71.3\% |
| The University of Queensland | 9,604 | 9,889 | 67.8\% | 68.6\% |
| University of Southern Queensland | 3,044 | 3,377 | 69.5\% | 90.4\% |
| University of the Sunshine Coast | 2,375 | 2,397 | 92.4\% | 97.0\% |
| South Australia |  |  |  |  |
| Flinders University of South Australia | 4,367 | 4,765 | 85.1\% | 87.0\% |
| The University of Adelaide | 5,165 | 5,473 | 70.3\% | 68.3\% |
| University of South Australia | 7,713 | 7,346 | 81.9\% | 81.4\% |
| Western Australia |  |  |  |  |
| Curtin University of Technology | 5,894 | 5,896 | 84.3\% | 83.9\% |
| Edith Cowan University | 4,001 | 3,341 | 92.1\% | 95.7\% |
| Murdoch University | 2,557 | 2,842 | 90.1\% | 98.2\% |
| The University of Western Australia | 4,593 | 4,733 | 69.0\% | 66.2\% |
| Tasmania |  |  |  |  |
| University of Tasmania | 7,325 | 8,023 | 75.6\% | 75.4\% |
| Northern Territory |  |  |  |  |
| Charles Darwin University | 2,078 | 2,070 | 89.0\% | 85.5\% |
| Australian Capital Territory |  |  |  |  |
| The Australian National University | 2,682 | 2,638 | 80.6\% | 79.1\% |
| University of Canberra | 2,199 | 2,509 | 115.4\% | 115.7\% |
| Multi-State |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Catholic University | 5,919 | 6,830 | 84.7\% | 92.8\% |
| Total | 204,794 | 211,654 | 76.7\% | 78.1\% |

Table A11.3: Direct Applications, offers and offer rates by institution, 2011

| Institution | Applications | Offers | Offer rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New South Wales |  |  |  |
| Charles Sturt University | 5,899 | 5,222 | 88.5\% |
| Macquarie University | 1,692 | 1,218 | 72.0\% |
| Southern Cross University | 2,829 | 2,508 | 88.7\% |
| The University of New England | 3,980 | 3,291 | 82.7\% |
| The University of New South Wales | 1,304 | 1,222 | 93.7\% |
| The University of Newcastle | 1,822 | 1,822 | 100.0\% |
| The University of Sydney | 1,016 | 951 | 93.6\% |
| University of Technology, Sydney | 1,047 | 849 | 81.1\% |
| University of Western Sydney | 2,092 | 1,389 | 66.4\% |
| University of Wollongong | 3,321 | 2,158 | 65.0\% |
| Victoria |  |  |  |
| Deakin University | 2,206 | 1,758 | 79.7\% |
| La Trobe University | 2,613 | 1,995 | 76.3\% |
| Monash University | 2,264 | 1,911 | 84.4\% |
| RMIT University | 592 | 564 | 95.3\% |
| Swinburne University of Technology | 907 | 580 | 63.9\% |
| The University of Melbourne | 2,807 | 954 | 34.0\% |
| University of Ballarat | 856 | 407 | 47.5\% |
| Victoria University | 2,358 | 1,495 | 63.4\% |
| Queensland |  |  |  |
| Central Queensland University | 834 | 741 | 88.8\% |
| Griffith University | 2,148 | 1,970 | 91.7\% |
| James Cook University | 1,238 | 1,075 | 86.8\% |
| Queensland University of Technology | 2,970 | 2,695 | 90.7\% |
| The University of Queensland | 818 | 625 | 76.4\% |
| University of Southern Queensland | 1,689 | 1,264 | 74.8\% |
| University of the Sunshine Coast | 823 | 755 | 91.7\% |
| South Australia |  |  |  |
| Flinders University of South Australia | 582 | 574 | 98.6\% |
| The University of Adelaide | 688 | 481 | 69.9\% |
| University of South Australia | 849 | 590 | 69.5\% |
| Western Australia |  |  |  |
| Curtin University of Technology | 2,686 | 2,151 | 80.1\% |
| Edith Cowan University | 7,168 | 6,446 | 89.9\% |
| Murdoch University | 1,754 | 1,538 | 87.7\% |
| The University of Notre Dame Australia | 2,410 | 1,238 | 51.4\% |
| The University of Western Australia | 1,285 | 953 | 74.2\% |
| Northern Territory |  |  |  |
| Batchelor Institute of Indigenous Tertiary Education | 40 | 40 | 100.0\% |
| Charles Darwin University | 46 | 46 | 100.0\% |
| Australian Capital Territory |  |  |  |
| The Australian National University | 914 | 700 | 76.6\% |
| University of Canberra | 2,279 | 1,859 | 81.6\% |
| Multi-State |  |  |  |
| Australian Catholic University | 749 | 392 | 52.3\% |
| Total | 71,575 | 56,427 | 78.8\% |

[^11]Table A12.1: Types of university

| Types of university |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Group of Eight Member Universities (Go8) | Non-Aligned Universities <br> Monash University <br> Australian Catholic University <br> The Australian National University <br> The University of Adelaide <br> The University of Melbourne <br> The University of New South Wales <br> The University of Queensland <br> Education <br> The University of Sydney <br> The University of Western Australia <br> Bond University <br> Innovative Research Universities Australia <br> (IRUA) <br> Charles Darwin University <br> Flinders University of South Australia <br> Griffith University |
| Charles Sturt University |  |
| James Cook University | Deakin University |
| La Trobe University | Edith Cowan University |
| Murdoch University | Macquarie University |
| The University of Newcastle | Southern Cross University* |
| Technology Universities | Swinburne University of Technology |
| Curtin University of Technology | The University of New England* |
| Queensland University of Technology Notre Dame Australia |  |
| RMIT University | University of Ballarat* |
| University of South Australia | University of Canberra |
| University of Technology, Sydney | University of Southern Queensland* |
|  | University of Tasmania |
|  | University of the Sunshine Coast* |

* On 14th October 2011, the Regional Universities Network (RUN) was formed comprising Central Queensland University, Southern Cross University, University of Ballarat, University of New England, University of Southern Queensland and University of the Sunshine Coast. 2011 applications, offers and acceptance data predate the formation of RUN.


## Appendix 2 - Glossary

Acceptance: Applicants accepting offers are those who have advised the TACs that they have accepted conditionally or unconditionally the offer they have received. Not all universities require applicants to respond to the state TACs. Acceptance rates are therefore slightly understated. Acceptance rates were more seriously understated in previous years. Students known to have deferred their offers are reported as having accepted. An acceptance does not necessarily mean that the student will enrol in that course and in some states advising the TACs that they are rejecting the offer does not prevent the applicant from enrolling with the university based on that offer.

Acceptance rate: The acceptance rate is the proportion of applicants with an offer who formally accept that offer through a TAC. Not all universities require applicants to respond to the state TACs. Acceptance rates are therefore slightly understated. Acceptance rates were more seriously understated in previous years.

Age: $\quad$ Age is calculated as at the 31 December 2010. Applicants' age is reported by four age groups ( 16 and under; 17 to 19; 20 to 24 ; and 25 and over). Previous reports based on aggregate data reported only on the very broad age groups ' 20 and under' or '21 and over'.

Apparent Retention Rates: This is a measure of the number of school students in a designated year of education expressed as a percentage of their respective cohort group in a base year. In this publication, the base year is the commencement of secondary school and rates have been calculated for those who continued to Years 9, 10, 11 and 12. The base year, or year of commencement, varies between jurisdictions (states and territories), and over time. These variations are incorporated into calculation of ARRs at the Australia level. Care should be exercised in the interpretation of apparent retention rates as the method of calculation does not take into account a range of factors. At the national level these include students repeating a year of education, inter-sector transfer and interstate movements of students, migration and other net changes to the school population.

Applicant: For the purposes of this report, a valid applicant is defined as an Australian or New Zealand citizen, permanent resident or permanent humanitarian visa holder who has applied through a TAC during the 2010-11 admissions cycle and who expressed at least one preference for a Commonwealth supported places in a higher education undergraduate award course at a Table A or B Higher Education Provider (HEP).

Application: A valid application is one submitted to a TAC during the 2010-11 admission cycle by an Australian or New Zealand citizen, permanent resident or permanent humanitarian visa holder, provided that least one preference for a Commonwealth supported places in a higher education undergraduate award course at a Table A or B HEP. Applications are excluded if they have been cancelled by TACs as duplicates or because the applicant is known to be deceased or has falsified documentation or for other administrative reasons. An applicant may make multiple applications during the application process and each submission is considered a separate application. State has its own approved Year 12 program. ACACA is the national body responsible for monitoring senior secondary curricula and certification in Australia and New Zealand. The current programs by State are: NSW Higher School Certificate, ACT Year 12 Certificate, Queensland Certificate of Education, Queensland Senior Certificate, South Australian Certificate of Education, Northern Territory Certificate of Education, Tasmanian Certificate of Education, Victorian Certificate of Education, Western Australian Certificate of Education. ACACA Year 12 programs may be undertaken in schools, VET institutions or HEPs.

Award: A certification of achievement or competence recognised under the Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF) which is be granted to a student after completion of all the requirements of an ACACA program, higher education course or VET course.

Basis of admission: The main criterion on which the applicant was granted an offer. Basis of admission can be: secondary education (undertaken at a school, TAFE or HEP); higher education; TAFE/vocational education; professional qualification; mature age special entry provision; other.

Current Year 12 applicant: An applicant who attempted an ACACA Year 12 program or the International Baccalaureate (IB) in 2010.

Eligible applicant: Eligible applicant is a concept used as part of the method of estimating unmet demand. It is not part of the administrative process of university admissions through TACs. 'Eligible Applicants' excludes applicants applying on the basis of a current Year 12 qualification whose ATAR is below an agreed benchmark, set to correspond to the bottom end of a Queensland Overall Position (OP) of 18. This figure varies slightly from year to year. For applicants completing Year 12 in 2010, the figure was 54.95.

Domestic applicant: A domestic applicant is an applicant who is an Australian citizen, New Zealand citizen, permanent humanitarian visa holder or other permanent visa holder.

Field of education: The field of education (FoE) is a classification used to describe higher education courses with the same or similar vocational emphasis or principal subject matter of the course, specialisation and units of study. FoE is identified using Australian Standard Classification of Education (ASCED) codes. There are 12 broad fields of education. This report disaggregates applications, offers and acceptances by all ASCED broad fields of education, plus selected narrow fields of education that are of particular interest to stakeholders.

Higher education provider: Universities and higher education institutions listed in section 16-B of Higher Education Support Act 2003 and providers as determined by the Minister under section 16-35 of the Act.

Highest preference: The highest preference entered by an applicant for a place and course that is considered valid (that is, a Commonwealth-supported place in a higher education undergraduate award course at a Table A or B HEP). In TACs where an applicant can apply for VET and/or postgraduate this may not be their first preference. For both applications and offers, the preference number is the ordinal position of the course as at the reference date (for this report, 18 May 2011).

Home state applicant: An applicant is defined as a home state applicant if he or she is a) a current Year 12 applicant who completed an ACACA Year 12 program in a state or territory under the jurisdiction of the TAC to which they have applied; or b) a current Year 12 applicant who completed the International Baccalaureate and whose address of permanent home residence in a state or territory under the jurisdiction of the TAC to which he or she has applied; or c) an applicant other than a current Year 12 applicant whose address of permanent home residence is in a state or territory under the jurisdiction of the TAC to which he or she has applied.
Indigenous status: Persons who identify themselves as being of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander descent. In this report, this group is also referred to as Indigenous. Note that Indigenous status is a self-identification measure. It is generally believed that many Indigenous applicants choose not to identify as Indigenous during the applications process. The category non-Indigenous in this data therefore includes some Indigenous applicants.

Interstate applicant: An applicant is defined as an interstate applicant if he or she is a) a current Year 12 applicant who completed an ACACA Year 12 program in a state or territory not under the jurisdiction of the TAC to which he or she has applied; or b) a current Year 12 applicant who completed the International Baccalaureate and whose address of permanent home residence is in a state or territory not under the jurisdiction of the TAC to which he or she applies; or c) an applicant other than a current Year 12 applicant whose address of permanent home residence is in a state or territory not under the jurisdiction of the TAC to which he or she has applied.

Interstate Transfer Index: The Interstate Transfer Index (ITI) presents the State Tertiary Entrance Ranks from all years in a comparable fashion, allowing better analysis of difference between states. Since 1998, all states and territories, except for Queensland, have adopted the ITI as the state measure of student achievement, but with different names (see the definition of state's Tertiary Entrance Ranks for each name).This means that the measure in NSW, ACT, VIC, SA, NT WA, and TAS are exactly the same. The Queensland OP is mapped to the ITI using an agreed scale. While ITI is the term used by the TACs the more widely used term is Australian Tertiary Admission Rank (ATAR)

Low socioeconomic status: The bottom quartile of the population, defined by postcode according to the ABS Socio-Economic Index for Areas (SEIFA).

Main round offers: The main round of offers takes place in late January and early February. Exact dates for this offer round vary between the state TACs.

Mature aged applicant: This report uses the age group 25 and over as a definition of mature aged applicant. This definition does not stipulate what the basis of admission is as it solely is based on age.

MCEETYA regional classification: A classification of postcodes by region/remoteness, agreed by the Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEETYA). It divides postcodes into eight categories (plus a further category for postcodes whose regionality cannot be determined). In this report, these categories are aggregated into three groups (metropolitan; regional and remote) plus a category for unknown plus people residing outside Australia.

National priority area: Areas for which the Australian Government offers additional assistance, either through offering additional places, increasing Commonwealth contributions or reducing the maximum student contribution amounts for a place. Currently, education and nursing are the national priority areas.

Non-Year 12 applicant: An applicant is classified as a non-Year 12 applicant if they have applied from admission on the basis of any qualification other than Year 12 results. This includes prior university undergraduate degrees, postgraduate studies, VET award courses, STAT scores, employment experience and/or special entry provisions.

Offer: An offer of a place to an applicant to study a particular course made by TACs on behalf of a university. An offer is in scope for the purposes of this report if it is for a Commonwealth supported place in higher education undergraduate award course at a Table A or B HEP.

Offer rate: The offer rate is a percentage calculated as the number of valid offers made to applicants with at least one valid preference divided by the number of applicants with at least one valid preference.

Overall Position: Overall Position (OP) provides a state-wide rank order of Queensland Year 12 students (on a scale of 1 to 25,1 being the highest) based on students' achievement in subjects studied for the Queensland Senior Certificate.

Postgraduate course: A course of study that leads to the award of a graduate certificate, graduate diploma, master's degree or doctorate.

Preference: The current process allows for applicants to apply for several courses in the same application. The number of preferences allowed varies by TAC. Applicants must enter their preferences for courses in order of choice. The ordinal position of each preference in a set of preferences is reported as at the reference date (18 May, 2011 for this report).

Prior higher education: Applicants who have participated in one or more higher education courses (postgraduate, degree courses or sub-degree courses (non-VET)) at any time before 2011, whether they completed the course(s) or not. Applicants will still be classified as having prior higher education if they are current Year 12 (2010) applicants.

Prior VET: Applicants who have participated in one or more award VET courses any time before 2011, whether they completed the course(s) or not. Applicants will still be classified as having prior VET if they have subsequently participated in higher education courses, or if they are current Year 12 (2010) applicants.

Regional: In this report, a resident of a postcode area in MCEETYA regional categories 3 to 6 .
Qualification: An award or some other form of certification of attainment, competence or attendance.

Rejection rate: The rejection rate is a percentage calculated as the number of applicants who did not accept their offer divided by the number of valid offers made to applicants with at least one valid preference. It is the inverse of the acceptance rate.

Remote: In this report, a resident of postcodes in the MCEETYA regional categories 7 and 8 .

SEIFA: The Socio-Economic Index for Areas. An ABS categorisation of Australian postcodes into quartiles based on the average SES of residents. More information on SEIFA is available at
http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/D3310114.nsf/home/Seifa entry page.
Secondary education 2008-10: Applicants who completed Year 12 in any of the three years preceding the academic year for which they submitted an application for a university place (namely, 2008, 2009 or 2010).

Socioeconomic Status: A measure of an applicants' social background based on postcode of permanent home residence. This measure divides Australian postcodes into quartiles.

State tertiary entrance ranks: Nationwide the ACACA Year 12 programs result in a measure of overall achievement. This is a secondary qualification achieved by an applicant upon completing the ACACA Year 12 program. Since 1998, all states and territories except Queensland have used the same methodology for calculating the overall measure of student achievement. In NSW and the ACT the result code is called the Universities Admissions Index (UAI); SA, NT, TAS and WA it is the Tertiary Entrance Rank (TER); QLD the Overall Position (OP) and VIC the Equivalent National Tertiary Entrance Rank (ENTER). Since June 2009 the UAI became the Australian Tertiary Admission Rank (ATAR). The International Baccalaureate (IB) is an international qualification approved by ACACA in a number of states. ATAR is used in all states and territories except in Quuensland.

Technical and Further Education (TAFE): Government-funded VET providers in the states and territories.

Tertiary Admission Centre: Tertiary Admission Centres (TACs) are owned by universities but have different governance arrangements. TACs manage the application and offer on behalf of their member universities. Each TAC is separate and independent. Nationwide the following TACs operate: University Admission Centre (UAC) in NSW and the ACT; Victorian Tertiary Admission Centre (VTAC); Queensland Tertiary Admission Centre (QTAC); South Australian Tertiary Admission Centre (SATAC) in South Australia and the Northern Territory and Tertiary Institutions Service Centre (TISC) in Western Australia. The University of Tasmania (UTAS) acts as a TAC for Tasmania.

Undergraduate course: A course of study at a HEP that leads to the award of an undergraduate qualification. This includes a diploma, advanced diploma, associate degree or a bachelor degree (pass, honours or graduate entry).

Unmet demand: Unmet demand is an estimate that adjusts the raw number of qualified applicants who did not receive an offer to discount for Year 12 applicants with low ATAR scores, multiple applications lodged by the same person in more than one state, applicants who expressed only one or two preference and the rate at which unsuccessful applicants would have been likely to reject an offer if they had received one. This produces a more realistic estimate of unmet demand than simply using the number of unsuccessful applicants. The current method of estimation was introduced in 2005 by the Australian Vice-Chancellors' Committee (now UA) in consultation with higher education sector stakeholders. Historical data was revised but the estimates for

2001 and 2002 was calculated slightly differently from those for 2003 to 2004 due to restrictions with the older data sets.

Unsuccessful applicant: An unsuccessful applicant is an applicant with at least one valid preference who did not receive an offer of a place.
Vocational Education and Training: Vocational Education and Training (VET) provides skills and knowledge for work through a national system of registered training organisations, provided by a network of industry, public and private training providers that work together to provide nationally consistent training across Australia. Registered VET training organisations are listed on Training.gov.au.

## Abbreviations

ACTAC: Australasian Conference of Tertiary Admissions Centres
ATAR: Australian Tertiary Admission Rank
ASCED: Australian Standard Classification of Education
ATSI: Aboriginal/Torres Strait Islander
CD: Collection district
COAG: Council of Australian Governments
CSP: Commonwealth supported place
DEEWR: Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations
ENTER: Equivalent National Tertiary Entrance Rank
FoE: Field of education
HECS: Higher Education Contribution Scheme
HELP: Higher Education Loan Program
HEPPP: Higher Education Participation and Partnerships Program
HESC: Higher education statistics collection
IEO: Index of Education and Occupation
IRUA: Innovative Research Universities
ITI: Interstate transfer index
LSAY: Longitudinal Survey of Australian Youth
MCEETYA: Ministerial Council on Employment, Education, Training and Youth Affairs
OP: Overall Position
QTAC: Queensland Tertiary Admissions Centre
SEIFA: Socio-Economic Index for Areas
SES: Socioeconomic status
TAC: Tertiary Admissions Centre
TAFE: Technical and Further Education
TER: Tertiary entrance rank
TES: Tertiary entrance score
TISC: Tertiary Institutions Service Centre
UA: Universities Australia
VET: Vocational education and training
VTAC: Victorian Tertiary Admissions Centre
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